SOUTH DAKOTA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

BRIDGE INSPECTION FIELD MANUAL

0.07 00.00

SD **DOT**

ASSETS

This page intentionally left blank.

The South Dakota Department of Transportation provides services without regard to race, color, gender, religion, national origin, age, or disability, according to the provisions contained in SDCL 20-13, Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, the Rehabilitation Act of 1973, as amended, the Americans With Disabilities Act of 1990 and Executive Order 12898, Federal Actions to Address Environmental Justice in Minority Populations and Low-Income Populations, 1994.

Any person who has questions concerning these policies or who believes he or she has been discriminated against should contact the Department's Civil Rights Office at 605-773-3540.

PREFACE

The South Dakota Bridge Inspection Field Manual has been developed to provide guidance to Department and consultant personnel performing bridge inspections, providing element level ratings, and preparing structure inspection reports for the SDDOT and local agencies as required under the National Bridge Inspection Standards (NBIS). The Manual is intended to comply with all State and Federal laws, statutes, and regulations, and it presents SDDOT criteria, practices, and procedures for performing National Bridge Inventory (NBI) bridge and structure inspections. As practical as possible, the user should follow the guidance presented in the Manual.

The Manual presents much of the information normally required for inspection, condition, and documentation of structure length bridges and culverts; however, it is impossible to address every situation that the user will encounter. Therefore, the user must exercise good engineering judgment during bridge inspections and must be innovative in the approach to address deficiencies, issues, and impacts. This may require, for example, additional research or different approaches than those described in this Manual.

It is also important to recognize that bridge inspection policies and procedures continue to evolve with time. New laws will be passed, new regulations developed, existing regulations revised, and new criteria issued. The methods described in this Manual are not intended to restrict consideration or use of these new developments. However, the user must evaluate the suitability of the method for the specific application. Where questions exist regarding the use of new methods, the user should seek guidance from the Bridge Maintenance Engineer or Local Government Assistance Bridge Inspection Engineer. After appropriate review, this Manual may be modified to include these new methods.

The South Dakota Bridge Inspection Field Manual was developed in coordination with the Office of Bridge Design, Region Bridge Offices, and the Local Government Assistance Office.

A special thanks to the Minnesota Department of Transportation and their Bridge Office personnel for their guidance, assistance, and use of their Bridge Inspection Field Manual as a starting point for South Dakota's manual.

The cover photo was taken by Broc Swanson of the Rapid City Region. Brett Mattice in the Communications Office created the cover with input from the Office of Bridge Design, Region Bridge Offices, Local Government Assistance Office, and the SD Division of FHWA.

SDDOT Bridge Inspection Program Organization/Flow Chart





Aberdeen Region

The Aberdeen Region encompasses the counties of Beadle, Brookings, Brown, part of Buffalo, Clark, Codington, Day, Deuel, most of Edmunds, Faulk, Grant, Hamlin, Hand, Hyde, Kingsbury, most of McPherson, Marshall, part of Miner, part of Moody, Roberts, and Spink. The region is divided into three Areas with the Area offices in Aberdeen, Huron, and Watertown. The Region office is located in Aberdeen.

Mitchell Region

The Mitchell Region encompasses the counties of Aurora, Bon Homme, Brule, most of Buffalo, Charles Mix, Clay, Davison, Douglas, most of Gregory, Hanson, Hutchinson, Jerauld, Lake, Lincoln, part of Lyman, McCook, most of Miner, Minnehaha, most of Moody, Sanborn, Turner, Union, and Yankton. The Region is divided into three Areas with the Area offices in Mitchell, Sioux Falls, and Yankton. The Region office is located in Mitchell.

Pierre Region

The Pierre Region encompasses the counties of Bennett, Campbell, Corson, Dewey, a portion of Edmunds and Gregory counties, Haakon, Hughes, part of Hyde, most of Jackson, Jones, most of Lyman, a portion of McPherson, Mellette, a portion of Oglala Lakota (formerly Shannon), Potter, Stanley, Sully, Todd, Tripp, Walworth, and most of Ziebach. The Region is divided into three Areas with the Area offices in Mobridge, Pierre, and Winner. The Region office is located in Pierre.

Rapid City Region

The Rapid City Region encompasses the counties of Butte, Custer, Fall River, Harding, Lawrence, Meade, Pennington, portions of Jackson, most of Oglala Lakota (formerly Shannon), Perkins, and a portion of Ziebach. The Region is divided into three Areas with the Area offices in Belle Fourche, Custer, and Rapid City. The Region office is located in Rapid City.



OFFICE OF BRIDGE DESIGN

700 East Broadway Avenue Pierre, SD 57701-2586 Main Office Phone: 605-773-3285

| BRIDGE INSPECTION CONTACTS | | | | | |
|---|-----------------------|--------------|--------------------------------|--|--|
| TITLE/UNIT | NAME | PHONE | EMAIL | | |
| DIVISION OF PLANNING & ENGINEERING | | | | | |
| Chief Bridge Engineer | Steve Johnson | 605-773-3185 | Steve.Johnson@state.sd.us | | |
| Bridge Maintenance Engineer (Program Manager) | Todd Thompson | 605-773-4175 | Todd.Thompson@state.sd.us | | |
| Bridge Management Engineer (Load Rating) | David Coley | 605-773-4456 | David.Coley@state.sd.us | | |
| Local Government Bridge Inspection Engineer | Cody Axlund | 605-773-3390 | Cody.Axlund@state.sd.us | | |
| | DIVISION C | F OPERATIONS | | | |
| Operations Maintenance Engineer | Thomas Druyvestein | 605-773-2615 | Thomas.Druyvestein@state.sd.us | | |
| Senior Aberdeen Region Bridge Engineer | Joshua Olson | 605-626-7894 | JoshC.Olson@state.sd.us | | |
| Senior Mitchell Region Bridge Engineer | Jay Larson | 605-995-3311 | Jay.Larson@state.sd.us | | |
| Senior Pierre Region Bridge Engineer | Paul Nelson | 605-773-5290 | Paul.Nelson@state.sd.us | | |
| Senior Rapid City Region Bridge Engineer | Broc Swanson | 605-394-1648 | Broc.Swanson@state.sd.us | | |

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| 1. OVERVIEW | 11 |
|--|-----------|
| 1.1 COMMON ACRONYMS | 11 |
| 1.2 COMMON INSPECTION TOOLS | 12 |
| 1.3 TYPICAL ACCESS EQUIPMENT | 13 |
| 2. NBI CONDITION AND APPRAISAL RATINGS | 14 |
| 2.1 NBI BRIDGE CONDITION RATINGS | 14 |
| 2.1.1 NBI Condition Ratings – General Guidelines | 14 |
| 2.1.2 Deck Condition Rating (NBI Item 58) | 15 |
| 2.1.3 Superstructure Condition Rating (NBI Item 59) | 16 |
| 2.1.4 Substructure Condition Rating (NBI Item 60) | 17 |
| 2.1.5 Channel and Channel Protection Condition Rating (NBI Item 61) | 18 |
| 2.1.6 Culvert Condition Rating (NBI Item 62) | 19 |
| 3. NBI BRIDGE APPRAISAL RATINGS | 20 |
| 3.1 APPROACH ROADWAY ALIGNMENT APPRAISAL RATING (NBI ITEM 72) | 20 |
| 3.2 WATERWAY ADEQUACY APPRAISAL RATING (NBI ITEM 71) | 21 |
| 3.3 STRUCTURE ELEMENT CONDITION RATINGS | 22 |
| 3.3.1 Introduction to Structure Element Condition Ratings | 22 |
| 3.3.2 Environments | 23 |
| 3.3.3 Structure Element Quantities | 24 |
| 3.3.4 Structure Element Ratings | 24 |
| 3.3.5 Defect Priority Rankings | 25 |
| 3.3.6 SDDOT Structure Element List | 26 |
| 3.4 CRITICAL FINDINGS AND SAFETY HAZARDS | 29 |
| 3.4.1 Critical Findings or Safety Hazards | 29 |
| 3.5 DECK AND SLAB ELEMENTS | 29 |
| 3.5.1 Rating Procedures for Decks and Slabs | 29 |
| 3.5.2 Reinforced Concrete Decks & Slabs (Elements #12, #16, #38, #865, and #866) | 31 |
| 3.5.3 Prestressed Concrete Decks and Slabs (Elements #13 and #15) | 35 |
| 3.5.4 Timber Decks and Slabs (Elements #31 and #54) | 37 |
| 3.5.5 Steel Decks (Elements #28, #29, and #30) | 40 |
| 3.5.6 Other Materials Decks & Slabs (Elements #60 and #65) | 43 |
| 3.5.7 Wearing Surface Elements | 45 |
| 3.5.8 Concrete Wearing Surfaces (Elements #510, #810, #811, #832, and #833) | 46 |
| 3.5.9 Asphalt Concrete Wearing Surfaces (Elements #510, #813, #814, and #831) | 49 |
| 3.5.10 Epoxy/Polymer Chip Seal Deck Wearing Surfaces (Elements #510 or #812) | 50 |
| 3.5.11 Timber Deck Wearing Surfaces (Elements #510 or #830) | 51 |
| 3.5.12 Gravel Deck Wearing Surfaces (Elements #510 or #809) | |
| 3.5.13 Concrete Reinforcing Steel Protective Systems (Elements #520, #820, #821, and | d #822)53 |
| 3.6 JOINT ELEMENTS | |
| 3.6.1 Joint Elements | |
| 3.6.2 Strip Seal Expansion Joint (Element #300) | 55 |

| 3.6.3 Poured Joint Seal (Element #301) | 57 |
|--|-----|
| 3.6.4 Compression Joint Seal (Element #302) | 59 |
| 3.6.5 Assembly Joint with Seal (Element #303) | 61 |
| 3.6.6 Open Expansion Joint (Element #304) | 63 |
| 3.6.7 Assembly Joint without Seal (Element #305) | 65 |
| 3.7 BRIDGE RAILING ELEMENTS | 67 |
| 3.7.1 Metal Bridge Railing (Element #330) | 68 |
| 3.7.2 Reinforced Concrete Bridge Railing (Element #331) | 70 |
| 3.7.3 Timber Bridge Railing (Element #332) | 74 |
| 3.7.4 Other Material Bridge Railing (Element #333) | 76 |
| 3.7.5 Masonry Bridge Railing (Element #334) | 77 |
| 3.8 BRIDGE APPROACH ELEMENTS | 79 |
| 3.8.1 Concrete Approach Slab (Elements #321 and #873) | 79 |
| 3.8.2 Asphalt Concrete and Gravel Approach Roadways (Elements #870 and #872) | 82 |
| 3.8.3 Ride Quality of Approaches (Elements #875 and #876) | 84 |
| 3.9 SUPERSTRUCTURE AND SUBSTRUCTURE ELEMENTS | 87 |
| 3.9.1 Steel Superstructure Elements | 87 |
| 3.9.2 Steel Substructure Elements | 90 |
| 3.9.3 Steel Columns and Pilings | 92 |
| 3.9.4 Steel Protective Coatings (Elements #515, #815, #816, #817, and #818) | 95 |
| 3.9.5 Reinforced Concrete Superstructure Elements | 99 |
| 3.9.6 Reinforced Concrete Substructure Elements | 102 |
| 3.9.7 Reinforced Concrete Columns and Pilings | 104 |
| 3.9.8 Prestressed Concrete Superstructure Elements | 108 |
| 3.9.9 Prestressed Concrete Substructure Elements | 111 |
| 3.9.10 Timber Superstructure Elements | 113 |
| 3.9.11 Timber Substructure Elements | 116 |
| 3.9.12 Masonry Superstructure and Substructure Elements | 119 |
| 3.10 BEARINGS & SPECIAL FEATURE ELEMENTS | 122 |
| 3.10.1 Bearing Components and Inspection Procedures | 122 |
| 3.10.2 Elastomeric Expansion Bearing (Element #310) | 125 |
| 3.10.3 Movable or Expansion Bearing (Element #311) | 128 |
| 3.10.4 Fixed Bearing (Element #313) | 131 |
| 3.10.5 Pot and Disk Bearings (Elements #314 and #315) | 133 |
| 3.11 PIN AND HANGER ASSEMBLY/PINNED CONNECTION (ELEMENT #161) | 136 |
| 3.12 STEEL CABLES (ELEMENTS #147 AND #148) | 139 |
| 4. CULVERT ELEMENTS | 142 |
| 4.1 INSPECTION PROCEDURES FOR CULVERTS | 142 |
| 4.2 NBI CONDITION AND APPRAISAL RATINGS | 145 |
| 4.2.1 Steel Culvert (Element #240) | 146 |
| 4.2.2 Concrete Culvert (Elements #241, #245, and #841) | 150 |
| 4.2.3 Timber Culvert (Element #242) | 155 |
| 4.2.4 Other Material Culvert (Element #243) | 157 |

| 4.2.5 Masonry Culvert (Element #244) | 159 |
|---|---|
| 4.2.6 Roadway over Culvert (Element #871) | 161 |
| 4.2.7 Culvert End Treatments (Elements #842 and #843) | 163 |
| 4.2.8 Other Elements | 165 |
| 5. INSPECTION DOCUMENTATION | 166 |
| 6. BRIDGE STRUCTURE TYPES AND COMPONENTS | 172 |
| 6.1 SUBSTRUCTURE COMPONENTS | 172 |
| 6.1.1 Concrete Abutments | 172 |
| 6.1.2 Hollow ("Vaulted") Concrete Abutments | 174 |
| 6.1.3 Integral and Semi-Integral Concrete Abutments | 176 |
| 6.1.4 Timber Abutments | 177 |
| 6.1.5 Concrete Column Bents | 178 |
| 6.1.6 Concrete Hammerhead Piers | 179 |
| 6.1.6 Concrete Pier Walls | 180 |
| 6.1.7 Pile Bent Piers (Timber, Steel, or Concrete) | 181 |
| 7. SMALL UNMANNED AIRCRAFT SYSTEMS (SUAS) | 182 |
| 8. SCOUR | 182 |
| 8.1 SCOUR CRITICAL STRUCTURES | |
| | |
| 9. MEASURING VERTICAL AND HORIZONTAL MEASUREMENTS | 183 |
| 9. MEASURING VERTICAL AND HORIZONTAL MEASUREMENTS 9.1 VERTICAL MEASUREMENTS | 183 |
| 9. MEASURING VERTICAL AND HORIZONTAL MEASUREMENTS 9.1 VERTICAL MEASUREMENTS | 183 183 183 |
| 9. MEASURING VERTICAL AND HORIZONTAL MEASUREMENTS 9.1 VERTICAL MEASUREMENTS 9.2 HORIZONTAL MEASUREMENTS 9.3 DOCUMENTATION | 183 183 183 184 |
| 9. MEASURING VERTICAL AND HORIZONTAL MEASUREMENTS 9.1 VERTICAL MEASUREMENTS 9.2 HORIZONTAL MEASUREMENTS 9.3 DOCUMENTATION 9.3.1 SDDOT Inspectors | 183 183 183 184 184 |
| 9. MEASURING VERTICAL AND HORIZONTAL MEASUREMENTS 9.1 VERTICAL MEASUREMENTS 9.2 HORIZONTAL MEASUREMENTS 9.3 DOCUMENTATION 9.3.1 SDDOT Inspectors 9.3.2 Consultants, Contracting, or Engineering Firms | 183 |
| 9. MEASURING VERTICAL AND HORIZONTAL MEASUREMENTS 9.1 VERTICAL MEASUREMENTS 9.2 HORIZONTAL MEASUREMENTS 9.3 DOCUMENTATION 9.3.1 SDDOT Inspectors 9.3.2 Consultants, Contracting, or Engineering Firms 9.4 REPORTING & CODING | 183 183183184184184184184184184184 |
| 9. MEASURING VERTICAL AND HORIZONTAL MEASUREMENTS 9.1 VERTICAL MEASUREMENTS 9.2 HORIZONTAL MEASUREMENTS 9.3 DOCUMENTATION 9.3.1 SDDOT Inspectors 9.3.2 Consultants, Contracting, or Engineering Firms 9.4 REPORTING & CODING 9.4.1 Roadways with Two Way Traffic | |
| 9. MEASURING VERTICAL AND HORIZONTAL MEASUREMENTS 9.1 VERTICAL MEASUREMENTS 9.2 HORIZONTAL MEASUREMENTS 9.3 DOCUMENTATION 9.3.1 SDDOT Inspectors 9.3.2 Consultants, Contracting, or Engineering Firms 9.4 REPORTING & CODING 9.4.1 Roadways with Two Way Traffic 9.4.2 Divided Highways – North Bound or East Bound. | 183 |
| 9. MEASURING VERTICAL AND HORIZONTAL MEASUREMENTS 9.1 VERTICAL MEASUREMENTS 9.2 HORIZONTAL MEASUREMENTS 9.3 DOCUMENTATION 9.3.1 SDDOT Inspectors 9.3.2 Consultants, Contracting, or Engineering Firms 9.4 REPORTING & CODING 9.4.1 Roadways with Two Way Traffic 9.4.2 Divided Highways – North Bound or East Bound 9.4.3 Divided Highways – South Bound or West Bound | |
| 9. MEASURING VERTICAL AND HORIZONTAL MEASUREMENTS 9.1 VERTICAL MEASUREMENTS 9.2 HORIZONTAL MEASUREMENTS 9.3 DOCUMENTATION 9.3.1 SDDOT Inspectors 9.3.2 Consultants, Contracting, or Engineering Firms 9.4 REPORTING & CODING 9.4.1 Roadways with Two Way Traffic 9.4.2 Divided Highways – North Bound or East Bound 9.4.3 Divided Highways – South Bound or West Bound 9.4.4 Roadways with One Way Traffic | 183 |
| 9. MEASURING VERTICAL AND HORIZONTAL MEASUREMENTS 9.1 VERTICAL MEASUREMENTS 9.2 HORIZONTAL MEASUREMENTS 9.3 DOCUMENTATION 9.3.1 SDDOT Inspectors 9.3.2 Consultants, Contracting, or Engineering Firms 9.4 REPORTING & CODING 9.4.1 Roadways with Two Way Traffic 9.4.2 Divided Highways – North Bound or East Bound 9.4.3 Divided Highways – South Bound or West Bound 9.4.4 Roadways with One Way Traffic 9.4.5 Railroads | |
| 9. MEASURING VERTICAL AND HORIZONTAL MEASUREMENTS. 9.1 VERTICAL MEASUREMENTS. 9.2 HORIZONTAL MEASUREMENTS. 9.3 DOCUMENTATION 9.3.1 SDDOT Inspectors. 9.3.2 Consultants, Contracting, or Engineering Firms 9.4 REPORTING & CODING. 9.4.1 Roadways with Two Way Traffic. 9.4.2 Divided Highways – North Bound or East Bound. 9.4.3 Divided Highways – South Bound or West Bound 9.4.4 Roadways with One Way Traffic. 9.4.5 Railroads. 9.4.6 Trusses. | |
| 9. MEASURING VERTICAL AND HORIZONTAL MEASUREMENTS 9.1 VERTICAL MEASUREMENTS 9.2 HORIZONTAL MEASUREMENTS 9.3 DOCUMENTATION 9.3.1 SDDOT Inspectors 9.3.2 Consultants, Contracting, or Engineering Firms 9.4 REPORTING & CODING 9.4.1 Roadways with Two Way Traffic 9.4.2 Divided Highways – North Bound or East Bound 9.4.3 Divided Highways – South Bound or West Bound 9.4.4 Roadways with One Way Traffic 9.4.5 Railroads 9.4.7 Vertical Clearance Examples | |
| 9. MEASURING VERTICAL AND HORIZONTAL MEASUREMENTS 9.1 VERTICAL MEASUREMENTS 9.2 HORIZONTAL MEASUREMENTS 9.3 DOCUMENTATION 9.3.1 SDDOT Inspectors 9.3.2 Consultants, Contracting, or Engineering Firms 9.4 REPORTING & CODING 9.4.1 Roadways with Two Way Traffic 9.4.2 Divided Highways – North Bound or East Bound 9.4.3 Divided Highways – South Bound or West Bound 9.4.4 Roadways with One Way Traffic 9.4.5 Railroads 9.4.6 Trusses 9.4.7 Vertical Clearance Examples | |
| 9. MEASURING VERTICAL AND HORIZONTAL MEASUREMENTS 9.1 VERTICAL MEASUREMENTS 9.2 HORIZONTAL MEASUREMENTS 9.3 DOCUMENTATION 9.3.1 SDDOT Inspectors 9.3.2 Consultants, Contracting, or Engineering Firms 9.4 REPORTING & CODING 9.4.1 Roadways with Two Way Traffic 9.4.2 Divided Highways – North Bound or East Bound 9.4.3 Divided Highways – South Bound or West Bound 9.4.4 Roadways with One Way Traffic 9.4.5 Railroads 9.4.7 Vertical Clearance Examples | |
| 9. MEASURING VERTICAL AND HORIZONTAL MEASUREMENTS 9.1 VERTICAL MEASUREMENTS 9.2 HORIZONTAL MEASUREMENTS 9.3 DOCUMENTATION 9.3.1 SDDOT Inspectors 9.3.2 Consultants, Contracting, or Engineering Firms 9.4 REPORTING & CODING 9.4.1 Roadways with Two Way Traffic 9.4.2 Divided Highways – North Bound or East Bound 9.4.3 Divided Highways – South Bound or West Bound 9.4.4 Roadways with One Way Traffic 9.4.5 Railroads 9.4.6 Trusses 9.4.7 Vertical Clearance Examples APPENDIX A APPENDIX B | |

1. OVERVIEW

This Manual is intended to serve as a field guide for the inspection and condition rating of bridges and culverts, that are structure length, on public roadways in South Dakota. A bridge inspection includes examining the structure, evaluating the physical condition of the structure, and reporting the observations and evaluations on a bridge inspection report. SDDOT currently uses two separate bridge condition rating systems - the NBI condition ratings and the structural element condition ratings:

- The NBI condition ratings describe the general overall condition of a bridge or culvert. This 0-9 rating system was developed by the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) in 1971 and is outlined in the "FHWA Recording and Coding Guide for the Structure Inventory and Appraisal of the Nation's Bridges". The NBI condition ratings are used to determine inspection frequency and "bridge condition" (good/fair/poor as enacted in MAP21) and are a key component of the Bridge Sufficiency Rating.
- Structural element condition ratings divide a bridge into separate components which are then rated individually based upon the severity and extent of deterioration. This 1-4 rating system was developed by the American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials (AASHTO) and is outlined in the "AASHTO Manual for Bridge Element Inspection". South Dakota has been collecting element level bridge condition data since 1998. The FHWA began mandating (and collecting) element level data in October of 2014. SDDOT uses AASHTOWare BrM software for input of structural element condition ratings, which can be used to identify present maintenance needs and is intended to provide cost-effective options for long-range bridge maintenance and improvement programs (using computer projections of future deterioration).

Bridge inspection reports (along with the NBI & structural element condition ratings) are entered into the BrM database. Access to this system is typically restricted to SDDOT certified Bridge Inspection Team Leaders or Bridge Inspection Program Administrators appointed by those agencies with bridge inspection responsibility. A username and password are required. For more information, contact the Bridge Management Engineer.

1.1 COMMON ACRONYMS

- AASHTO American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials
- ADE Agency Defined Element
- BIRM Bridge Inspector's Reference Manual
- BME Bridge Management Element
- BrM Bridge Management
- DOT Department of Transportation
- EA Each
- FHWA Federal Highway Administration
- LF Linear Foot
- LMC Latex Modified Concrete
- LSDC Low Slump Dense Concrete
- MBE Manual for Bridge Evaluation
- MBEI Manual for Bridge Element Inspection
- NBE National Bridge Element
- NBI National Bridge Inventory
- NBIS National Bridge Inspection Standards
- NDT Non-Destructive Testing
- NSTM Non-Redundant Steel Tension Member
- POA Plan of Action
- SDDOT South Dakota Department of Transportation

- SF Square Foot
- SNBI Specifications for the National Bridge Inventory
- UAS Unmanned Aerial System

1.2 COMMON INSPECTION TOOLS

- Cleaning Tools
 - o Wire brush
 - o Screw drivers
 - o Brushes
 - o Scrapers
 - Inspection Tools
 - o Pocket knife
 - o Ice pick
 - o Increment borer for timber elements
 - o Chipping hammer
 - Chain drags
 - o Plumb bob
- Visual Aid Tools
 - o Binoculars
 - o Flashlight
 - Magnifying glass
 - o Dye penetrant
 - Inspection mirror
- Basic Measuring Equipment
 - Tape measure (various lengths)
 - o Measuring wheel
 - o Thermometer
 - o Calipers
 - o Carpenter's level
 - o D-Meter
 - o Center punch
 - o Simple surveying equipment
 - Crack gauges
- Recording Materials
 - Appropriate forms
 - Laptop or tablet (if applicable)
 - o Clipboard
 - o Chalk, paint sticks, lumber crayons, markers
 - o Field books
 - o Cameras
 - Extra pens and paper
 - Safety Equipment
 - o Rope
 - o Harnesses
 - o First aid kit
 - Cell phone
 - o Life Jacket

- Miscellaneous Equipment
 - o Dust masks
 - o Coveralls
 - o Gloves
 - o Insect repellent
 - o Wasp and hornet killer
 - o Penetrating oil
 - Crampons or Yaktrax

See Chapter 2 of the Bridge Inspector's Reference Manual (BIRM) for a more comprehensive list.

1.3 TYPICAL ACCESS EQUIPMENT

- Waders
- Boats
- Rope/Rigging
- Ladders
- Aerial lifts or bucket trucks
- Under bridge inspection units

2. NBI CONDITION AND APPRAISAL RATINGS

The NBI bridge condition and appraisal ratings were introduced in 1971 with the National Bridge Inspection Standards (NBIS). These ratings are outlined in the Specifications for the National Bridge Inventory (SNBI). South Dakota has added some guidance in an effort to improve consistency throughout the state.

2.1 NBI BRIDGE CONDITION RATINGS

The NBI condition ratings describe the general overall condition of a bridge or culvert. These must be reviewed during each inspection.

2.1.1 NBI Condition Ratings – General Guidelines

There are five NBI condition ratings. They are rated on a numerical scale of 1 to 9 (with 9 being a "new" condition).

- Deck Condition Rating (NBI Item 58)
- Superstructure Condition Rating (NBI Item 59)
- Substructure Condition Rating (NBI Item 60)
- Channel & Channel Protection Condition Rating (NBI Item 61)
- Culvert Condition Rating (NBI Item 62)

Bridges are typically rated for three components – deck, superstructure, and substructure. If a bridge spans over a waterway, the channel (NBI Item 61) must also be rated.

- For filled spandrel arch bridges (or rigid frame structures with fill), the NBI superstructure and substructure items should be rated, but the NBI deck rating may be entered as "N".
- For concrete slab span structures with concrete wearing surfaces, the NBI deck and superstructure ratings will typically be the same. The NBI deck and superstructure ratings may differ when the wearing surface material is different than the structural slab material (such as a timber deck with a bituminous overlay).

Culverts are rated as a single component (NBI Item 62). NBI Item 62 describes the general overall condition of the culvert. This rating should consider the condition of the culvert barrel, joints, as well as any deflection, distortion, misalignment, settlement, scour, or voiding of backfill. Headwalls, wingwalls, or aprons should be excluded from this rating. If water flows through a culvert, the channel (NBI Item 61) must also be rated.

The following general guidelines apply to the NBI Condition Ratings:

- New bridges and culverts are initially assigned NBI ratings of "9" (excellent condition) in BrM. After the initial inspection is completed, these ratings can be adjusted to fit what is seen in the field.
- Repaired components should typically not be rated higher than "7" (good condition).
- An NBI rating of "5" (fair condition) or less generally implies that repairs are recommended. NBI ratings of "5" or less will also reduce the bridge sufficiency rating.
- An NBI rating of "4" (poor condition) or less may impact the inspection frequency.
- An NBI rating of "3" (serious condition) or less generally implies that immediate repairs, structural analysis, or a new load rating is necessary.
- An NBI rating of "2" (critical condition) indicates a critical finding. Specific reporting and followup procedures are required for critical findings. NBI ratings of "2" should be adjusted immediately after the critical finding is addressed.
- Temporary supports (shoring, bracing, or underpinning) should generally not improve the NBI rating. One exception would be if a critical condition was corrected with temporary shoring (the NBI rating should be raised from condition 2 after the temporary repairs have been performed).
- The load carrying capacity should **NOT** be considered when determining the NBI condition ratings.

2.1.2 Deck Condition Rating (NBI Item 58)

| | Deck Condition Rating (NBI Item 58) |
|------|---|
| | This rating should reflect the overall general condition of the deck (or slab) and includes the |
| Code | underside of the deck. The condition of wearing surface/protective system, railings, sidewalks, |
| | curbs, expansion joints, and deck drains are not considered in this rating. |
| N | Not Applicable: Use for culverts or filled spandrel arch bridges. |
| 9 | Excellent Condition: Deck is in new condition (recently constructed). |
| | Very Good Condition: Deck has very minor (and isolated) deterioration. |
| 8 | • Concrete: minor cracking, leaching, scale, or wear (no delamination or spalling) |
| | Imber: minor weathering and/or isolated (minor) splitting |
| | Steel: no corrosion (paint/protection system remains sound) |
| | Good Condition: Deck has minor (or isolated) deterioration. |
| | Concrete: minor cracking, leaching, scale, or wear (isolated spalling/delamination) No english property |
| 7 | No spalls present. Loss than 2% of the dock area is deleminated |
| | Less than 2 % of the deck area is defainingted. Timber: minor splitting (no decay or crushing) – planks are secure |
| | Steel: minor paint failure or corrosion (no section loss) – connections are secure |
| | Satisfactory Condition: Deck has minor (or isolated) deterioration |
| | Concrete: moderate cracking leaching scale or wear (minor spalling and/or delamination) |
| | Up to 2% of the deck area is spalled and/or has scaling deeper than ½" deep. |
| 6 | 2% up to 5% of the deck area is delaminated. |
| | • Timber: moderate splitting (isolated decay or crushing) – some planks may be slightly loose |
| | • Steel: moderate paint failure and/or surface corrosion (minor section loss) – some connections |
| | may have worked loose |
| | Fair Condition: Deck has moderate deterioration (repairs may be necessary). |
| | Concrete: extensive cracking, leaching, scale, or wear (moderate delamination or spalling) |
| | More than 2% up to 5% of the deck area is spalled and/or has scaling deeper than ½" |
| _ | deep. |
| 5 | More than 5% up to 10% of the deck area is delaminated. Timb an automatic and the deck area is delaminated. |
| | Timper: extensive splitting (moderate decay or crushing) – some planks may be loose, broken, or require replacement. |
| | • Steel: extensive paint failure and/or surface corresion (moderate section loss)soveral |
| | connectors may be loose or missing (primary components remain secure) |
| | Poor Condition: Deck has advanced deterioration (replacement or overlav should be planned) |
| | Concrete: advanced cracking leaching scale or wear (extensive delamination or spalling) – |
| | isolated full-depth failures may be imminent |
| | More than 5% of the deck area is spalled and/or has scaling deeper than ½" deep. |
| 4 | More than 10% of the deck area is delaminated. |
| | • Timber: advanced splitting or decay - numerous planks may be loose, broken, or require |
| | replacement |
| | Steel: advanced corrosion (significant section loss) – deck components may be loose or |
| | slightly out of alignment |
| | Serious Condition: Deck has severe deterioration. Immediate repairs may be necessary. |
| | Concrete: severe cracking, leaching, delamination, or spalling – full-depth failures may be present |
| 3 | Timber: severe splitting, crushing or decay – majority of planks need replacement |
| | Steel: severe and section loss – deck components may be severely misaligned |
| 2 | Critical Condition: Deck has failed. Emergency repairs are required |
| | "Imminent" Failure Condition: Bridge is closed. Corrective action is required to open to |
| 1 | restricted service. |
| 0 | Failed Condition: Bridge is closed. Deck replacement is necessary. |

| Superstructure Condition Rating (NBI Item 59) | | | | | | |
|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | This rating should reflect the overall general condition of the superstructure. The condition of | | | | | |
| Code | the bearings, joints, paint system, etc. shall not be included in this rating except in extreme | | | | | |
| | situations. | | | | | |
| N | Not Applicable: Use for culverts. | | | | | |
| 9 | Excellent Condition: Superstructure is in new condition (recently constructed). | | | | | |
| 8 | Very Good Condition: Superstructure has very minor (and isolated) deterioration. | | | | | |
| 7 | Good Condition: Superstructure has minor (or isolated) deterioration. | | | | | |
| | Steel: minor corrosion, little or no section loss | | | | | |
| | Concrete: minor scale or non-structural cracking (isolated spalling/delamination) | | | | | |
| | Timber: minor splitting (no decay or crushing) | | | | | |
| | Masonry: minor scaling or cracking (joints have little or no deterioration) | | | | | |
| | Satisfactory Condition: Superstructure has minor to moderate deterioration. Members may | | | | | |
| | be slightly bent or misaligned – connections may have minor distress. | | | | | |
| 6 | Steel: moderate corrosion (section loss or cracks in non-critical areas) | | | | | |
| 0 | Concrete: moderate scale or cracking (minor spalling/delamination) | | | | | |
| | Timber: moderate splitting (minor decay or crushing) | | | | | |
| | Masonry: moderate scaling or cracking (joints may have minor deterioration) | | | | | |
| | Fair Condition: Superstructure has moderate deterioration. Members may be bent, bowed, | | | | | |
| | or misaligned. Bolts/rivets may be loose/missing, but connections remain intact. | | | | | |
| | Steel: extensive corrosion (initial section loss in critical stress areas); cracks have been | | | | | |
| 5 | arrested or are not likely to propagate into critical stress areas | | | | | |
| 5 | Concrete: extensive scaling or cracking (structural cracks may be present), moderate | | | | | |
| | spalling or delamination (reinforcement may have some section loss) | | | | | |
| | Timber: extensive splitting (moderate decay or crushing) | | | | | |
| | Masonry: extensive scaling or cracking (slight joint separation or offset) | | | | | |
| | Poor Condition: Superstructure has advanced deterioration. Members significantly bent or | | | | | |
| | misaligned. Connection failure may be imminent. Bearings severely restricted. | | | | | |
| | Steel: significant section loss in critical stress areas; un-arrested cracks exist that may | | | | | |
| 4 | likely propagate into critical stress areas | | | | | |
| - | Concrete: advanced scaling, cracking, or spalling (significant structural cracks may be | | | | | |
| | present – exposed reinforcement may have significant section loss) | | | | | |
| | • Timber: advanced splitting (extensive decay or significant crushing) | | | | | |
| | Masonry: advanced scaling, spalling or cracking (joint separation or offset) | | | | | |
| | Serious Condition: Superstructure has severe deterioration – immediate repairs or | | | | | |
| | structural evaluation may be required. Members may be severely bent or misaligned - | | | | | |
| • | connections or bearings may have failed. | | | | | |
| 3 | Steel: severe section loss of clacks in childer stress areas | | | | | |
| | Concrete: severe structural cracking of spalling Timber: severe splitting, decay, or erushing | | | | | |
| | Imper: severe spinning, decay, or crushing Mesenry severe creeking, effect or miselignment | | | | | |
| | Masonry, severe cracking, onset or misangriment Critical Condition: Superstructure has aritical damage or deterioration. Drimery structure | | | | | |
| 2 | childer condition. Superstructure has childer damage of deterioration. Finnary structural | | | | | |
| 2 | may be required to prevent collapse or closure | | | | | |
| | "Imminent" Failure Condition: Bridge is closed. Superstructure is no longer stable | | | | | |
| 1 | (corrective action might return the structure to restricted service) | | | | | |
| | Failed Condition: Bridge is closed due to superstructure failure and is beyond corrective | | | | | |
| 0 | action (replacement required). | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |

| 2.1.4 | .4 Substructure Condition Rating (NBI Item 60) | | | | | | |
|-------|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | Substructure Condition Rating (NBI Item 60) | | | | | | |
| Code | This rating should reflect the overall general condition of the substructure – this includes all structural components located below the bearings such as piers, abutments, piles, fenders, footings, etc. Integral wingwalls or retaining walls (up to the first expansion or construction joint) may be considered in this rating. | | | | | | |
| N | Not Applicable: Use for culverts. | | | | | | |
| 9 | Excellent Condition: Substructure is in new condition (recently constructed). | | | | | | |
| 8 | Very Good Condition: Substructure has very minor (and isolated) deterioration. | | | | | | |
| 7 | Concrete: minor cracking, leaching, or scale (isolated delaminations or spalls) Steel: minor paint failure and/or surface corrosion (little or no section loss) Timber: minor splitting (no decay or crushing) Masonry: minor scaling or cracking (joints have little or no deterioration) | | | | | | |
| 6 | Satisfactory Condition: Substructure has minor to moderate deterioration. Scour or erosion is minor and isolated (there may be slight movement or misalignment). Concrete: moderate scaling, cracking, or leaching (minor spalling/delamination) Steel: moderate paint failure and/or surface corrosion (minor section loss) Timber: moderate splitting (minor decay or crushing) Masonry: moderate scaling or cracking (joints may have minor deterioration) | | | | | | |
| 5 | Fair Condition: Substructure has moderate deterioration. Repairs may be necessary. There may be moderate scour, erosion, or undermining. There may be minor settlement, movement, misalignment, or loss of bearing area. Concrete: extensive scaling, cracking, or leaching (isolated structural cracks may be present) – there may be moderate delamination or spalling Steel: extensive paint failure and/or surface corrosion (moderate section loss) Timber: extensive splitting (moderate decay or crushing) Masonry: extensive scaling or cracking (slight joint separation or offset) | | | | | | |
| 4 | Poor Condition: Substructure has advanced deterioration. Repairs may be necessary to maintain stability. There may be extensive scour, erosion, or undermining. There may be significant settlement, movement, misalignment, or loss of bearing area. A structural evaluation should be considered. Concrete: advanced scaling, cracking, or leaching (significant structural cracks may be present) – there may be extensive delamination or spalling Steel: advanced corrosion (significant section loss) Timber: advanced splitting (significant decay or crushing) Masonry: advanced scaling, spalling, or cracking (joints separation or offset) | | | | | | |
| 3 | Serious Condition: Substructure has severe deterioration. Immediate corrective action may be required. Scour, erosion, or undermining may have resulted in severe settlement, movement, misalignment, or loss of bearing area. A structural evaluation is required. Concrete: severe spalling or structural cracking Steel: severe section loss Timber: severe decay or crushing Masonry: severe cracking, offset or misalignment | | | | | | |
| 2 | Critical Condition: Substructure has critical damage or deterioration (near the point of collapse). It may be necessary to close the bridge until corrective action is completed. Scour | | | | | | |
| | Imminent Failure Condition: Bridge is closed. Substructure is no longer stable (corrective | | | | | | |
| 1 | action might return the structure to restricted service). | | | | | | |
| 0 | Failed Condition: Bridge is closed due to substructure failure and is beyond corrective action (replacement required). | | | | | | |

2.1.5 Channel and Channel Protection Condition Rating (NBI Item 61)

| Channel and Channel Protection Condition Rating (NBI Item 61) | | | | |
|---|--|--|--|--|
| Code | This rating should reflect the overall general condition of the waterway under the bridge (or running through the culvert), even if the channel is occasionally dry. This rating can be based upon findings from routine inspections, soundings, or underwater inspections. This rating includes the channel and banks below the bridge, as well as immediately upstream and downstream of the bridge (typically those areas visible from the bridge). Changes in the channel – such as aggradation, degradation, or lateral stream migration – that might adversely affect the bridge should be considered in this rating. The presence of drift in the channel, debris lodged against the bridge, or sediment inside culvert barrels should not be considered in this rating, but instead noted in the inspection report or form. <i>Note: For bridges over a navigable waterway (NBI Item 38 coded as '1') the condition and adequacy of substructure protection devices (such as dolphins, fenders, and shear walls) must be rated using NBI Item 111.</i> | | | |
| | Not Applicable: Bridge is not over a waterway. | | | |
| 8 | Very Good Condition: Channel banks are protected (or well vegetated) – there is little or no erosion. Control structures and protection devices (if present) have little or no deterioration. Drift or debris in the channel is incidental. Culvert has little or no sediment. | | | |
| 7 | Good Condition: Channel has no notable aggradation, degradation, or lateral movement. There is no notable scour around the bridge substructure. The banks may have minor erosion – bank protection (if any) may have minor deterioration. Control structures and/or protection devices may have minor deterioration. There may be minor drift or debris in the channel. Culvert barrel may have minor sediment. | | | |
| 6 | Satisfactory Condition: Channel may have minor aggradation, degradation, or lateral movement. The channel banks may have moderate erosion or slumping. Bank protection may have moderate deterioration. Control structures and/or protection devices may have moderate deterioration. Drift or debris in the channel may be slightly restricting the channel. Culvert barrel may have moderate sediment. | | | |
| 5 | Fair Condition: Channel may have moderate aggradation, degradation, or lateral movement, but the bridge and approaches have not yet been adversely affected. The channel banks may have extensive erosion – the bank protection may have extensive deterioration. Control structures and/or protection devices may have extensive deterioration but are functioning as intended. Debris in the channel (or sediment in the culvert barrel) is restricting the channel and should be removed. | | | |
| 4 | Poor Condition: Aggradation, degradation, or lateral movement of the channel may be adversely affecting the structure or approaches. Channel banks may have severe erosion. The bank protection may have severe deterioration. Control structures and/or protection devices may be deteriorated to the extent that they are no longer functioning as intended. Large accumulations of debris or sediment are severely restricting the channel and should be removed immediately. | | | |
| 3 | Serious Condition: Aggradation, degradation, or lateral movement has altered the channel to the extent that the structure (or approach roadway) is threatened. Bank protection has failed. Control structures and/or protection devices have been destroyed. Channel is blocked by debris or sediment. | | | |
| 2 | Critical Condition: Aggradation, degradation, or lateral movement has altered the channel to the extent that the bridge (or culvert) is near a state of collapse. It may be necessary to close the bridge (or culvert) until corrective action is completed. | | | |
| 1 | Bridge closed due to channel failure: Corrective action may restore bridge to light service. | | | |
| 0 | Bridge closed due to channel failure: Replacement necessary. | | | |

2.1.6 Culvert Condition Rating (NBI Item 62)

| | Culvert Condition Rating (NBI Item 62) | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Code | This rating should reflect the overall general condition of the culvert. If this item is rated, the NBI deck, superstructure, and substructure ratings must all be "N". | | | | |
| Ν | Not Applicable: Structure is not a culvert. | | | | |
| 9 | Excellent Condition: Culvert is new condition (recently constructed). | | | | |
| 8 | Very Good Condition: Culvert has very minor (and isolated) deterioration. | | | | |
| 7 | Good Condition: Culvert has minor (or isolated) deterioration. Joints are sound and properly aligned (no leakage or backfill infiltration). Footings have no undermining. Concrete/Masonry: minor scaling, cracking, or leaching (isolated spalling) Steel: minor corrosion (little or no section loss) - barrel has no distortion Timber: minor splitting (no decay, crushing, or sagging) | | | | |
| 6 | Satisfactory Condition: Culvert has minor to moderate deterioration. Joints may have minor separation or misalignment (slight leakage or backfill infiltration). Concrete/Masonry: moderate scaling, cracking, or leaching (minor spalling) Steel: moderate corrosion (minor section loss) – barrel may have minor distortion (seams may have minor distress, but no cracking) Timber: moderate splitting (minor decay, crushing, or sagging) | | | | |
| 5 | Fair Condition: Culvert has moderate deterioration – repairs may be required, but the culvert is structurally sound and functioning as intended. Joints may have separation or misalignment (moderate backfill infiltration). Footings may be partially undermined (minor settlement). Concrete/Masonry: extensive scaling, cracking, or leaching (moderate spalling) Steel: extensive corrosion (any significant section loss is isolated) – barrel may have moderate distortion (seams may have missing bolts or isolated cracking) Timber: extensive splitting (moderate decay, crushing, or sagging) | | | | |
| 4 | Poor Condition: Culvert has advanced deterioration – structural evaluation or repairs may be necessary (structural integrity or functional capacity of the culvert may be slightly reduced). Footings may have significant undermining or settlement. Concrete/Masonry: advanced cracking, leaching, or scaling (significant spalling). Joints may have significant separation or misalignment. Steel: advanced corrosion (significant section loss) – barrel may have significant distortion (seams may have extensive cracking or isolated failures) Timber: advanced splitting (significant decay, crushing, or sagging) | | | | |
| 3 | Serious Condition: Culvert has serious deterioration – immediate repairs or corrective action may be required (structural integrity or functional capacity of the culvert has been significantly reduced). Joints may have severe deterioration, misalignment, offset, or separation. Loss of backfill may have resulted in significant settlement or undermining of the roadway or embankment. Severe undermining or settlement. Concrete/Masonry: severe scaling, cracking, or spalling Steel: severe section loss or severe barrel distortion (seams may have failed) Timber: severe decay, crushing, or sagging | | | | |
| 2 | Critical Condition: Culvert has critically advanced deterioration (near collapse) – it may be | | | | |
| necessary to close the roadway until corrective action is completed. | | | | | |
| 1 | service. | | | | |
| 0 | Failed Condition: Culvert is closed – replacement is necessary. | | | | |

3. NBI BRIDGE APPRAISAL RATINGS

The FHWA has six appraisal ratings that are used to evaluate a bridge in regard to the current standards for the particular highway system it is located on.

- Structural Evaluation (Item 67)
- Deck Geometry Appraisal Rating (NBI Item 68)
- Vertical and Horizontal Under Clearance Appraisal Rating (NBI Item 69)
- Bridge Posting Appraisal Rating (NBI Item 70)
- Waterway Adequacy Appraisal Rating (NBI Item 71)
- Approach Roadway Alignment Appraisal Rating (NBI Item 72)

NBI Items 67 (Structural Evaluation), 68 (Deck Geometry), and 69 (Under Clearance) are automatically calculated based upon other structure inventory items. These ratings are displayed on the South Dakota Structure Inventory & Appraisal Report. They are not typically displayed on the Bridge Inspection Report.

NBI Items 70 (Bridge Posting Appraisal Rating), 71 (Waterway Adequacy), and 72 (Approach Roadway Alignment) are displayed on the South Dakota Structure Inventory & Appraisal Report. The coding for these three items must be determined by the inspector or load rating engineer, and entered in BrM, according to the guidance below.

3.1 APPROACH ROADWAY ALIGNMENT APPRAISAL RATING (NBI ITEM 72)

NBI Item 72 is a general assessment that identifies bridges or culverts that do not function properly or adequately due to the approach roadway alignment. For new bridges or culverts, this item will initially be rated as "9" – an appropriate rating must be determined during the initial inspection. This item should also be reviewed if the bridge approaches have been reconstructed or reconfigured. This rating only applies to the roadway passing over the bridge (not the roadway passing below the bridge). For railroad or pedestrian bridges crossing over a roadway, this item should be coded as "N".

This rating is based upon the speed reduction required (due to the vertical or horizontal approach alignment) by a typical vehicle using the roadway. If an advisory speed limit is posted, the reduction from the base speed limit should be used to determine this rating. Note: Speed reductions necessary due to structure width shall not be considered when evaluating this item.

| Approach Roadway Alignment Appraisal Rating (NBI Item 72) | | | | |
|---|--|--|--|--|
| Code | Description | | | |
| Ν | Not Applicable (use for railroad or pedestrian bridges). | | | |
| 9 | New Structure – an appropriate rating code should be determined. | | | |
| 8 | No speed reduction required. | | | |
| 7 | Minor sight distance problems with no speed reduction required. | | | |
| 6 | Very minor speed reduction required (less than 5 MPH for a typical vehicle using the | | | |
| 0 | roadway). | | | |
| 5 | Minor speed reduction required (5 MPH for a typical vehicle using the roadway). | | | |
| 4 | Significant speed reduction required (6-10 MPH for a typical vehicle using the roadway). | | | |
| 2 | Intolerable alignment requiring a substantial reduction in the operating speed (11-20 MPH | | | |
| | for a typical vehicle using the roadway). | | | |
| | Severe vertical or horizontal alignment problems, such as a sharp vertical or horizontal curve | | | |
| 2 | immediately adjacent to the bridge (speed reduction greater than 20 MPH for a typical | | | |
| | vehicle using the roadway). | | | |
| 1 | This rating code should not be used. | | | |
| 0 | Bridge Closed. | | | |

3.2 WATERWAY ADEQUACY APPRAISAL RATING (NBI ITEM 71)

This rating is a general assessment of the waterway opening with respect to the passage of flow through the bridge. This rating is based upon the frequency of "overtopping" of the bridge and approach (and the resultant traffic delays). The functional class of the roadway is also taken into consideration. Site conditions may warrant somewhat higher or lower ratings than indicated by the table (e.g., flooding of an urban area due to a restricted bridge opening). Note: When a new bridge or culvert is added to the SDDOT bridge database, this item will initially be coded as "9" – as this coding may not be appropriate, this item should always be reviewed for new bridges.

The descriptions given in the table below are defined as:

| Chances of Overtopping | | | | Traffic Delays |
|---|------------------------|-------------|---------------|---------------------------------|
| Remote | Greater than 100 years | | Incignificant | Minor inconvenience (impassable |
| Slight | 11 to 100 years | Insignmeant | | for a few hours) |
| Occasional | 3 to 10 years | | Significant | Traffic delays of up to several |
| Frequent | Less than 3 years | | Significant | days |
| "Freehoard" is defined as the distance from the bottom of the superstructure to the water surface (at | | | | |

"Freeboard" is defined as the distance from the bottom of the superstructure to the water surface (at the water level of the 50-year frequency design storm)

| Waterway Adequacy Appraisal Rating (NBI Item 71) | | | | | |
|--|---|---|---|--|--|
| Functional Classification | | | | | |
| Interstates, Freeways, or Expressways | Other Principal and Minor Arterial and Major Collectors | Minor Collectors and Local Roads | Description | | |
| N | N | Ν | Bridge not over a waterway. | | |
| 9 | 9 | 9 | Bridge deck and roadway approaches above floodwater elevations (high water). Chance of overtopping is remote. | | |
| 8 | 8 | 8 Bridge deck above roadway approaches. Slight chance overtopping roadway approaches. | | | |
| 6 | 6 | 7Bridge deck above roadway approaches. Slight chance overtopping bridge deck and roadway approaches.6Bridge deck above roadway approaches. Occasional overtopping of roadway approaches with insignificant traffic delays. | | | |
| 4 | 5 | | | | |
| 3 | 4 | 5 | Bridge deck above roadway approaches. Occasional overtopping of roadway approaches with significant traffic delays. | | |
| 2 | 3 | 4 | Occasional overtopping of bridge deck and roadway approaches with significant traffic delays. | | |
| 2 | 2 | 3 | Frequent overtopping of bridge deck and roadway approaches with significant traffic delays. | | |
| 2 | 2 | 2 | Occasional or frequent overtopping of bridge deck and roadway approaches with severe traffic delays. | | |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | Bridge closed. | | |

3.3 STRUCTURE ELEMENT CONDITION RATINGS

Structure element condition ratings provide a detailed condition evaluation of the bridge by dividing the bridge into separate elements, which are then rated individually based upon the severity and extent of any deterioration. This rating system was developed by the American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials (AASHTO) and is outlined in the "AASHTO Manual for Bridge Element Inspection".

3.3.1 Introduction to Structure Element Condition Ratings

Structure element condition ratings provide input data for a Bridge Management System, which allows computer projections of deterioration rates, providing cost-effective options for bridge maintenance, rehabilitation, or replacement. Bridge Management Systems are intended to be a source of information (and qualitative backing) for engineers and managers responsible for long-range bridge improvement programs. SDDOT adopted an element-based bridge inspection format in 1998 to comply with the 1991 Inter-Modal Surface Transportation Efficiency Act (ISTEA), which mandated that all states develop and implement a Bridge Management System (BMS) by October of 1998. In 2014, the FHWA mandated that element level condition ratings (based upon the AASHTO Manual for Bridge Element Inspection) be submitted for all bridges on the National Highway System (NHS). These were implemented in the spring of 2015.

An "element" refers to structure members (beams, pier columns, decks, etc.), or any other components (railings, expansion joints, approach panels, etc.) commonly found on a bridge or structure length culvert.

General

It should be noted there can be more than one element at superstructure and substructure locations. The most common places where multiple elements are likely to occur is at abutments, bents/piers, or at node locations on a truss.

Example:



Timber Pier Cap at an Abutment

The example picture would have the following elements coded at this abutment.

- 206 Timber Columns
- 216 Timber Abutment *backing planks*.
- 228 Timber Pile depending on the plans and whether it is specified as a column or pile.
- 235 Timber Pier/Bearing Cap *beam on top of the columns/piling.*

3.3.2 Environments

The behavior of each bridge element over time and its rate of deterioration is governed by the environment it is in. These include, weather, deicing salts, traffic, etc. Environmental effects consist of four categories and each element or part of an element is placed in one of them. The four categories are listed below:

- **Benign** "1" Neither environmental nor operating practices are likely to change the condition of the element over time.
- Low "2"- The effect of the environment or operating practices is small on the deterioration rates of the bridge elements.
- **Moderate** "**3**" Changes in the condition of the elements are affected by environmental factors or operating practices.
- Severe "4" Environmental factors or operating practices contribute to the rapid decline in the condition of the element.

The following guidelines have been established by the SDDOT in assigning environments:

State Highway System & Urban Systems:

- All elements above and including the deck will be coded as "3".
- Elements below an open joint will be coded as "3". This includes abutments, bearings, bent caps, and girder ends (first 6 feet).
- Elements below sealed joints will be coded as "2".
- Columns will always be coded as "2".

Non-State Highway System

All bridges will have their elements coded as "2".

Structure Element Types

AASHTO defines three basic element types:

- National Bridge Elements (NBEs) represent the primary structural components of a bridge or culvert (bearings and railings are also included). The condition rating language for NBE's cannot be altered, as these are intended to remain consistent across the country.
- Bridge Maintenance Elements (BME's) include components of the bridge such as joints, wearing surfaces, and protective coating systems that might be managed by agencies using Bridge Management Systems. The condition rating language for BME's can be altered by states to best suit their bridge management practices.
- Agency-Developed Elements (ADEs) are custom elements defined by an agency. They may be sub-elements of NBE's or BME's or may have no ties to the AASHTO elements. ADE's provide some flexibility for agencies to rate specific bridge components not addressed by the NBE's or BME's.

Structure elements are also classified into five groups, depending upon structural function:

- Deck Elements (decks, slabs, wearing surface, deck joints, railings, and approaches)
- Superstructure Elements (girders, beams, arches, trusses, and bearings)
- Substructure Elements (abutments, piles, columns, pier caps, pier walls, and footings)
- Culvert Elements (culvert barrels, culvert end treatments, and roadway above culvert)
- Miscellaneous Elements (bridge components that do not fall under the other groups)

Structure elements are also divided into six material groups:

- Steel or Metal Elements
- Reinforced Concrete Elements
- Pre-stressed (and Post-Tensioned) Concrete Elements
- Timber Elements
- Masonry Elements
- Other Material Elements (Aluminum, Plastic, Composite, Etc.)

3.3.3 Structure Element Quantities

All element quantities calculations should follow Section 3.1 of the Manual for Bridge Element Inspection (MBEI), 2nd Edition, any interim revisions, or its successor.

Structure element quantities may be expressed in three ways:

• Square Feet (SF): elements such as decks, slabs, wearing surfaces, and coatings are expressed in square feet (SF) quantities.

Examples:

- Decks/Slabs Out to out width x bridge length
- Wearing Surfaces Surface length x curb to curb width.
- Linear Feet (LF): elements such as girders, beams, box girders, culvert barrels, deck joints, and railings are expressed in linear feet (LF) quantities.

Examples

- Girders/Stringers Σ of span lengths x number of girder lines.
- Arches Σ of span lengths x # of arch lines.
- Joints Out to out length x # of joints.
- Each (EA): elements such as columns, pilings, and bearings are expressed as each (EA) quantities.

Example – On a bridge with three bents, and three columns at each bent, the column quantity would be 9 EA.

3.3.4 Structure Element Ratings

Structure elements are all rated on a scale of 1-4. Condition state 1 is the best condition, with condition state 4 being the worst condition (this is the reverse of the NBI condition ratings).

If the severity of deterioration varies within a particular element, the element should be rated using more than one condition state. Example, on a bridge with 500 LF of beams, 250 LF could be rated as condition state 1, 150 LF could be rated as condition state 2, and 100 LF could be rated as condition state 3, and condition state 4 would be 0 LF.

Elements expressed as an "Each" (EA) quantity can also be rated using more than one condition state (but only if the total quantity is greater than one). Example, on a bridge with 9 columns, five could be rated as condition state 1, three could be rated as condition state 2, and one could be rated as condition state 3, and condition state 4 would be 0.

3.3.5 Defect Priority Rankings

The SDDOT has determined a high to low priority ranking for the defect rankings for the elements and protection systems as noted in the latest edition of the AASHTO Manual for Bridge Element Inspection and any Agency Defined Elements (ADEs) adopted by the State. For example, if 1 LF of box culvert has a spall, delamination, and crack all in the same linear foot, the worst-case defect would be rated. The priority rankings have been grouped by material types for ease of use and are as follows:

| Steel / Metal Condition State Priorities | | | | | | | |
|--|----------|-------------|-------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Rank | Defect # | Defect Name | Defect Name Notes | | | | |
| 1 | 1010 | Cracking | | | | | |
| 2 | 1020 | Connection | | | | | |
| 3 | 1900 | Distortion | | | | | |
| 4 | 1000 | Corrosion | | | | | |
| 5 | 4000 | Settlement | Case by Case | | | | |
| 5 | 6000 | Scour | Case by Case | | | | |
| 5 | 7000 | Damage | Case by Case | | | | |

| Steel Protective Coatings Condition State Priorities | | | | | | |
|--|----------|---|------------------|--|--|--|
| Rank | Defect # | Defect Name Notes | | | | |
| 1 | 3420 | Peeling/Bubbling/Cracking | | | | |
| 2 | 3440 | Effectiveness | | | | |
| 3 | 3430 | Oxide Film Degradation Color/Texture Adherence | Weathering Steel | | | |
| 4 | 3410 | Chalking | | | | |

| Wearing Surface Condition State Priorities | | | | | | |
|--|----------|----------------------------|--------------|--|--|--|
| Rank | Defect # | Defect Name Notes | | | | |
| 1 | 3230 | Effectiveness | | | | |
| 2 | 2240 | Delamination/Spall/Patched | | | | |
| | 5210 | Area/Pothole | | | | |
| 3 | 3220 | Cracking | | | | |
| 4 | 7000 | Damage | Case by Case | | | |

| Reinforced/Prestressed Concrete Condition State Priorities | | | | | |
|--|----------|----------------------------------|------------------|--|--|
| Rank | Defect # | Defect Name | Notes | | |
| 1 | 1100 | Exposed Prestressing | | | |
| 2 | 1090 | Exposed Rebar | | | |
| 3 | 1080 | Delamination/Spall/Patched Areas | | | |
| 4 | 1110 | Cracking | Prestressed | | |
| 4 | 1130 | Cracking | Reinforced | | |
| 5 | 1120 | Efflorescence/Rust | | | |
| 6 | 1190 | Abrasion/Wear/Scale | | | |
| 7 | 1900 | Distortion | Prestressed Only | | |
| 7 | 4000 | Settlement | Case by Case | | |
| 7 | 6000 | Scour | Case by Case | | |
| 7 | 7000 | Damage | Case by Case | | |

Structure Element Display (BrM Inspection Report)

Only structure elements that have been entered for a bridge will be displayed on the BrM Inspection Report. The element condition ratings from the most recent inspection, as well as those from the previous inspection(s), are displayed on the inspection report in "SF", "LF", or "Each" quantities. Inspection notes pertaining to each element are displayed directly below the element. It is the Team Leaders responsibility to verify that the elements and quantities displayed on the inspection report are correct.

3.3.6 SDDOT Structure Element List

This list displays all the structure elements currently being used by SDDOT. This includes AASHTO elements and elements developed by SDDOT. AASHTO elements that do not apply to bridges in South Dakota are still included in this manual.

This element list is arranged in groups based upon the structural function and material type, in the same order that they are arranged in this manual. The AASHTO element numbering systems is used for National Bridge Elements (NBE's) and Bridge Management Elements (BME's). Agency-Developed Elements (ADE's) created by SDDOT are numbered starting with 800.

| | SDDOT Structure Element List | | | | | |
|-----|---|----------------------|---------------|----|-----------|--|
| # | Element Description | Type Component Units | | | | |
| | Deck & Slab Elements | | - | | | |
| 12 | Reinforced Concrete Deck | NBE | Deck | SF | <u>31</u> | |
| 865 | High Performance Concrete Deck | ADE | Deck | SF | <u>31</u> | |
| 13 | Prestressed Concrete Deck | NBE | Deck | SF | <u>35</u> | |
| 15 | Prestressed Concrete Top Flange | NBE | Deck | SF | <u>35</u> | |
| 16 | Reinforced Concrete Top Flange | NBE | Deck | SF | <u>31</u> | |
| 28 | Steel Grid Deck - Open | NBE | Deck | SF | <u>40</u> | |
| 29 | Steel Grid Deck - Concrete Filled | NBE | Deck | SF | <u>40</u> | |
| 30 | Steel Deck – Corrugated, Orthotropic, etc. | NBE | Deck | SF | <u>40</u> | |
| 31 | Timber Deck | NBE | Deck | SF | <u>37</u> | |
| 38 | Reinforced Concrete Slab | NBE | Deck | SF | <u>31</u> | |
| 866 | High Performance Concrete Slab | ADE | Deck | SF | <u>31</u> | |
| 54 | Timber Slab | NBE | Deck | SF | <u>37</u> | |
| 60 | Other Material Deck | NBE | Deck | SF | <u>43</u> | |
| 65 | Other Material Slab | NBE | Deck | SF | <u>43</u> | |
| | Wearing Surface Elements/Protection Systems | | | | | |
| 510 | Wearing Surface | BME | Deck | SF | <u>45</u> | |
| 809 | Gravel Wearing Surface | ADE | Deck | SF | <u>52</u> | |
| 810 | Low Slump Dense Concrete (LSDC) overlay | ADE | Deck | SF | <u>46</u> | |
| 811 | Latex Modified Concrete (LMC) overlay | ADE | Deck | SF | <u>46</u> | |
| 812 | Epoxy/Polymer Chip Seal (ECS/PCS) overlay | ADE | Deck | SF | <u>50</u> | |
| 813 | Asphalt Concrete w/ Membrane overlay | ADE | Deck | SF | <u>49</u> | |
| 814 | Asphalt Concrete w/o Membrane overlay | ADE | Deck | SF | <u>49</u> | |
| 830 | Timber Running Planks | ADE | Deck | SF | <u>51</u> | |
| 831 | Rubberized Asphalt Chip Seal (RACs) overlay | ADE | Deck | SF | <u>49</u> | |
| 832 | Fiber Concrete Overlay | ADE | Deck | SF | <u>46</u> | |
| 833 | Concrete Overlay (A40 or A45) | ADE | Deck | SF | <u>46</u> | |
| | Concrete Reinforcing Steel Protective System Elements | | | | | |
| 520 | Concrete Reinforcing Steel Protective System | BME | Miscellaneous | SF | <u>53</u> | |
| 820 | Epoxy Coated Resteel | ADE | Miscellaneous | SF | <u>53</u> | |
| 821 | Stainless Resteel | ADE | Miscellaneous | SF | <u>53</u> | |
| 822 | Zinc and Epoxy Resteel | ADE | Miscellaneous | SF | <u>53</u> | |

| SDDOT Structure Element List | | | | | | | |
|------------------------------|--|--------------------|----------------|-------|------------|--|--|
| # | Element Description | Туре | Component | Units | Page | | |
| | Concrete Protective System Elements | | | | | | |
| 521 | Concrete Protective Coating | BME | Deck | SF | 53 | | |
| 825 | Silanes/Siloxanes | ADE | Deck | SF | 53 | | |
| 826 | Methacrylates | ADE | Deck | SF | 53 | | |
| 827 | Silicates | ADE | Deck | SF | 53 | | |
| | Deck Joint Elements | | | | | | |
| 300 | Strip Seal Expansion Joint | BME | Deck/Approach | LF | 55 | | |
| 301 | Poured Joint Seal | BME | Deck/Approach | LF | 57 | | |
| 302 | Compression Joint Seal | BME | Deck/Approach | LF | 59 | | |
| 303 | Assembly Joint with Seal (Modular) | BME | Deck/Approach | LF | 61 | | |
| 304 | Open Expansion Joint | BME | Deck/Approach | LF | 63 | | |
| 305 | Assembly Joint without Seal | BME | Deck/Approach | LF | 65 | | |
| 306 | Other Joint | BME | Deck/Approach | LF | 54 | | |
| | Bridge Railing Elements | | | | | | |
| 330 | Metal Bridge Railing | NBE | Deck | LF | 68 | | |
| 331 | Reinforced Concrete Bridge Railing | NBE | Deck | LF | 70 | | |
| 332 | Timber Bridge Railing | NBE | Deck | LF | 74 | | |
| 333 | Other Material Bridge Railing | NBE | Deck | LF | 76 | | |
| 334 | Masonry Bridge Railing | NBE | Deck | LF | 77 | | |
| | Bridge Approach Roadway Elements | h Roadway Elements | | | | | |
| 320 | Prestressed Concrete Approach Slab | BME | Approach | SF | 79 | | |
| 321 | Reinforced Concrete Approach Slab | BME | Approach | SF | 79 | | |
| 870 | Asphalt Concrete Approaches | ADE | Approach | SF | 82 | | |
| 872 | Gravel Approaches | ADE | Approach | SF | 82 | | |
| 873 | Sidewalk Approaches | ADE | Approach | SF | <u>79</u> | | |
| 875 | Approach Smoothness Near Beginning of Bridge | ADE | Approach | EA | 84 | | |
| 876 | Approach Smoothness Near End of Bridge | ADE | Approach | EA | <u>84</u> | | |
| | Steel Superstructure Elements | | | | | | |
| 102 | Steel Closed Web/Box Girder | NBE | Superstructure | LF | <u>87</u> | | |
| 107 | Steel Open Girder or Beam | NBE | Superstructure | LF | <u>87</u> | | |
| 113 | Steel Stringer | NBE | Superstructure | LF | <u>87</u> | | |
| 120 | Steel Truss | NBE | Superstructure | LF | <u>87</u> | | |
| 141 | Steel Arch | NBE | Superstructure | LF | <u>87</u> | | |
| 147 | Steel Cable – Primary | NBE | Superstructure | LF | <u>139</u> | | |
| 148 | Steel Cable – Secondary | NBE | Superstructure | Each | <u>140</u> | | |
| 152 | Steel Floor Beam | NBE | Superstructure | LF | <u>87</u> | | |
| 161 | Pin, Pin & Hanger Assembly, or Both | NBE | Superstructure | Each | <u>136</u> | | |
| 162 | Steel Gusset Plate | NBE | Superstructure | Each | <u>87</u> | | |
| | Steel Substructure Elements | | | | | | |
| 202 | Steel Column | NBE | Substructure | Each | <u>92</u> | | |
| 207 | Steel Column Tower (Trestle) | NBE | Substructure | LF | <u>90</u> | | |
| 219 | Steel Abutment | NBE | Substructure | | <u>90</u> | | |
| 225 | Steel Piling | NBE | Substructure | Each | <u>92</u> | | |
| 231 | Steel Pier Cap | NBE | Substructure | LF | <u>90</u> | | |
| | Steel Protective Coating | | | | | | |
| 515 | Steel Protective Coating | BME | Miscellaneous | SF | <u>95</u> | | |
| 815 | Weathering Steel Coating | ADE | Miscellaneous | SF | <u>95</u> | | |
| 816 | Lead Based Coating | ADE | Miscellaneous | SF | <u>95</u> | | |
| 817 | Non-Lead Based Coating | ADE | Miscellaneous | SF | <u>95</u> | | |
| 818 | Metallized/Galvanized Coating | ADE | Miscellaneous | SF | <u>95</u> | | |

| | SDDOT Structure Element List | | | | | | |
|------|--|----------------------------------|----------------|-------|-------------------|--|--|
| # | Element Description | Туре | Component | Units | Page | | |
| - | Reinforced Concrete Superstructure Elements | | | | | | |
| 105 | Reinforced Concrete Closed Web/Box Girder | NBE | Superstructure | LF | 99 | | |
| 110 | Reinforced Concrete Open Girder or Beam | NBE | Superstructure | LF | 99 | | |
| 116 | Reinforced Concrete Stringer | NBE | Superstructure | LF | 99 | | |
| 144 | Reinforced Concrete Arch | NBE | Superstructure | LF | 99 | | |
| 155 | Reinforced Concrete Floor Beam | NBE | Superstructure | LF | 99 | | |
| | Reinforced Concrete Substructure Elements | | | | | | |
| 205 | Reinforced Concrete Column | NBE | Substructure | Each | <u>104</u> | | |
| 210 | Reinforced Concrete Pier Wall | NBE | Substructure | LF | <u>102</u> | | |
| 215 | Reinforced Concrete Abutment | NBE | Substructure | LF | <u>102</u> | | |
| 220 | Reinforced Concrete Pile Cap/Footing | NBE | Substructure | LF | <u>102</u> | | |
| 227 | Reinforced Concrete Piling | NBE | Substructure | Each | <u>104</u> | | |
| 234 | Reinforced Concrete Pier/Bent Cap | NBE | Substructure | LF | <u>102</u> | | |
| | Prestressed Concrete Superstructure Elements | | | | | | |
| 104 | Prestressed Concrete Closed Web/Box Girder | NBE | Superstructure | LF | <u>108</u> | | |
| 109 | Prestressed Concrete Open Girder or Beam | NBE | Superstructure | LF | <u>108</u> | | |
| 115 | Prestressed Concrete Stringer | NBE | Superstructure | LF | <u>108</u> | | |
| 143 | Prestressed Concrete Arch | NBE | Superstructure | LF | <u>108</u> | | |
| 154 | Prestressed Concrete Floor Beam | NBE Superstructure | | LF | <u>108</u> | | |
| | Prestressed Concrete Substructure Elements | | | | | | |
| 204 | Prestressed Concrete Column | NBE | Substructure | Each | <u>111</u> | | |
| 226 | Prestressed Concrete Piling | NBE | Substructure | Each | <u>111</u> | | |
| 233 | Prestressed Concrete Pier/Bent Cap | e Pier/Bent Cap NBE Substructure | | LF | <u>111</u> | | |
| | Timber Superstructure Elements | | | | | | |
| 111 | Timber Girder or Beam | NBE | Superstructure | LF | <u>113</u> | | |
| 117 | Timber Stringer | NBE | Superstructure | LF | <u>113</u> | | |
| 135 | Timber Truss | NBE | Superstructure | LF | <u>113</u> | | |
| 146 | Timber Arch | NBE | Superstructure | LF | <u>113</u> | | |
| 156 | Timber Floor Beam | NBE | Superstructure | LF | <u>113</u> | | |
| | Timber Substructure Elements | | | | | | |
| 206 | Timber Column | NBE | Substructure | Each | <u>116</u> | | |
| 208 | Timber Column Tower (Trestle) | NBE | Substructure | | <u>116</u> | | |
| 212 | Timber Pier Wall | NBE | Substructure | | <u>116</u> | | |
| 216 | Timber Abutment | NBE | Substructure | | <u>116</u> | | |
| 228 | | NBE | Substructure | Each | <u>116</u> | | |
| 235 | Timber Pier/Bent Cap | NBE | Substructure | | <u>116</u> | | |
| 4.45 | Masonry Superstructure & Substructure Elements | NDE | 0 | | 110 | | |
| 145 | Masonry Arch | NBE | Superstructure | | <u>119</u> | | |
| 213 | Masonry Pier Wall | | Substructure | | 119 | | |
| 217 | Masonry Abutment | INBE | Substructure | | <u>119</u> | | |
| 210 | Electomeric Rearing | NPE | Suporatruatura | Each | 105 | | |
| 214 | Elasioneno Deanny Moveable (Pollor Sliding Decker etc.) Peering | | Superstructure | | 120 | | |
| 312 | Enclosed/Concealed Boaring | | Superstructure | Each | <u>120</u> | | |
| 31Z | Enclosed/Concealed Dealing | | Superstructure | | 124 | | |
| 313 | | | Superstructure | Each | <u>131</u> 122 | | |
| 314 | | NRE | Superstructure | Each | 122 | | |
| 315 | Other Bearing | | Superstructure | Each | 100 | | |
| 510 | | | | | 144 | | |

| SDDOT Structure Element List | | | | | | | | | |
|----------------------------------|---|-----|---------------|------|------------|--|--|--|--|
| # | Element Description Type Component Units Page | | | | | | | | |
| | Culvert Elements | | | | | | | | |
| 240 | Culvert – Steel | NBE | Culvert | LF | <u>146</u> | | | | |
| 241 | Culvert – Reinforced Concrete | NBE | Culvert | LF | <u>149</u> | | | | |
| 242 Culvert – Timber NBE Culvert | | LF | <u>155</u> | | | | | | |
| 243 | Culvert – Other Material | NBE | Culvert | LF | <u>157</u> | | | | |
| 244 | Culvert – Masonry | NBE | Culvert | LF | <u>159</u> | | | | |
| 245 | Culvert – Prestressed Concrete | NBE | Culvert | LF | <u>149</u> | | | | |
| 841 | Culvert – Precast Concrete | ADE | Culvert | LF | <u>149</u> | | | | |
| 842 | Culvert Wingwall | ADE | Culvert | EA | <u>163</u> | | | | |
| 843 | Culvert Apron | ADE | Culvert | EA | <u>163</u> | | | | |
| 871 | Roadway Over Culvert | ADE | Culvert | Each | <u>161</u> | | | | |
| | Other Elements | | | | | | | | |
| 880 | Utilities | ADE | Miscellaneous | Each | <u>165</u> | | | | |

3.4 CRITICAL FINDINGS AND SAFETY HAZARDS

3.4.1 Critical Findings or Safety Hazards

The SDDOT has a policy in place with required forms that must be followed for identification and documentation of critical findings. See Appendix C of the manual.

3.5 DECK AND SLAB ELEMENTS

3.5.1 Rating Procedures for Decks and Slabs

Most typical decks or slabs will be rated using two structure elements. The underside is rated using one of the deck or slab elements, and the top is rated using Element #510 (Wearing Surface) or the correct Agency Defined Element (ADE).

The square feet (SF) quantity should include the full width of the deck (out-to-out dimension) over the length of the bridge. If segments of a bridge deck are comprised of different material types, more than one deck (or slab) element should be used. If the roadway and sidewalk decks are comprised of different materials, they should be rated under separate deck (or slab) elements.

The SF quantities may be broken up into multiple conditions states. In most situations, the deck (or slab) element rating will be based upon the underside condition. In this manual, the condition rating descriptions for deck and slab elements are divided into four material groups:

- Concrete Decks & Slabs (Elements #12, #16, #38, #865, and #866)
- Prestressed Concrete Decks & Slabs (Elements #13 and #15)
- Timber Decks & Slabs (Elements #31 and #54)
- Steel Decks (Elements #28, #29, and #30)
- Other Material Decks & Slabs (Elements #60 and #65)

Most bridge decks in South Dakota consist of reinforced concrete. Virtually all concrete bridge decks constructed in South Dakota since approximately 1980 have epoxy coated reinforcement. It is the intention of the SDDOT to start switching over to stainless-steel reinforcement for DOT-owned structures where it makes economic sense.

Element #510 is used to rate the top surface on bridge decks or slabs with any wearing surface type or material or the appropriate ADE should be used. This element or any wearing surface ADEs do not apply to bridges with the decks or slabs placed in one monolithic concrete pour.

- On roadway bridges, the SF wearing surface quantity includes only the roadway surface area (curb to curb). Sidewalks, curbs, and raised medians are excluded.
- On pedestrian bridges, the SF wearing surface quantity includes the entire top deck surface area (curb-to-curb or rail-to-rail).
- For bridge decks that carry only rail traffic, Element #510 does not have to be rated. There is no need for a roadway agency to inspect the top of the deck on an active railroad. An appropriate deck element should be selected and rated (based upon the underside condition). The inspection report notes should indicate if the railroad is active and how many tracks are present.

Element #521 (Concrete Protective Coating) is intended only for concrete bridge decks that have been "sealed" with a waterproof sealant or the appropriate ADE should be used.

3.5.2 Reinforced Concrete Decks & Slabs (Elements #12, #16, #38, #865, and #866)

Reinforced Concrete Deck & Slab Elements

#12: Reinforced Concrete Deck (SF)#16: Reinforced Concrete Top Flange (SF)#38: Reinforced Concrete Slab (SF)

#865: High Performance Concrete Deck (SF) #866: High Performance Concrete Slab (SF)

These elements describe the condition of reinforced concrete decks or slabs. The deck overhangs and vertical fascia edges should also be considered in this rating. The top surface of the deck or slab is rated using the appropriate wearing surface element.

• Element #16 (Reinforced Concrete Top Flange) refers to the upper horizontal "flange" of box girders, cast-in-place concrete T-girders, or precast concrete channel or double-T beams.

| | Condition States | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|--|--|--|
| Item or Defect | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | | |
| | Good | Fair | Poor | Severe | | |
| Delamination, Spall, Patched Area (1080) | None | Delamination (not yet loose). Spall 1" or less deep <u>and</u> 6" or less in diameter. Repaired area is sound. | Loose delamination. Spall more than 1" deep <u>or</u> more than 6" diameter. Repaired area is unsound or distressed. | Loose delamination (safety hazard). Spalling greater than 3" deep. Full-depth failures present or imminent. | | |
| Exposed Reinforcement (1090) | None | Exposed rebar without measurable section loss. | Exposed rebar with measurable section loss. | Exposed rebar has severe section loss. | | |
| Efflorescence, Water/Salt Saturation, Rust Staining or Leaching (1120) | None | Light leaching (little or no build-up) or light water saturation. | Heavy leaching (significant build-up or stalactites). Significant water/salt saturation. Rust stains indicating rebar corrosion. | Severe leaching or severe salt/water saturation (deck failure imminent). | | |
| Cracking and Pattern/Map Cracking (1130) | Insignificant cracks (less than 0.012") or moderate width cracks that have been sealed. | Unsealed moderate (0.012" to 0.05") width cracks. Unsealed moderate density pattern/map (spacing of greater than 1' to 3' on center) with moderate width cracking. | Wide (greater than 0.05" to 0.125") cracks. Heavy density pattern/map with unsealed moderate width cracking or greater (spacing of 1' or less on center). | Severe (greater than 0.125") cracks or full depth fractures. Deck failure may be imminent. | | |
| Scale, Abrasion, or Wear (1190) | Superficial. | Coarse aggregate is exposed (½" deep or less) but remains secure in the concrete matrix. | Coarse aggregate is loose (greater than ½" to 3") or popped out of the concrete matrix. | Severe voiding (greater than 3") or concrete is unsound. | | |
| Damage (7000) | None. | Minor to moderate impact damage. Impact captured in condition state 2 under the appropriate defect. | Significant impact damage. Impact captured in condition state 3 under the appropriate defect. | Severe impact damage. Impact captured in condition state 4 under the appropriate defect. | | |
| Cracks are ty | pically documen | ted as a linear feet (LF) | quantity and are assumed | to be 1' wide for ease | | |

of calculations and consistency.

• As a general rule, pattern or map cracked areas or areas with concentrated cracking can be documented and rated as a square foot (SF) area.

Reinforced Concrete Deck & Slab Elements

#12: Reinforced Concrete Deck (SF)#16: Reinforced Concrete Top Flange (SF)#38: Reinforced Concrete Slab (SF)

#865: High Performance Concrete Deck (SF) #866: High Performance Concrete Slab (SF)

Condition Rating Examples (Reinforced Concrete Decks & Slabs)



Condition State 2 Transverse crack on the underside of a concrete deck with light leaching (efflorescence)



Condition State 2 Diagonal crack on the underside of a concrete deck with light leaching (efflorescence)



Condition State 2 Map cracking (moderate width) on the underside of a deck with no leaching or water saturation



Condition State 2 Light water saturation on the underside of a concrete deck





| 3.5.3 | Prestressed | Concrete | Decks a | and Slabs | (Elements | #13 | and # | 15) |
|-------|-------------|----------|---------|-----------|-----------|-----|-------|-----|
|-------|-------------|----------|---------|-----------|-----------|-----|-------|-----|

| Prestressed Concrete Deck & Slab Elements | | | | | | | | |
|---|--|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| #13: Pres | tressed Concrete | Deck (SF) | #15: Prestressed Cond | crete Top Flange (SF) | | | | |
| These elements describe the underside condition of prestressed (or post-tensioned) concrete decks or slabs. The deck overhangs and vertical fascia edges should also be considered in this rating. The top surface of the deck or slab is rated using the appropriate wearing surface element. Element #15 (Prestressed Concrete Top Flange) refers to the upper horizontal "flange" of prestressed box girders or prestressed Bulb. Double, or Quad Tees. | | | | | | | | |
| | | Cond | dition States | | | | | |
| Defects | ects 1 2 3 4 | | | | | | | |
| | Good | Fair | Poor | Severe | | | | |
| Structural Review, Repairs, or Underpinning | No deck repairs present. | Repaired area that is sound. | Repaired area that is unsound or showing distress. Structural underpinning in fair to poor condition. | Immediate repairs or structural review required. Full-depth failures present or imminent. | | | | |
| Delamination, Spall, Patched Area (1080) | None | Delamination (not yet loose). Spalling 1" or less deep <u>and</u> 6" or less in diameter. | Loose delamination. Spalling greater than 1" deep <u>or</u> greater than 6" diameter. Exposed or corroded rebar. | Loose delamination (safety hazard). Spalling deeper than 3" or rebar with severe section loss. | | | | |
| Exposed Rebar (1090) | None. | Exposed without measurable section loss. | Exposed rebar with measurable section loss. | Exposed rebar has severe section loss. | | | | |
| Exposed Prestressing Strands (1100) | None | Exposed without section loss. | Exposed with corrosion or section loss (not severed). | Exposed with severe section loss (or severed). | | | | |
| Cracking and Pattern/Map Cracking (1110) | Insignificant cracks (less than 0.004") or moderate width cracks that have been sealed. | Unsealed moderate (0.004" to 0.009") width cracks. Unsealed moderate density pattern/map (spacing of greater than 1' to 3' on center) with moderate width cracking. | Wide (greater than 0.009" to 0.02") cracks. Heavy density pattern/map with unsealed moderate width cracking or greater (spacing of 1' or less on center). | Severe (greater than 0.125") cracks or full depth fractures. Deck failure may be imminent. | | | | |
| Efflorescence, Water/Salt Saturation, Rust Staining or Leaching (1120) | None | Light leaching (little or no build-up) or light water saturation. | Heavy leaching (significant build-up or stalactites). Significant water/salt saturation. Rust stains indicating rebar corrosion. | Severe leaching or severe salt/water saturation (deck failure imminent). | | | | |
| Scale, Abrasion, or Wear (1190) | Superficial. | Coarse aggregate is exposed (½" deep or less) but remains secure in the concrete matrix. | Coarse aggregate is loose (greater than ½" to 3") or popped out of the concrete matrix. | Severe voiding (greater than 3") or concrete is unsound. | | | | |
| Cracks are typ calculations and As a general r and rated as a | bically documented nd consistency. rule, pattern or map a SF area. | as a linear feet (LF) qua cracked areas or areas | ntity and are assumed to with concentrated cracki | be 1' wide for ease of ng can be documented | | | | |



Condition State 3 Cracking with heavy leaching on the underside of a prestressed voided slab panel

Condition State 4 Loose delamination (over traffic) on the underside of prestressed voided slab panel
3.5.4 Timber Decks and Slabs (Elements #31 and #54)

Timber Deck & Slab Elements

#31: Timber Deck (SF) These elements describe the condition of timber decks (or slabs). This includes timber plank decks, gluelam timber deck panels, and nail laminated timber decks or slabs. The rating will typically reflect the underside condition but should also consider the top condition on bare timber decks or slabs. If a wearing surface (bituminous overlay, gravel, timber wearing planks, or other material) is present, Element #510 (Wearing Surface) or another applicable element must also be rated.



Timber Plank Decks

Plank decks are comprised of transverse timber planks (wide dimension in the horizontal plane). The planks are typically clipped to the top flange of steel beams and nailed (or bolted) to timber beams. Timber plank decks are found primarily on low-volume roads or pedestrian bridges. Timber plank decks are typically bare (no overlay), but longitudinal wearing planks are sometimes present along the wheel tracks.



Nail-Laminated Timber Decks

Nailed-laminated timber decks consist of transverse timbers (wide dimension in the vertical position) that are nailed to the adjacent timbers. These are often installed in pre-nailed sections, with overlap joints between adjacent sections.

Nailed-laminated decks may have a bituminous overlay, longitudinal timber wearing planks, or a gravel wearing surface.



Glulam Timber Decks

Glulam decks are similar to nail-laminated decks, except the individual timbers are bonded together with waterproof structural adhesive. The panels are typically around 4 ft. wide and are installed transversely across the deck.

Glulam timber decks are often used on temporary bridges (with a bituminous overlay). When used in new construction, they may have timber wearing planks.



Timber Slab Panel Cross Section

Timber Slabs

Timber slabs are comprised of adjacent timber planks set vertically – the timbers run longitudinally and serve as the primary superstructure element (as well as the deck). Most timber slabs are nail-laminated, newer timber slabs may be glulam or stress-laminated.

Timber slabs are typically comprised of prefabricated panels – there will often be a transverse beam running below the slab at the center of each span – these help to tie the panels together and distribute load and deflection across the width of the slab. Transverse beams below timber slabs should be rated using Element #156 (Timber Floor Beam).

Timber slabs often have a bituminous or gravel wearing surface.

| Timber Deck & Slab Elements | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|--|
| #31 | I: Timber Deck (S | F) | #54: Timber Slab (SF) | | |
| | | Cor | ndition States | | |
| Defects | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | |
| | Good | Fair | Poor | Severe | |
| Structural Review | Structural review is not required. | Structural review is not required. | Structural review is not required <u>or</u> structural review has determined that strength or serviceability has not been impacted. | Condition warrants structural review <u>or</u> structural review has determined that the defects impact strength or serviceability. | |
| Connection or Misalignment (1020) | Components are properly aligned and securely connected. | Loose fasteners or slight misalignment of components. | Fasteners broken or missing. Components loose or misaligned. | Components severely misaligned or missing. | |
| Repairs | No repairs are present. | Existing repair in sound condition. | Repairs are recommended <u>or</u> existing repair is deteriorated. | Immediate repairs are required (failures present or imminent). | |
| Section Loss (1140) | None | Less than 10% of the deck or slab thickness. | 10% - 40% of the deck or slab thickness. | 40% of the deck or slab thickness. | |
| Decay (1140) | No evidence of decay. | Staining. No crushing or sagging. | Minor crushing or sagging. | Significant crushing or sagging. | |
| Shakes, Checks, or Splits (1150) | Less than 5% of the member thickness. | 5% to 50% of the member thickness and not in a tension zone. | More than 50% of the thickness (or more than 5% of the member thickness in a tension zone). | Split through entire member (or more than 25% of the member thickness in a tension zone). | |
| Fire Damage | None | Soot or superficial charring. | Significant charring. | Severe charring. | |
| Split or Delamination (1170) | None | Minor | Significant | Severe | |
| Weathering, Abrasion, or Section Loss (1180) | Minor surface deterioration (no section loss). | Section loss less than 10% of the member thickness. | Section loss 10% - 40% of the member thickness. | Section loss more than 40% of the member thickness. | |
| | | | | | |

- **Shake:** A separation along the grain (between the growth rings). Usually forms within a standing tree or during felling.
- **Check:** A separation perpendicular to the grain (across the growth rings). Usually results from stress due to drying shrinkage.
- Split (or Thru Check): A check extending further through the timber member due to tearing apart of wood cells.





3.5.5 Steel Decks (Elements #28, #29, and #30)

| | | Steel Grid I | , Deck | Elements | |
|---|---------------------|--|-------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------|
| #28: Ste | el Grid Deck – O | pen (SF) | | #29: Steel Grid Deck – (| Concrete Filled (SF) |
| These elements of | describe the cond | lition of steel grid | decks | s. Note: The rating should | consider any deck |
| support compone | ents that are not a | ddressed by othe | er stru | ctural elements. | , , |
| | | | Oper | n Grid Steel Decks (Elen | nent #28) |
| Open grid steel grid panels may be welded, riveted, | | | | | |
| | | | or bo | olted. | |
| Note: A wearing surface element does not need to be | | | | | |
| | | | rated | l for open grid decks. | |
| the state of the state | A CALL | | Con | crete-Filled Steel Grid D | ecks |
| | | NO STRATUL | (Eler | ment #29) | |
| A State And State And | | ST. MARCHINE | Use | this element for steel grid | decks that are fully or |
| | a star and the | and the second sec | partia | ally filled with concrete. | |
| and the second | No the | Carl Carl | Note | : A wearing surface eleme | ent would only apply to |
| | | | | neu section of the deck. | |
| Defects | 1 | 2 | 00 | | A |
| Dereoto | Good | Fair | | Poor | Severe |
| | | | | Repaired area that is | |
| | | | showing distress. | | Immediate repairs or |
| | | | | | structural review are |
| | | | | Repairs may be | lequired. |
| | | | | recommended | Full-depth failures |
| Structural | No deck | Renaired area | that | (structural review is | may be present or |
| Review or | repairs | is sound | unat | not required) | imminent |
| Repairs | present. | ie eeuru | | <u>or</u> | or |
| | | | | structural review has | structural review has |
| | | | | determined that the | determined that the |
| | | | | strength of the deck | defects impact the |
| | | | | impacted | strength of the deck. |
| | | | | inipacieu. | Severe section loss |
| Corrosion | None | Surface corros | sion | Section loss or pack | (holes rusted |
| (1000) | | (freckled rus | t). | rust is present. | through). |
| | | Crack has se | elf- | | Crack through deck |
| Cracking | News | arrested or h | as | Crack that has not | panel (or support |
| (1010) | None | been arrested | lor | been arrested but is | beam) that warrants |
| | | repaired. | | unlikely to propagate. | immediate repair. |
| | Deck panels | | | Broken or missing | |
| Connection or | are properly | Loose fastener | rs or | fasteners | Steel grid deck panels |
| Misalignment | aligned and | slightly misalig | ned | Deck panels loose or | severely misaligned |
| (1020) | securely | deck panels | 6. | misalianed. | or missing. |
| | connected. | | | | |
| Impact | Superficial | Deck compone | ents | Deck components | Severely bent, |
| Damage (7000) | damage | slightly bent | or | pent, powed, | bowed, torn loose or |
| | (minor | bowed. | | noosened, or | missing. |
| (1900) | scrapes). | | | misaligned. | l č |

Steel Decks #30: Steel Decks - Corrugated/Orthotropic/Etc. (SF) This element should be used to describe the underside condition of corrugated steel decks, orthotropic steel plate decks, exodermic decks, steel ballast plate decks, or any type of steel deck that cannot be adequately described using elements #28 or #29. The top surface of the deck or slab is rated using the appropriate wearing surface element. Note: The rating should take into consideration any deck support components that are not addressed by other structural elements. **Corrugated Steel Decks** Corrugated decks are comprised of corrugated steel forms (with concrete or bituminous fill). The steel forms provide the primary structural support for the completed deck. **Orthotropic Steel Plate Decks** An orthotropic deck consists of a steel plate that has been stiffened by closely spaced ribs. An orthotropic deck typically acts integrally with the superstructure. **Exodermic Decks** An Exodermic deck is a recently developed composite design that combines a steel grid deck with a reinforced concrete deck (advantages include light weight and rapid construction). Steel Ballast Plate Decks These decks are common on railroad bridges. They typically consist of a solid steel plate, covered with a waterproof membrane, rock ballast, and railroad ties and tracks. Steel ballast plates are typically connected to the top flange of the supporting beams with small clips - these clips sometimes have a small chain to prevent them from falling onto traffic if they come loose. The inspector should note if the railroad tracks are active or if the tracks have been removed. Railroad bridges converted to trail use may have a concrete, bituminous, or gravel wearing surface -Element #510 (Wearing Surface) should be rated.

| Steel Decks | | | | | |
|--|---|---|--|--|--|
| | #30: Stee | el Deck – Corruga | ted/Orthotropic/Etc. (SF) | | |
| Defects | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | |
| | Good | Fair | Poor | Severe | |
| | | | Repaired area that is showing distress. Repairs may be | Immediate repairs or structural review are required. | |
| Structural Review or Repairs | No deck repairs present. | Repaired area that is sound. | recommended (structural review is not required) <u>or</u> structural review has determined that the strength of the deck has | Full-depth failures may be present or imminent <u>or</u> structural review has determined that the defects impact the strength of the deck | |
| Corrosion (1000) | None | Surface corrosion (freekled rust) | not been impacted. Section loss or pack rust is present. | Severe section loss (holes rusted through). | |
| Cracking (1010) | None | Crack has self- arrested or has been arrested or repaired. | Crack that has not been arrested but is unlikely to propagate. | Crack through deck panel (or support beam) that warrants immediate repair. | |
| Connection or Misalignment (1020) | Primary deck components are properly aligned and securely connected. | Some loose fasteners, but primary deck components are still secure. | Some fasteners broken or missing. Primary deck components may be loose or misaligned. | Primary deck components may be severely misaligned or missing. | |
| Impact Damage (7000) or Distortion (1900) | Superficial damage (minor scrapes). | Deck components slightly bent, bowed, or misaligned. | Deck components significantly bent, bowed, or misaligned. | Deck components severely damaged (bent, bowed, or missing). | |

Condition Rating Examples (Other Steel Decks)



Condition State 2 Paint failure and surface corrosion on the underside of a wrought iron ballast plate deck

Condition State 4 Hole rusted through a steel ballast plate deck (crack extending out of rust hole)



3.5.6 Other Materials Decks & Slabs (Elements #60 and #65)

| Other Materials Deck & Slab Elements | | | | | |
|---|--|--|---|--|--|
| #60: | Other Material | Deck (SF) | #65: Other Mat | erial Slab (SF) | |
| These elements of Manual. The dec surface of the dec | These elements describe the condition of other material type decks or slabs not noted earlier in this Manual. The deck overhangs and vertical fascia edges should also be considered in this rating. The top surface of the deck or slab is rated using the appropriate wearing surface element if applicable. | | | | |
| | | Con | dition States | | |
| Item or Defect | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | |
| Corrosion (1000) | None | Surface corrosion (freckled rust). | Section loss or pack rust is present. | Severe section loss (holes rusted through). | |
| Cracking (1010) | None | Crack has self- arrested or has been arrested or repaired. | Crack that has not been arrested but is unlikely to propagate. | Crack through deck panel (or support beam) that warrants immediate repair. | |
| Connection or Misalignment (1020) | Primary deck components are properly aligned and securely connected. | Some loose fasteners, but primary deck components are still secure. | Some fasteners broken or missing. Primary deck components may be loose or misaligned. | Primary deck components may be severely misaligned or missing. | |
| Delamination, Spall, Patched Area (1080) | None | Delamination (not yet loose). Spall 1" or less deep <u>and</u> 6" or less in diameter. Repaired area is sound. | Loose delamination. Spall more than 1" deep <u>or</u> more than 6" diameter. Repaired area is unsound or distressed. | Loose delamination (safety hazard). Spalling greater than 3" deep. Full-depth failures present or imminent. | |
| Efflorescence, Water/Salt Saturation, Rust Staining or Leaching (1120) | None | Light leaching (little or no build-up) or light water saturation. | Heavy leaching (significant build-up or stalactites). Significant water/salt saturation. Rust stains indicating rebar corrosion. | Severe leaching or severe salt/water saturation (deck failure imminent). | |
| Cracking and Pattern/Map Cracking (1130) | Insignificant cracks (less than 0.012") or moderate width cracks that have been sealed. | Unsealed moderate (0.012" to 0.05") width cracks. Unsealed moderate pattern/map (spacing of greater than 1' to 3' on center) with moderate width cracking. | Wide (greater than 0.05" to 0.125") cracks. Heavy pattern/map with unsealed moderate width cracking or greater (spacing of 1' or less on center). | Severe (greater than 0.125") cracks or full depth fractures. Deck failure may be imminent. | |
| Distortion (1900) | Superficial damage (minor scrapes). | Deck components slightly bent, bowed, or misaligned. | Deck components significantly bent, bowed, or misaligned. | Deck components severely damaged (bent, bowed, or missing). | |
| Damage (7000) | None. | Minor to moderate impact damage. Impact captured in condition state 2 under the appropriate defect. | Significant impact damage. Impact captured in condition state 3 under the appropriate defect. | Severe impact damage. Impact captured in condition state 4 under the appropriate defect. | |

| Other Materials Deck & Slab Elements | | | | | |
|---|--------------------------------|------------------------------|---|--|--|
| #60: Other Material Deck (SF) | | | #65: Other Mat | erial Slab (SF) | |
| | Condition States | | | | |
| Item or Defect | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | |
| | Good | Fair | Poor | Severe | |
| | | | Repaired area that is showing distress. | Immediate repairs or structural review are required. | |
| Structural Review or Repairs | No deck repairs present. | Repaired area that is sound. | Repairs may be recommended (structural review is not required) <u>or</u> structural review has determined that the strength of the deck has not been impacted. | Full-depth failures may be present or imminent <u>or</u> structural review has determined that the defects impact the strength of the deck. | |
| • Cracks are typically documented as a linear feet (LF) quantity and are assumed to be 1' wide for ease of calculations and consistency. | | | | | |
| As a general rule, pattern or map cracked areas or areas with concentrated cracking can be documented and rated as a SF area. | | | | | |

3.5.7 Wearing Surface Elements

#510: Wearing Surface (SF)

For bridges with a deck or slab element, this element is typically used to rate the condition of the top wearing surface. This element does not apply to bridges with the decks or slabs placed in one monolithic concrete pour. This table includes specific condition rating criteria for low slump concrete, bituminous, epoxy chip seal, timber plank, or gravel wearing surfaces. For other deck wearing surfaces, use the "General" condition guidelines. This element does not need to be rated for bare timber decks, open grid steel decks, or decks carrying only rail traffic.

| General Guidelines (All Wearing Surface Types) | | | | | |
|--|--|---|--|---|--|
| | | Structural Eleme | ent Condition States | | |
| Item or Defect | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | |
| | Good | Fair | Poor | Severe | |
| General Condition | Little or no deterioration. | Minor to moderate deterioration (no repairs needed). | Significant deterioration (repairs recommended). | Severe deterioration (repairs required). | |
| Delamination, Spall, Patched Area, Pothole (3210) | None. | Delaminated. Spalling 1" or less deep <u>and</u> 6" or less in diameter. Patched area that is sound. Partial- depth pothole. | Spalling greater than 1" deep <u>or</u> greater than 6" diameter. Patched area that is unsound or showing distress. Full-depth pothole. | The wearing surface is no longer effective. | |
| Cracking (3220) | Insignificant cracks (less than 0.012") or moderate width cracks that have been sealed. | Unsealed moderate (0.012" to 0.05") width cracks. Unsealed moderate density pattern/map (spacing of greater than 1' to 3' on center) with moderate width cracking. | Wide (greater than 0.05" to 0.125") cracks. Heavy density pattern/map with unsealed moderate width cracking or greater (spacing of 1' or less on center). | Severe (greater than 0.125") cracks or full depth fractures. Deck failure may be imminent. | |
| Effectiveness (3230) | Fully effective. No evidence of leaking or further deterioration of the protected element. | Substantially effective. Deterioration of the protected element has slowed. | Limited effectiveness. Deterioration of the protected element has progressed. | The wearing surface is no longer effective. | |
| Damage (7000) | Not applicable. | The element has impact damage. The specific damage caused by the impact has been captured in condition state 2 under the appropriate material defect entry. | The element has impact damage. The specific damage caused by the impact has been captured in condition state 3 under the appropriate material defect entry. | The element has impact damage. The specific damage caused by the impact has been captured in condition state 4 under the appropriate material defect | |

3.5.8 Concrete Wearing Surfaces (Elements #510, #810, #811, #832, and #833)

#510: Wearing Surface – Concrete (SF) #810: Low Slump Dense Concrete (LSDC) Overlay (SF) #811: Latex Modified Concrete (LMC) Overlay (SF) #832: Fiber Reinforced Concrete Overlay (SF) #833: A40/A45 Concrete Overlay (SF)

Low slump dense concrete (LSDC) overlays are one of the most common wearing surfaces on concrete bridge decks in South Dakota. Low slump overlays are intended to provide a high-density surface to protect the underlying deck from chlorides. This is typically a 2" thick layer of concrete with a high cement content, small coarse aggregate, and a 1" slump. Low slump concrete is mixed at the bridge site and is bonded to the deck with a grout layer. The other overlay types shown are also found on South Dakota bridge decks. Most are placed in a similar manner as a LSDC overlay, provided a new driving surface, and protect the underlying deck from chlorides.

Element #510 should be used for concrete wearing surfaces that do not fit the DOT's ADEs.

| | Structural Element Condition States | | | |
|---|---|--|--|---|
| Item or Defect | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| | Good | Fair | Poor | Severe |
| Delamination, Spall, Patched Area (3210) | None | Delaminated. Spall 1" or less deep <u>and</u> 6" or less in diameter. Permanent patches (concrete or another high-quality repair) that remains sound. | Spall more than 1" deep <u>or</u> more than 6" diameter. Repaired area is unsound or distressed or bituminous or other temporary patches. | Loose delamination (safety hazard). Spalling greater than 2" deep. Loose overlay sections. Patches that have failed. |
| Scale, Wear, or Abrasion (Use 3230) | None. | Up to ½" deep. | From ½" up to 1½" deep. | Greater than 1½" deep. |
| Cracking (3220) | Insignificant cracks (less than 0.012") or moderate width cracks that have been sealed. | Unsealed moderate (0.012" to 0.05") width cracks. Unsealed moderate density pattern/map (spacing of greater than 1' to 3' on center) with moderate width cracking. | Wide (greater than 0.05" to 0.125") cracks. Heavy density pattern/map with unsealed moderate width cracking or greater (spacing of 1' or less on center). | Severe (greater than 0.125") cracks or full depth fractures. Deck failure may be imminent. |
| Effectiveness (3230) | Fully effective. No evidence of leakage or further deterioration of the protected element. | Substantially effective. Deterioration of the protected element has slowed. | Limited effectiveness. Deterioration of the protected element has progressed. | The wearing surface is no longer effective. |

• Cracks are typically documented as a linear feet (LF) quantity and are assumed to be 1' wide for ease of calculations and consistency.

• As a general rule, pattern or map cracked areas or areas with concentrated cracking can be documented and rated as a SF area.

• Effectiveness is typically used as a catch-all. Use the condition state notes for the defect in question and rate accordingly. See the scale/abrasion example provided.





Condition State 2 Map cracking (1/32" or 0.03" wide) on a low slump concrete overlay



Condition State 2 Sealed cracks (medium width) on a reinforced concrete bridge deck



Condition State 2 Sound concrete patch on a low slump overlay



Condition State 2 Scaling (1/4" deep) on a low slump overlay



Condition State 2 Delamination on a stub-T sleeper



Condition State 3 Bituminous patch on a low slump concrete overlay



Condition State 4 Failed bituminous patch on a low slump overlay

Condition State 4 Failed patch on low slump concrete overlay



| overlays jates. Ti concrete 1 Good None. | s are comprised of a thi hey are used on new ar e overlay. Structural 2 Fair Delamination of epox layer. Permanent patches that remain sound. Minor to moderate cracks. Reflecting | I Elem | gle or two-layer epoxy o isting concrete decks, b nent Condition States 3 Poor Bubbling of the epoxy layer. Temporary patches <u>or</u> deteriorated repairs. | or polymer covered pare decks, as well as 4 Severe Epoxy layer loose of missing. Repair patches that have failed |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 Good None. | Structural Structural Fair Delamination of epoy layer. Permanent patches that remain sound. Minor to moderate cracks. Reflecting | xy n | An ent Condition States 3 Poor Bubbling of the epoxy layer. Temporary patches <u>or</u> deteriorated repairs. | 4 Severe Epoxy layer loose of missing. Repair patches that have failed |
| 1 Good None. None | 2 Fair Delamination of epox layer. Permanent patches that remain sound. Minor to moderate cracks. Reflecting | xy n | 3 Poor Bubbling of the epoxy layer. Temporary patches <u>or</u> deteriorated repairs. | 4 Severe Epoxy layer loose of missing. Repair patches that have failed |
| Good None. None | Fair Delamination of epox layer. Permanent patches that remain sound. Minor to moderate cracks. Reflecting | xy n | Poor Bubbling of the epoxy layer. Temporary patches <u>or</u> deteriorated repairs. | Severe Epoxy layer loose of missing. Repair patches that have failed |
| None. | Delamination of epoylayer. Permanent patches that remain sound. Minor to moderate cracks. Reflecting | xy n | Bubbling of the epoxy layer. Temporary patches <u>or</u> deteriorated repairs. | Epoxy layer loose of missing. Repair patches that have |
| None | Minor to moderate cracks. Reflecting | ; | | laneu. |
| | Minor to moderate cracks. Reflecting through the ECS/PCS layer. | | Significant cracks. Cracked completely through the ECS overlay. | Failed or missing. |
| None | Minor to moderate aggregate loss or polishing of surface (no significant friction loss). | | Significant loss of aggregate or polishing of surface (noticeable loss of friction). | Severe wear – loss of friction could pose a hazard in adverse weather conditions. |
| lition Ra | ating Examples (Epoxy | v/Pol | ymer Chip Seal Overla | av) |
| on State | 2 eal overlay. | linor to | Condition Sta | ate 2 n a chip seal overlay. |
| | on State | significant friction los ition Rating Examples (Epox | significant friction loss). ition Rating Examples (Epoxy/Pol | significant friction loss). Induceable loss of friction). ition Rating Examples (Epoxy/Polymer Chip Seal Overla Image: State 2 a chip seal overlay. |



Condition State 3 Significant cracking on a chip seal overlay.



Condition State 4 Chip seal overlay missing & peeling.

3.5.11 Timber Deck Wearing Surfaces (Elements #510 or #830)

| | #510: Decl | Wearing Surfac | ce – Timber Planks (SF) | |
|-------------------------|--|--|--|---|
| | #8 | 30: Timber Run | ning Plank (SF) | |
| The wearing surfa | ace element is not us | sed for bare timbe | er decks. This element is in | tended only for timber |
| decks that have a | an additional layer of | timber wearing p | lanks (typically orientated p | arallel to traffic). |
| | lay be present over | structural | Flement Condition States | .S. |
| Item or Defect | 1 | 2 | | Δ |
| | Good | Fair | Poor | Severe |
| Connections | Timber wearing planks are properly aligned and solidly attached. | Timber planks are slightly loose or misaligned. | Timber planks are significantly loose or misaligned. | Timber planks are loose or missing. |
| Timber Deterioration | Minor weathering or splitting. | Moderate weathering or splitting. Minor decay. | Significant splitting, decay, or section loss (no crushing or sagging). | Severe splitting, decay, or loss of section (crushing or sagging). |
| | Condition R | ating Examples | (Timber Wearing Planks) | |
| Condition State 1 | | | Condition Moderate weathering on a | State 2 |
| | | | | |
| | Condition State 3 | | Condition | State 4 |

Significant decay on timber wearing planks.

Condition State 4 Section of timber wearing plank missing.

3.5.12 Gravel Deck Wearing Surfaces (Elements #510 or #809)

#510: Deck Wearing Surface – Gravel (SF) #809: Gravel Wearing Surface (SF)

A gravel (or dirt) wearing surface may be present on concrete or timber bridge decks. As gravel roads are periodically graded, the gravel depth on the bridge deck may vary. The inspector should attempt to determine the depth of gravel present on a bridge deck, as it may be a significant dead load on the bridge.

| | Structural Element Condition States | | | | | |
|--|---|--|---|---|--|--|
| Item or Defect | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | | |
| | Good | Fair | Poor | Severe | | |
| General Deterioration | Gravel is evenly graded and flat. | Minor to moderate rutting, wash boarding, or ponding. | Significant rutting, wash boarding, or ponding. | Severe rutting, wash boarding, or ponding. | | |
| Gravel Depth | Less than 4". | | 4" to 6". | More than 6". | | |
| Condition Bating Examples (Gravel Wearing Surface) | | | | | | |





Condition State 1 Gravel evenly graded and smooth.

Condition State 2 Moderate ponding on a gravel wearing surface.



Condition State 3 Significant rutting on a gravel wearing surface.



Condition State 4 Excessive gravel on a deck (more than 6" depth).

3.5.13 Concrete Reinforcing Steel Protective Systems (Elements #520, #820, #821, and #822)

#520: Concrete Reinforcing Steel Protective System (SF) #820: Epoxy Coated Resteel (SF) #821: Stainless Resteel (SF) #822: Zinc and Epoxy Coated Resteel (SF)

This element is primarily intended for concrete reinforcing steel that is not plain black steel. It could be used anywhere a reinforcing steel protective coating is found (decks, slabs, approaches, barriers, etc.). These coatings will generally be effective slowing down the rate of corrosion of the reinforcing steel. These coatings are difficult to see or inspect. The inspector should look for obvious signs of leaching through cracks on the underside of the element in question if access is available.

| Defect or Item1234GoodFairPoorSevereEffectiveness (3600)Fully effective.Substantially effective.Limited effective.The system has failed or is no longer effective. | | Condition States | | | | | |
|--|-------------------------|------------------|--------------------------|------------------------|--|--|--|
| GoodFairPoorSevereEffectiveness (3600)Fully effective.Substantially effective.Limited effectiveness.The system has failed or is no longer effective. | Defect or Item | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | | |
| Effectiveness (3600)Fully effective.Substantially effective.Limited effectiveness.The system has failed or is no longer effective. | | Good | Fair | Poor | Severe | | |
| | Effectiveness (3600) | Fully effective. | Substantially effective. | Limited effectiveness. | The system has failed or is no longer effective. | | |

• Quantities are figured based on the square foot area of the parent element.

- Barriers (front side height + width + backside height) x element length.
- Decks/Slabs Deck/Slab area x number of resteel mats.

3.2.1.1 Concrete Protective Coatings (Elements #521, #825, #826, and #827)

#521: Concrete Protective Coating (SF) #825: Silanes/Siloxanes (SF) #826: Methacrylates (SF) #827: Silicates (SF)

This element is primarily intended for concrete bridge decks that have been flood sealed with High Molecular Weight Methacrylate (HMWM) sealants. It could also be used for decks coated with Silane or Siloxane water-proofers, or similar products. These coatings will generally be effective at sealing cracks for about 5-6 years, or potentially longer. These coatings are difficult to see or inspect. The inspector should look for obvious unsealed cracks on the wearing surface or obvious leakage through cracks on the underside of the deck. Note: This element does not apply to epoxy or polymer chip seal overlays – they should be rated using Element #812.

| | Condition States | | | | | |
|--|---|--|---|---|--|--|
| Defect or Item | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | | |
| | Good | Fair | Poor | Severe | | |
| Wear (3510) | None. | Underlying concrete not exposed; Coating showing wear from UV exposure; friction coarse missing. | Underlying concrete is not exposed; thickness of coating is reduced. | Underlying concrete exposed. Protective coating no longer effective. | | |
| Effectiveness (3540) | Fully effective. | Substantially effective. | Limited effectiveness. | The system has failed or is no longer effective. | | |
| Unsealed Cracks (3540) | Unsealed insignificant cracks (less than 0.012" wide). | Unsealed moderate cracks (from 0.012" wide up to 0.05" wide). | Unsealed wide cracks (greater than 0.05" wide up to 0.125" wide). | Unsealed severe cracks (greater than 0.125"). | | |
| Cracks are typically documented as a linear feet (LF) quantity and are assumed to be 1' wide for ease of calculations and consistency. | | | | | | |

3.6 JOINT ELEMENTS

3.6.1 Joint Elements

SDDOT has seven structural elements to rate the condition of bridge or approach joints:

- #300 Strip Seal Expansion Joint (LF)
- #301 Pourable Joint Seal (LF)
- #302 Compression Joint Seal (LF)
- #303 Modular Assembly Joint with Seal (LF)
- #304 Open Expansion Joint (LF)
- #305 Assembly Joint without Seal (LF)
- #306 Other Joint (LF)

Joint Element Quantities

For most joints, the plan quantity (LF) will be entered as the element quantity. This will typically include the roadway portion of the joint but should also include portions of the joint that extend through railings or under sidewalks and medians.

On bridge deck joints, steel cover plates are often present at the curbs, medians, sidewalks, and railings. These cover plates are a component of the deck joint and should be rated as part of the deck joint element. If a sealed joint (such as a strip seal or modular joint) extends below a sidewalk or median, that section should be rated under the strip seal or modular joint element. If the seal does not extend below the sidewalk or median, that portion of the joint should be rated under a separate deck joint element (typically Element #305 – Assembly Joint).

Inspection of Joints

Joints should be inspected for leakage, as well as for proper function. Joint leakage is a significant concern due to de-icing salt applied to roadways and sidewalks. Joint leakage that results in damage to the bridge superstructure or substructure below the joint should result in a lowered condition rating, even if the joint is not designed or intended to be sealed.

Joints should be examined for skew, offset, or any evidence that the joint is restricted or is beyond the limits of expansion or contraction. Expansion joints that are closed tightly, offset vertically or horizontally, or have large gaps may indicate severe structural problems (such as substructure movement).

Joint Measurements

To confirm that the expansion joints are properly functioning, joint measurements are recommended to be collected at every inspection and the substrate temperature recorded. Joint measurements should be taken at the same location, in a consistent manner, and ideally under a wide range of temperatures.

A common place to take joint gap measurements is at center line of the roadway and lane stripes. The gap between the inside vertical faces of the joint is typically measured. Measurements can also be taken at railing gaps or at sidewalk or curb cover plates. Recent scrape marks along the edges of cover plates are a good indication that the joint is expanding and contracting.

3.6.2 Strip Seal Expansion Joint (Element #300)

#300: Strip Seal Expansion Joint (LF)

This element applies to joints that utilize a single line "V" shaped neoprene gland, typically held in place by a steel extrusion. Strip seal joints are one of the more common types of bridge and approach expansion joints used in the state.





| | Structural Element Condition States | | | |
|--|---|---|---|--|
| Item or Defect | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| | Good | Fair | Poor | Severe |
| General Joint Condition | Little or no deterioration. | Minor to moderate deterioration (no repairs needed). | Strip seal gland repair or replacement is recommended. | Joint reconstruction, seal replacement, or concrete repair work is required. |
| Joint Function | Horizontal joint gap is within design limits. | Joint gap is at or near design limits. | Joint is closed to less than ½" or has opened beyond design limits. | Joint is closed or has failed. |
| | No vertical offset. | Vertical offset of ¼" or less. | Vertical offset of ½" or less. | Vertical offset greater than ½". |
| Leakage (2310) | None | Minimal leakage (slight dripping). | Moderate. More than a drip and less than free flow of water. | Free flow of water through the joint. |
| Seal Damage (2330) | Securely anchored and properly positioned. | Seal abrasion without punctures. | Strip seal gland is partially pulled out of the extrusion/opening, punctured, or ripped. | The seal is torn, punctured, pulled out from the extrusion/opening, or is missing. |
| Debris Impaction (2350) | No debris to a shallow cover of loose debris | Partially filled with hard-packed material. Still allows free movement. | Completely filled and <u>impacts</u> joint movement. | Completely filled and <u>prevents</u> joint movement. |
| Adjacent Deck or Header (2360) | Sound. No spall, delamination, or unsound patch. | Edge delamination or spall 1" or less deep or 6" or less in diameter. No exposed rebar. Patched area is sound. | Spall greater than 1" deep or greater than 6" in diameter. Exposed rebar. Delamination or unsound patched area that makes the joint loose. | Spall, delamination, unsound patch, or loose anchor joint that prevents the joint from functioning as intended. |
| Metal Deterioration or Extrusion Damage (2370) | Minor surface corrosion or superficial scrapes. | Corrosion. No cracks or impact damage. Nicks/gouges ¼" deep or less. | Section loss. Cracking or impact damage, but still functioning. Nicks/gouges greater than ¼" deep. | Cracking, section loss, damage, or connection failure that prevents the joint from functioning as intended. |



State of South Dakota | Bridge Inspection Field Manual

3.6.3 Poured Joint Seal (Element #301)

#301: Poured Joint Seal (LF) This element applies to joints filled with a poured or extruded sealant – this typically refers to transverse saw and seal joints (above piers or along end blocks) but can include any poured joint on the bridge deck or on a concrete bridge approach panel.

| | Structural Element Condition States | | | |
|--------------------------------------|--|--|---|--|
| Item or Defect | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| | Good | Fair | Poor | Severe |
| General Joint Condition | Little or no deterioration. | Minor to moderate deterioration (no repairs needed). | Seal repair or replacement is recommended. | Joint reconstruction, seal replacement, or concrete repair work is required. |
| Joint Function and Alignment | Horizontal joint gap is within design limits. | Joint gap is at or near design limits. | Joint is closed to less than ½" or has opened beyond design limits. | Joint is closed or has failed. |
| | No vertical offset. | Vertical offset of ¼" or less. | Vertical offset of ½" or less. | Vertical offset greater than ½". |
| Leakage (2310) | None. | Minimal leakage (slight dripping). | Moderate. More than a drip and less than free flow of water. | Free flow of water through the joint. |
| Seal Adhesion (2320) | Joint is properly adhered. | Adhered for more than 50% of joint height. | Adhered 50% or less of joint height, but still some adhesion. | Complete loss of adhesion. |
| Seal Damage (2330) | Securely anchored and properly positioned. | Seal abrasion without punctures. | Seal gland is partially pulled out of the extrusion/opening, punctured, or ripped. | The seal is torn, punctured, pulled out from the extrusion/opening, or is missing. |
| Debris Impaction (2350) | No debris to a shallow cover of loose debris | Partially filled with hard-packed material. Still allows free movement. | Completely filled with hard-packed material and <u>impacts</u> joint movement. | Completely filled and <u>prevents</u> joint movement. |
| Adjacent Deck or Header (2360) | Sound. No spall, delamination, or unsound patch. | Edge delamination or spall 1" or less deep or 6" or less in diameter. No exposed rebar. Patched area is sound. | Spall greater than 1" deep or greater than 6" in diameter. Exposed rebar. Delamination or unsound patched area that makes the joint loose. | Spall, delamination, unsound patch, or loose anchor joint that prevents the joint from functioning as intended. |



3.6.4 Compression Joint Seal (Element #302)

| #302: Compression Joint Seal (LF) | | | | | |
|--|--|---|--|---|--|
| This element appl | ies to joints consis | sting of a pre-formed | elastic or foam membrane | e compression seal. | |
| PCC Pavement | | | Compression seals ma cross-section. The joir include steel protection edges. | Compression seals may have a solid or hollow cross-section. The joint may or may not include steel protection angles along the deck edges. | |
| $\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | | | A cross-section plan di compression seal deck protection angles) is sh | A cross-section plan diagram of typical compression seal deck joint (with steel protection angles) is shown at left. | |
| | | Structural E | Element Condition States | | |
| Item or Defect | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | |
| | Good | Fair | Poor | Severe | |
| General Joint Condition | Little or no deterioration | Minor to moderate deterioration (no repairs needed). | Compression seal gland repair or replacement is recommended. | Joint reconstruction, seal replacement, or concrete repair work is required. | |
| Joint Function and Alignment | Horizontal joint gap is within design limits. | Joint gap is at or near design limits. | Joint is closed to less than ½" or has opened beyond design limits. | Joint is closed or has failed. | |
| g | No vertical offset. | Vertical offset of ¼" or less. | Vertical offset of ½" or less. | Vertical offset greater than ½". | |
| Leakage (2310) | None | Minimal leakage (slight dripping). | Moderate. More than a drip and less than free flow of water. | Free flow of water through the joint. | |
| Seal Adhesion (2320) | Fully adhered. | Adhered for more than 50% of the joint height. | Adhered for 50% or less of the joint height, but still some adhesion. | Complete loss of adhesion. | |
| Seal Damage (2330) | Securely anchored and properly positioned. | Seal abrasion without punctures. | The gland is partially pulled out of the extrusion/opening, punctured, or ripped. | The seal is torn, punctured, pulled out from the extrusion/opening, or is missing. | |
| Debris Impaction (2350) | No debris to a shallow cover of loose debris | Partially filled with hard-packed material. Still allows free movement. | Completely filled with hard-packed material and <u>impacts</u> joint movement. | Completely filled and <u>prevents</u> joint movement. | |
| Adjacent Deck or Header (2360) | Sound. No spall, delamination, or unsound patch. | Edge delamination or spall 1" or less deep or 6" or less in diameter. No exposed rebar. Patched area is sound. | Spall greater than 1" deep or greater than 6" in diameter. Exposed rebar. Delamination or unsound patched area that makes the joint loose. | Spall, delamination, unsound patch, or loose anchor joint that prevents the joint from functioning as intended. | |
| Metal Deterioration or Extrusion Damage (2370) | Minor surface corrosion or superficial scrapes. | Corrosion. No cracks or impact damage. Nicks/gouges less than ¼" deep. | Section loss. Cracking or impact damage, but still functioning. Nicks/gouges greater than ¼" deep. | Cracking, section loss, damage, or connection failure that prevents the joint from functioning as intended. | |

State of South Dakota | Bridge Inspection Field Manual







Condition State 4 Steel protection angle on a compression seal joint fractured and separated

Condition State 4 Severe spalling (temporary patch) along a compression seal joint

3.6.5 Assembly Joint with Seal (Element #303)

#303: Assembly Joint with Seal (LF)

This element only applies to modular joints comprised of two or more adjacent waterproof seals ("V" strip or compression seal).



A cross-section diagram of a 3-gland modular deck joint is shown at left.

The seals are anchored by steel extrusions cast into the deck and are typically supported from below by small beams (with an independent expansion bearing system). Modular joints typically incorporate equalizer springs and guide systems to keep the seals equally spaced and properly aligned. The underside support beams and equalizer system on a 7-gland modular joint is shown at left.

| ltom or | Structural Element Condition States | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Defect | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | |
| | Good | Fair | Poor | Severe | |
| Joint Function and | Horizontal joint gap is within design limits. | Joint gap is at or near design limits. | Joint is closed to less than ½" or has opened beyond design limits. | Joint is closed or has failed. | |
| Alignment | No vertical offset. | Vertical offset of ¼" or less. | Vertical offset of ½" or less. | Vertical offset greater than ½". | |
| Leakage (2310) | None | Minimal leakage (slight dripping). | Moderate. More than a drip and less than free flow of water. | Free flow of water through the joint. | |
| Seal Damage (2330) | Securely anchored and properly positioned. | Seal abrasion without punctures. | Strip seal gland is partially pulled out of the extrusion/opening, punctured, or ripped. | The seal is torn, punctured, pulled out from the extrusion/opening, or is missing. | |
| Support Beams and Equalizer System | Little or no deterioration | Minor to moderate deterioration (no repairs needed). | Components loose, missing, or malfunctioning. Joint support loose or misaligned. | Joint support is dislodged, jammed, detached, or missing. | |
| Adjacent Deck or Header (2360) | Sound. No spall, delamination, or unsound patch. | Edge delamination or spall 1" or less deep or 6" or less in diameter. No exposed rebar. Patched area is sound. | Spall greater than 1" deep or greater than 6" in diameter. Exposed rebar. Delamination or unsound patched area that makes the joint loose. | Spall, delamination, unsound patch, or loose anchor joint that prevents the joint from functioning as intended. | |
| Metal Deterioration or Extrusion Damage (2370) | Minor surface corrosion or superficial scrapes. | Corrosion. No cracks or impact damage. Nicks/gouges less than ¼" deep. | Section loss. Cracking or impact damage, but still functioning. Nicks/gouges greater than ¼" deep. | Cracking, section loss, damage, or connection failure that prevents the joint from functioning as intended. | |

#303: Assembly Joint with Seal (LF) Condition Rating Examples (Assembly Joints with Seals)



Condition State 1 Minor surface corrosion on steel extrusions (debris is not affecting the joint function)



Condition State 2 Minor leakage (with surface corrosion) on underside of a modular joint



Condition State 3/4 Modular joint gaps are uneven (equalizer system is not functioning properly) - one gland is pulled out.



Condition State 4 Support missing from underside of modular joint gland has fallen out and is hanging down





Moderate spalling along an open deck joint (does not impact function or present a safety hazard)



APRIL 2



#304: Open Expansion Joint (LF)

Condition State 4 Open joint contacting at curb (no further expansion is permitted) – deck is offset laterally

3.6.7 Assembly Joint without Seal (Element #305)

#305: Assembly Joint without Seal (LF)

This element applies to finger plate joints, sliding plate joints, or any other joint that cannot be adequately defined by the other joint elements.

Note: This element includes joints with or without seals or drainage systems. Joint leakage should be considered in the condition rating, particularly if it is contributing to deterioration of superstructure or substructure elements located below the joint. This includes a drainage trough or gland, if applicable.

| | Structural Element Condition States | | | | |
|--|--|---|--|--|--|
| Item or Defect | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | |
| | Good | Fair | Poor | Severe | |
| General Joint Condition | Joint is functioning as intended (no restriction). | Slight restriction of joint movement. | Moderate to significant restriction of joint movement, but not completely restricted. | Joint is completely restricted (not functioning). | |
| Joint Function | Horizontal joint gap is within design limits. | Joint gap is at or near design limits. | Joint is closed to less than ½" or has opened beyond design limits. | Joint is closed or has failed. | |
| J | No vertical offset. | Vertical offset of ¼" or less. | Vertical offset of ½" or less. | Vertical offset greater than ½". | |
| Leakage – with Seals (2310) | None | Minimal leakage (slight dripping). | Moderate. More than a drip and less than free flow of water. | Free flow of water through the joint. | |
| Leakage – without Seals (2310) | Leakage is effectively directed away from structure below. | Leakage through joint is causing minor damage to the structure below. | Leakage through joint is causing significant damage to the structure below. | Leakage through joint is causing severe or extensive damage to the structure below | |
| Seal Damage (2330) | Securely anchored and properly positioned. | Seal abrasion without punctures. | The seal is partially pulled out of the extrusion/opening, punctured, or ripped. | The seal is torn, punctured, pulled out from the extrusion/opening, or is missing. | |
| Adjacent Deck or Header (2360) | Sound. No spalls, delaminations, or patching. | Edge delamination or spall 1" or less deep or 6" or less in diameter. No exposed rebar. Patched area is sound. | Spall greater than 1" deep or greater than 6" in diameter. Exposed rebar. Delamination or unsound patched area that makes the joint loose. | Spall, delamination, unsound patch, or loose anchor joint that prevents the joint from functioning as intended. | |
| Steel Plate Anchorage | Steel plates are properly anchored (no noise under traffic). | Plates may be slightly loose (minor noise under traffic). | Plate is loose (noise under traffic) – anchor bolts are loose or missing. | The plate is loose and may fail under traffic (causing damage) or is missing. | |
| Metal Deterioration or Extrusion Damage (2370) | Minor surface corrosion or superficial scrapes. | Corrosion. No cracks or impact damage. Nicks/gouges less than ¼" deep. | Section loss. Cracking or impact damage, but still functioning. Nicks/gouges greater than ¼" deep. | Cracking, section loss, damage, or connection failure that prevents the joint from functioning as intended. | |



3.7 BRIDGE RAILING ELEMENTS

Bridge railing elements apply to railing mounted on or attached to bridge decks, approaches, or wingwalls. This includes vehicular barriers, ornamental railing, pedestrian fencing, and handrails. Railing elements can also be used for railings directly connected to culvert structures.

SDDOT uses the following bridge railing elements:

- #330: Metal Bridge Railing (LF)
- #331: Reinforced Concrete Bridge Railing (LF)
- #332: Timber Bridge Railing (LF)
- #333: Other Material Bridge Railing (LF)
- #334: Masonry Bridge Railing (LF)

Railing element quantities are expressed in linear feet (LF). The quantity is measured along the length of the railing (for each railing line). Most bridges will have two railing lines (one on each side), but there may be additional rail lines if there is a median barrier or a protected bicycle or pedestrian lane. Solid median barriers are counted as one line – split median barriers are counted as two lines. The railing quantity may include approach railing (generally up to the first approach joint beyond the end of the bridge) but could include railing extending beyond that point if those railing sections are included in the plan quantity for the bridge.

| Railing Element Selection Examples | ofor Combination Railings |
|---|---|
| Railings comprised of more than one material should be broke | en up into separate elements to best represent the |
| materials present. Some examples for common railing types | are shown below. |
| For concrete parapets/curbs with metal railing mounted on top, the railing must be spilt into two elements. The lower parapet or curb is rated using Element #331 (Concrete Railing) and the upper rail is rated using Element #330 (Metal Railing) – the element quantities may or may not be the same depending on the bridge plans. | |
| If the railing can be logically divided into separate material segments, those segments should be rated under separate elements. The metal segments are rated using Element #330 (Metal Railing) and the concrete posts are rated using Element #331 (Concrete Railing). Quantities should reflect the total length of the segments. | |
| For masonry railings with a concrete top cap, the railing is split into two elements. The lower parapet/curb is rated using Element #334 (Masonry Railing) and the top cap is rated using Element #331 (Concrete Railing). | |
| For steel plate beam railing with timber posts & curbs, the railing should be split into two elements. The steel w-beam or thrie-beam is rated using Element #330 (Metal Railing). The timber posts and curb are rated using Element #332 (Timber Railing). | |
| Metal railings (Element #330) are typically painted, galvanized protective coating is present, Element #515, 815, 816, 817, or | d, or both (galvanized then painted). If a r 818 (Steel Protective Coatings) must also be |

Metal railings (Element #330) are typically painted, galvanized, or both (galvanized then painted). If a protective coating is present, Element #515, 815, 816, 817, or 818 (Steel Protective Coatings) must also be rated as a protection system/sub-element. The SF quantity may be estimated by multiplying the railing length by the front-side railing height, plus the overall width/depth, plus the backside height. This SF quantity may initially be entered as a rough estimate, but a more accurate quantity should eventually be calculated.

3.7.1 Metal Bridge Railing (Element #330)

#330: Metal Bridge Railing (LF)

This element applies to railings comprised of steel, stainless steel, aluminum, or any other metal. This includes tubes, pipes, cables, beams, or other rolled, cast, or built-up shapes. This includes vehicular railings, pedestrian railings, and chain link fence. This element includes railings constructed entirely of metal, as well as the metal portions of combination railings. The other components of a combination railing should be rated separately using the appropriate railing element (concrete, timber, or masonry).

Metal railings typically have a protective coating – they may be painted, galvanized, or both (galvanized then painted). Chain link fence is typically galvanized, or vinyl coated. Aluminum or stainless-steel railings typically have no protective coating.

| | Structural Element Condition States | | | |
|--------------------------------------|---|---|---|--|
| Item or Defect | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| | Good | Fair | Poor | Severe |
| Structural Review | Structural review is not required. | Structural review is not required. | Structural review is not required <u>or</u> review of existing defects has determined that strength or serviceability has not been impacted. | Condition warrants structural review <u>or</u> review has determined that defects impact strength or serviceability. |
| Repairs | No repairs are present. | Existing repairs are in sound condition. | Repairs are recommended <u>or</u> existing repairs are unsound. | Immediate repairs are required. |
| Corrosion (1000) | None | Surface corrosion (freckled rust). | Section loss or pack rust (greater than 1/8") is present. | Severe section loss (holes rusted through). |
| Cracking (1010) | None | Crack has self- arrested or has been arrested or repaired. | Crack that has not been arrested. | Crack through the member that warrants immediate repair. |
| Connection (1020) | Connections are in place, properly aligned, and functioning as intended. | Loose fasteners, minor to 1/8" pack rust, | Broken or missing bolts, rivets, welds, or other fasteners. | Less than 50% of connections at the location remaining. |
| Distortion or Alignment (1900) | None. Proper alignment. | Mitigated distortion or mitigation not required. Slightly misaligned. | Distortion that requires mitigation that has not been addressed. Significantly misaligned. | Severely bent or bowed. Severely misaligned. |
| Impact Damage (7000) | Superficial damage. | Railing slightly gouged, torn or bent. | Railing significantly gouged, torn or bent. | Railing severely bent, torn, or missing. |



Condition State 4 Horizontal steel rail pipe rusted through at connection to a concrete post

3.7.2 Reinforced Concrete Bridge Railing (Element #331)

|--|

This element applies to all types and shapes of reinforced concrete bridge railings or barriers. This includes railings constructed entirely of reinforced concrete, as well as the reinforced concrete curb (or "parapet") portions of combination railings. The other components of a combination railing should be rated separately using the appropriate railing element (metal, timber, or masonry).

| | Structural Element Condition States | | | |
|---|---|--|--|--|
| Item or Defect | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| | Good | Fair | Poor | Severe |
| Structural Review | Structural review is not required. | Structural review is not required. | Structural review is not required <u>or</u> review of existing defects has determined that strength or serviceability has not been impacted. | Condition warrants structural review <u>or</u> review has determined that defects impact strength or serviceability. |
| Repairs | No repairs are present. | Existing repairs are in sound condition. | Repairs are recommended <u>or</u> existing repairs are unsound. | Immediate repairs are required. |
| Delamination, Spall, Patched Area (1080) | None | Delamination (not yet loose). Spall 1" or less deep <u>and</u> 6" or less in diameter. Repaired area is sound. | Loose delamination. Spall more than 1" deep <u>or</u> more than 6" diameter. Repaired area is unsound or distressed. | Loose delamination (safety hazard). Spalling greater than 3" deep. Full-depth failures present or imminent. |
| Exposed Reinforcement (1090) | None | Exposed without measurable section loss. | Exposed rebar with measurable section loss. | Exposed rebar has severe section loss. |
| Efflorescence, Rust Staining or Leaching (1120) | None | Light efflorescence or leaching (little or no build-up. | Heavy efflorescence or leaching (significant build-up or stalactites). Rust stains indicating rebar corrosion. | Severe efflorescence or leaching (concrete unsound). |
| Cracking and Pattern/Map Cracking (1130) | Insignificant cracks (less than 0.012") or moderate width cracks that have been sealed. | Unsealed moderate (0.012" to 0.05") width cracks. Unsealed moderate density pattern/map (spacing of greater than 1' to 3' on center) with moderate width cracking. | Wide (greater than 0.05" to 0.125") cracks. Heavy density pattern/map with unsealed moderate width cracking or greater (spacing of 1' or less on center). | Severe (greater than 0.125") cracks or full depth fractures. Failure may be plausible. |
| Scale, Abrasion, or Wear (1190) | Superficial. | Coarse aggregate is exposed (½" deep or less) but remains secure in the concrete matrix. | Coarse aggregate is loose (greater than ½" to 3") or popped out of the concrete matrix. | Severe voiding (greater than 3") or concrete is unsound. |
| Damage (7000) | Superficial scrapes. | Minor to moderate impact damage. | Significant impact damage. | Severe impact damage. |
| Cracks are typically documented as a linear feet (LF) quantity and are assumed to be 1' wide for ease | | | | |

of calculations and consistency.
As a general rule, pattern or map cracked areas or areas with concentrated cracking should be

documented and are rated as a LF area – use engineering judgement.



Condition State 2 Scale and pop-outs on a concrete parapet railing

Scale on a concrete jersey barrier

#331: Reinforced Concrete Bridge Railing (LF) Condition Rating Examples (Concrete Bridge Railing)



Condition State 2/3 Map cracking on a concrete post & beam railing



Condition State 3 Map cracking and staining on concrete jersey barrier below an overhead sign support



Condition State 3 Spalling/scaling along the top of a concrete jersey barrier



Condition State 3 Spalling and exposed rebar on the concrete parapet of a combination railing
#331: Reinforced Concrete Bridge Railing (LF) Condition Rating Examples (Concrete Bridge Railing)



Condition State 3 Scale/spall on a concrete end post



Condition State 4 Severe spall and fracture on a concrete post



Condition State 4 Severe fracture and cracking in a concrete median double-sided jersey barrier



Condition State 4 Severe spalling on the concrete rail base of a combination railing

3.7.3 Timber Bridge Railing (Element #332)

| | | #332: Timber Bridg | e Railing (LF) | | |
|--|---|---|--|--|--|
| This element applies all types and shapes of timber railing. This includes railings constructed primarily of timber (the connections are typically steel), as well as the timber portions of combination railings. The other components of a combination railing should be rated separately using the appropriate railing element (metal, concrete, or masonry). | | | | | |
| | | Structural E | lement Condition States | | |
| Item or Defect | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | |
| | Good | Fair | Poor | Severe | |
| Structural Review | Structural review is not required. | Structural review is not required. | Structural review is not required <u>or</u> review of existing defects has determined that strength or serviceability has not been impacted. | Condition warrants structural review <u>or</u> review has determined that the defects impact strength or serviceability. | |
| Repairs | No repairs are present. | Existing repairs are in sound condition. | Repairs are recommended <u>or</u> existing repairs are unsound. | Immediate repairs are required. | |
| Misalignment | None | Slightly misaligned. | Significantly misaligned. | Severely misaligned. | |
| Connection (1020) | Connection in-place and functioning. | Loose fasteners, but connection is functioning. | Missing fasteners; broken welds; or pack rust with distortion. | Connection has failed (or failure is eminent). | |
| Section Loss (1140 or 1180) | Minor deterioration (no section loss). | Less than 10% section loss. No crushing or sagging. | 10% to 40% section loss. Some crushing or sagging. | More than 40% section loss. Severe crushing or sagging. | |
| Shakes or Checks (1150) | Less than 5% of the member thickness | 5% to 50% of the member thickness and not in a tension zone. | More than 50% of the thickness (or more than 5% of the member thickness in a tension zone). | Split through entire member (or more than 25% of the member thickness in a tension zone). | |
| Crack (1160) | None. | Crack that has been arrested through effective measures. | Identified crack that has not been arrested and does not require structural review. | Severe cracks or full depth fractures. Failure may be plausible. | |
| Split or Delamination (1170) | None. | Minor | Significant. | Severe. | |
| Impact Damage (7000) | Superficial damage. | Minor to moderate impact damage. | Significant impact damage. | Members severely damaged, detached, or missing. | |
| Shake: A separation along the grain (between the growth rings). Usually forms within a standing tree or during felling. Check: A separation perpendicular to the grain | | | Knot | Split (thru check) | |

- **Check:** A separation perpendicular to the grain (across the growth rings). Usually results from stress due to drying shrinkage.
- **Split (or Thru Check):** A check extending further through the timber member due to tearing apart of wood cells.





#332: Timber Bridge Railing (LF) **Condition Rating Examples (Timber Bridge Railing)**

Timber rail post missing (adjacent post is severely damaged)

Timber rail beam detached from posts

3.7.4 Other Material Bridge Railing (Element #333)

| #333: Other Material Bridge Railing (LF) | | | | | |
|---|-----------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|--|
| This element appli | ies to bridge railing | s where the primary mate | erial is something othe | r than metal, concrete, | |
| timber, or masonry. This includes railings comprised of glass, acrylic, or other transparent materials. | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| Note: The other components of a combination railing should be rated separately using the appropriate | | | | | |
| railing element (metal, concrete, masonry, or timber). | | | | | |
| | | Structural Eleme | nt Condition States | | |
| Item or Defect | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | |
| | Good | Fair | Poor | Severe | |
| | | | Structural review is | Condition warrants | |
| | | | not required <u>or</u> | structural review or | |
| Structural | Structural | Structural review is | structural review of | structural review has | |
| Review | review is not | not required | has determined | determined that the | |
| | required. | not required. | that strength or | defects impact | |
| | | | serviceability has | strength or | |
| | | | not been impacted. | serviceability. | |
| | | | Repairs are | | |
| Renairs | No repairs are | Existing repairs are in | recommended <u>or</u> | Immediate repairs | |
| Repairs | present. | sound condition. | existing repair is | are required. | |
| | | | unsound. | | |
| General | Superficial | Minor to moderate | Significant | Severe deterioration | |
| Deterioration | deterioration. | deterioration. | deterioration. | | |
| Transparent | May be dirty, | Abrasion, staining, or | Cracking or pitting | | |
| Materials | but with no | discoloration (some | Severe loss of | Fractured, lose, or | |
| (Glass, Acrylic, | permanent loss | permanent loss of | transnarency | missing sections. | |
| Etc.) | of transparency | transparency) | transparency. | | |
| Cracking | | Crack that has been | Identified crack that | Identified crack that | |
| (1010) | None. | arrested with effective | has not been | has fractured, or | |
| (1010) | | countermeasures. | arrested. | failure is eminent. | |
| | Connection in | Looso factopora but | Missing fasteners; | Connection has | |
| Connections | connection in- | | broken welds; or | foiled or foilure in | |
| (1020) | functioning | functioning | pack rust with | | |
| | iuncuoning. | iuncuoning. | distortion. | emment. | |
| | | | Significant | Severe deterioration | |
| Deterioration | None. | Initiated breakdown or | deterioration or | or breakdown (or | |
| (1220) | | deterioration. | breakdown. | failure is eminent). | |
| | | Distortion not requiring | | | |
| Distortion | None. | mitigation or mitigated | Distortion that | Condition warrants | |
| (1900) | | distortion. | requires mitigation. | structural review. | |
| Miceliananat | | | Ciaunific conth. | | |
| | None | Slightly misaligned. | Significantly | Severely misaligned. | |
| (Use 1900) | | | misaligned. | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| Impact Damage | Superficial | Minor to moderate | Significant impact | | |
| (7000) | damage. | impact damage. | damage. | damaged, detached, | |
| | - | | | or missing. | |
| | | | | | |

3.7.5 Masonry Bridge Railing (Element #334)

| #334: | Masonrv | Bridge | Railing | (LF) | |
|-------|---------|--------|---------|----------|--|
| | | | | <u> </u> | |

This element applies to all shapes or types of masonry bridge railing (block, brick, or stone). This includes railings constructed entirely of masonry, as well as the masonry portions of combination railings. The other components of a combination railing should be rated separately using the appropriate railing element (metal, concrete, or timber).

| | Structural Element Condition States | | | | |
|---|--|--|---|---|--|
| Item or Defect | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | |
| | Good | Fair | Poor | Severe | |
| Structural Review | Structural review is not required. | Structural review is not required. | Structural review is not required <u>or</u> review of defects has determined that strength or serviceability has not been impacted. | Condition warrants structural review <u>or</u> review has determined that the defects impact strength or serviceability. | |
| Delamination or Spall (1080) | None | Delamination. Spalling less than 10% loss of block thickness. | Spalling with 10% to 25% loss of block thickness. | Spalling with more than 25% loss of block thickness. | |
| Efflorescence or Rust Staining (1120) | None | Surface white without build-up or leaching, without rust staining. | Heavy build-up with rust staining. | Severe leaching (concrete unsound). | |
| Mortar Breakdown (1610) | None | Cracks or voids in less than 10% of the joints. | Cracks or voids in 10% to 25% of the joints. | Cracks or voids in more than 25% of the joints. | |
| Spilt or Fracture (1620) | None | Block split (no continuation into adjacent courses). | Fractured through adjacent courses or block split with significant offset. | Fracture or split reduces stability of structure. | |
| Repairs or Patched Areas (1630) | No repairs are present. | Existing repairs are in sound condition. | Repairs are recommended <u>or</u> existing repair is unsound. | Immediate repairs are required. | |
| Scaling or Abrasion | Minor surface deterioration. | Less than 10% loss of block thickness. | 10% to 25% loss of block thickness. | More than 25% loss of block thickness. | |
| Masonry Displacement (1640) | None | Block or stone slightly misaligned. | Block or stone significantly misaligned. | Block or stone is severely misaligned (or detached). | |
| Distortion (1900) | None. | Distortion not requiring mitigation or mitigated distortion. | Distortion that requires mitigation. | Condition warrants structural review. | |
| Impact Damage (7000) | Superficial damage. | Minor to moderate impact damage. | Significant impact damage. | Block or stone severely damaged, displaced, or missing. | |



#334: Masonry Bridge Railing (LF) **Condition Rating Examples (Masonry Bridge Railing)**

3.8 BRIDGE APPROACH ELEMENTS

SDDOT has five bridge roadway approach elements. The approach should provide a smooth transition for vehicles travelling on and off the bridge. In addition to material defects, bridge approaches should be inspected for settlement or undermining. Approach alignment and geometric issues should be addressed using the Approach Roadway Alignment Appraisal Rating (NBI Item 72).

- #320: Prestressed Concrete Approach Slab (SF)
- #321: Reinforced Concrete Approach Slab (SF)
- #870: Asphalt Concrete Approaches (SF)
- #872: Gravel Approaches (SF)
- #873: Sidewalk Approach Slabs (SF)

Note: these elements are intended for vehicular bridges and should not be used for culverts or railroad bridges.

3.8.1 Concrete Approach Slab (Elements #321 and #873)

#321: Concrete Approach Slab (SF) #873: Sidewalk Approach Slabs (SF)

This element applies to reinforced concrete bridge approach slabs or sidewalk approach slabs, regardless of wearing surface type. A bridge approach slab is typically a short (about 20 ft. long) reinforced concrete roadway paving segment adjacent to the bridge. The SF quantity typically includes the approach roadway width (curb-to-curb) from the abutment end block joint to the approach joint (end to end). The top hat of the sleeper slab should also be included in the approach quantity. If no relief joint is present, the quantity should include the area extending to the end of the approach slab, or to a construction joint that provides a logical termination point.

| | Structural Element Condition States | | | | |
|---|---|--|---|---|--|
| Item or Defect | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | |
| | Good | Fair | Poor | Severe | |
| Delamination, Spall, Patched Area (1080) | None | Delamination (not yet loose). Spall 1" or less deep <u>and</u> 6" or less in diameter. Repaired area is sound. | Loose delamination. Spall more than 1" deep <u>or</u> more than 6" diameter. Repaired area is unsound or distressed. | Loose delamination (safety hazard). Spalling greater than 3" deep. Full-depth failures present or imminent. | |
| Exposed Reinforcement (1090) | None | Exposed without measurable section loss. | Exposed rebar with measurable section loss. | Exposed rebar has severe section loss. | |
| Efflorescence, Water/Salt Saturation, Rust Staining or Leaching (1120) | None | Light leaching (little or no build-up) or light water saturation. | Heavy leaching (significant build-up or stalactites). Significant water/salt saturation. Rust stains indicating rebar corrosion. | Severe leaching or severe salt/water saturation (deck failure imminent). | |
| Cracking and Pattern/Map Cracking (1130) | Insignificant cracks (less than 0.012") or moderate width cracks that have been sealed. | Unsealed moderate (0.012" to 0.05") width cracks. Unsealed moderate density pattern/map (spacing of greater than 1' to 3' on center) with moderate width cracking. | Wide (greater than 0.05" to 0.125") cracks. Heavy density pattern/map with unsealed moderate width cracking or greater (spacing of 1' or less on center). | Severe (greater than 0.125") cracks or full depth fractures. Deck failure may be imminent. | |

#321: Concrete Approach Slab (SF) #873: Sidewalk Approach Slabs (SF)

This element applies to reinforced concrete bridge approach slabs or sidewalk approach slabs, regardless of wearing surface type. A bridge approach slab is typically a short (about 20 ft. long) reinforced concrete roadway paving segment adjacent to the bridge. The SF quantity typically includes the approach roadway width (curb-to-curb) from the abutment end block joint to the approach joint (end to end). The top hat of the sleeper slab should also be included in the approach quantity. If no relief joint is present, the quantity should include the area extending to the end of the approach slab, or to a construction joint that provides a logical termination point.

| | Structural Element Condition States | | | | |
|---------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|--|---|---|--|
| Item or Defect | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | |
| | Good | Fair | Poor | Severe | |
| Scale, Abrasion, or Wear (1190) | Superficial. | Coarse aggregate is exposed (½" deep or less) but remains secure in the concrete matrix. | Coarse aggregate is loose (greater than ½" to 3") or popped out of the concrete matrix. | Severe voiding (greater than 3") or concrete is unsound. | |
| Settlement (4000) | None. | Exists within tolerable limits (less than 2" at greatest extent) or has been arrested with no observed structural distress. | Exceeds tolerable limits (2" up to 4"). | Exceeds critical limits (greater than 4"). | |
| Damage (7000) | None. | Minor to moderate impact damage. Impact captured in condition state 2 under the appropriate defect. | Significant impact damage. Impact captured in condition state 3 under the appropriate defect. | Severe impact damage. Impact captured in condition state 4 under the appropriate defect. | |

• Cracks are typically documented as a linear feet (LF) quantity and are assumed to be 1' wide for ease of calculations and consistency.

• As a general rule, pattern or map cracked areas or areas with concentrated cracking can be documented and rated as a SF area.

• Approaches that have settled and had a temporary improvement (placing asphalt on the approach to even out the settlement) should be coded as the entire quantity in Condition State 3.

#321: Concrete Approach Slab (SF) #873 Sidewalk Approach Slab (SF) Condition Rating Examples (Concrete Approach Slab)



Condition State 2 Concrete patch on a concrete approach panel (along the end block joint)



Condition State 3 Temporary bituminous patch on a concrete approach panel (along the end block joint and centerline)



Condition State 4 Severe spall along centerline of a concrete approach panel



Condition State 4 Concrete approach panel fractured at the corner

3.8.2 Asphalt Concrete and Gravel Approach Roadways (Elements #870 and #872)

#870 Asphalt Concrete Approaches (SF) #872 Gravel Approaches (SF)

These elements apply to roadways that terminate at the bridge abutments (with no underlying concrete slab). These are "square foot" items – there are quantities for each end of the bridge. If the bridge has a divided median or ramp, the quantity can be increased to rate each approach roadway segment separately. The area considered in the rating typically includes the approach roadway width or clear roadway width and extending out approximately 20 ft. from the end of the bridge deck.

| | Structural Element Condition States | | | | |
|---|---|--|---|---|--|
| Item or Defect | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | |
| | Good | Fair | Poor | Severe | |
| General Condition | Little or no deterioration. | Minor to moderate deterioration. | Extensive or significant deterioration – repairs may be required. | Severe deterioration – immediate repairs are required. | |
| Potholes, Patching, or Repairs. (3210) | None. | Potholes less than ½" deep. Patches that remain sound. | Potholes ½" to less than 2" deep. Deteriorated patches or repairs. | Potholes 2" or deeper. Repair patches that have failed. | |
| Wear or Rutting (Use 3230) | Minor wearing, no rutting. | Moderate wearing or minor rutting. | Significant wearing or rutting. | Severe wearing or rutting. | |
| Cracking (3220) | Insignificant cracks | Moderate (1/4" to 1/2") unsealed cracks. Sealed cracks. | Significant (½" to 1½") unsealed cracks. | Severe (greater than 1½") unsealed cracks. | |
| Bituminous Roadway (Use 3230) | Smooth and even with no potholes. | Moderate cracking or slight rutting. | Significant rutting or uneven surface. | Severe deterioration of the bituminous roadway (possible traffic hazard). | |
| Gravel Roadway (Use 3230) | Evenly graded. | Moderately rutted or eroded. | Extensive rutting or erosion. | Severe deterioration of the gravel roadway (possible traffic hazard). | |
| Settlement or Undermining (Use 3230) | No settlement or undermining – smooth transition on and off the bridge deck. | Slight settlement or undermining (traffic impact on the bridge has not been significantly increased). | Settlement has significantly increased traffic impact on the bridge. Significant undermining. | Settlement has severely increased traffic impact on the bridge. Severe undermining. | |



3.8.3 Ride Quality of Approaches (Elements #875 and #876)

#875 Approach Smoothness Near the Beginning of the Bridge (Each) #876 Approach Smoothness Near the End of the Bridge (Each)

The elements are used to code the worst condition state in any of the driving lanes for the approach adjacent to the bridge. These elements should only be looked at and used within 100 feet of the beginning or end of the bridge.

- Element 875 is for the beginning (low MRM) of the bridge.
- Element 876 is for the end (high MRM) of the bridge.

| | Structural Element Condition States | | | | |
|--------------------------|---|---|---|---|--|
| Item or Defect | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | |
| | Good | Fair | Poor | Severe | |
| Smoothness (Use 4000) | No settlement. There is a smooth transition on and off the bridge. | Slight bump or settlement and minor impact to ride quality. or Slight to moderate movement of trucks over the area with possible minimal tire bounce/skip markings and no speed reduction. | Bump or settlement significantly impacts ride quality. or Significant movement of trucks over the area with possible substantial tire bounce/skip markings and no or minimal reduction in speed. | Bump or settlement is severe, may cause vehicle damage or accidents and must be addressed or repaired immediately. or Significant movement of trucks over the area with substantial tire bounce/skip markings and a moderate to large speed reduction and/or a bump sign in place. | |



Condition State 2 (Concrete Approach Slab) Slight to moderate movement of trucks with minimal skip markings





3.9 SUPERSTRUCTURE AND SUBSTRUCTURE ELEMENTS

3.9.1 Steel Superstructure Elements

| Steel Superstructure Elements | | | | | |
|--|---|--|--|--|--|
| #102: Steel #107: Steel #113 #12 | Closed Web/Box Open Girder or I S: Steel Stringer (20: Steel Truss (L | Girder (LF) Beam (LF) LF) F) | #141: Steel Arch (LF) #152: Steel Floor Beam (LF) #162: Steel Gusset Plate (Each) | | |
| These elements apply to steel components of the bridge superstructure. This includes any steel type (weathering or non-weathering steel), and includes wrought iron. Element #515, 815, 816, 817, or 818 (Steel Protective Coating) must be rated as a separate sub-element/protection system for each of these steel elements. | | | | | |
| | | Con | dition States | | |
| Item or Defect | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | |
| | Good | Fair | Poor | Severe | |
| Structural Review | Structural review is not required. | Structural review is not required. | Structural review is not required <u>or</u> structural review has determined that the strength of the element has not been impacted. | Condition warrants structural review <u>or</u> structural review has determined that the strength of the element has been reduced. | |
| Repairs | No repairs are present. | Existing repair in sound condition. | Repair recommended <u>or</u> existing repair is unsound. | Immediate repairs are required. | |
| Corrosion (1000) | None | Surface corrosion (freckled rust) or corrosion has initiated. | Section loss, flaking | Section loss exceeds 10% of the member | |
| Corrosion (Weathering Steel) (1000) | Initial layer of protective oxide coating. | Corrosion beyond the initial layer of protective oxide coating. | present. | cross section (or effective section). | |
| Cracking (1010) | None. | Crack has self- arrested or has been arrested. | Un-arrested crack that is unlikely to propagate into a critical stress area. | Crack in a critical stress area (or may propagate into a critical stress area). | |
| Connection (1020) | Connection in- place and functioning as intended. | Loose fasteners, but the connection is functioning as intended. | Missing bolts or rivets; broken welds; or pack rust with distortion. | Connection has failed (or failure is eminent). | |
| Distortion (1900) | None | Mitigated distortion (or mitigation is not required). | Distortion requires mitigation and has not been addressed. | Severely bent or bowed. | |
| Misalignment (Use 1900) | None | Slightly out of position or alignment. | Significantly out of proper position or alignment. | Severely out of proper position or alignment. | |
| Damage (7000) | None. | Minor to moderate impact damage. Impact captured in condition state 2 under the appropriate defect. | Significant impact damage. Impact captured in condition state 3 under the appropriate defect. | Severe impact damage. Impact captured in condition state 4 under the appropriate defect. | |





beam

| 3.9.2 Stee | I Substructure | Elements |
|------------|----------------|----------|
|------------|----------------|----------|

| Steel Substructure Elements | | | | | | |
|---|---|--|---|--|--|--|
| #207: S #219: | teel Tower Tre Steel Abutme | stle (LF) nt (LF) | #231: Steel Pier/B | ent Cap (LF) | | |
| These elements apply to steel components of the bridge substructure – this includes any steel type (weathering or non-weathering steel) and includes wrought iron. If a steel substructure element is present on a bridge, Element #515, 815, 816, 817, or 818 (Steel Protective Coating) must be rated specifically for that element. | | | | | | |
| Protective Coating) must be rated specifically for that element. | | | | | | |
| Item or Defect | 1 | 2 | | 4 | | |
| | Good | Fair | Poor | Severe | | |
| Structural Review | Structural review is not required. | Structural review is not required. | Structural review is not required <u>or</u> structural review has determined that the strength of the element has not been impacted. | Condition warrants structural review <u>or</u> structural review has determined that the strength of the element has been reduced. | | |
| Repairs | No repairs are present. | Existing repairs are in sound condition. | Repairs are recommended <u>or</u> existing repair is unsound. | Immediate repairs are required. | | |
| Corrosion (1000) | None | Surface corrosion (freckled rust) or corrosion has initiated. | Section loss, flaking rust, or pack rust is present. | Section loss exceeds 10% of the member cross section (or effective section). | | |
| Cracking (1010) | None. | Crack has self- arrested or has been arrested. | Un-arrested crack that is unlikely to propagate into a critical stress area. | Crack in a critical stress area (or may propagate into a critical stress area). | | |
| Connection (1020) | Connection in-place and functioning as intended. | Loose fasteners, but the connection is functioning as intended. | Missing bolts or rivets; broken welds; or pack rust with distortion. | Connection has failed (or failure is eminent). | | |
| Distortion (1900) | None | Mitigated distortion (or mitigation is not required). | Distortion requires mitigation and has not been addressed. | Severely bent or bowed. | | |
| Settlement (4000) | None | Within tolerable limits or arrested (no structural distress). | Exceeds tolerable limits. | Stability of element has been reduced. | | |
| Scour (6000) | None | Within tolerable limits (or countermeasures installed). | Exceeds tolerable limits but is less than the critical scour limits. | Exceeds the critical scour limits. | | |
| Damage (7000) | None. | Minor to moderate impact damage. Impact captured in condition state 2 under the appropriate defect. | Significant impact damage. Impact captured in condition state 3 under the appropriate defect. | Severe impact damage. Impact captured in condition state 4 under the appropriate defect. | | |

Steel Substructure Elements Condition Rating Examples (Steel Substructure Elements)



Condition State 2 Paint failure and surface corrosion on a steel bearing cap



Condition State 3 Flaking rust and pack rust on a steel bent cap



Condition State 2 Extensive paint failure and surface corrosion on a steel pier cap



Condition State 4 Severe section loss (more than 10% of crosssection) on a steel pier cap

3.9.3 Steel Columns and Pilings

Steel Columns and Pilings

#202: Steel Column (Each)

#225: Steel or CIP Piling (Each)

These elements apply to steel columns or pilings of any steel type. These are "Each" quantities, so an overall condition state rating must be determined for each column or piling. Element #202 typically refers to vertical supports bearing on a concrete footing but could include the inclined legs on a steel K-frame. Element #225 refers specifically to piling that are driven into the ground.

- If a steel column or piling element is present on a bridge, Element #515, 815, 816, 817, or 818 (Steel Protective Coating) may be rated specifically for that element.
- #225 is typically only used when piling is exposed below a footing.

| | Condition States | | | | | |
|---|---|--|---|--|--|--|
| Item or Defect | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | | |
| | Good | Fair | Poor | Severe | | |
| Structural Review | Structural review is not required. | Structural review is not required. | Structural review is not required <u>or</u> structural review has determined that the strength of the element has not been impacted. | Condition warrants structural review <u>or</u> structural review has determined that the strength of the element has been reduced. | | |
| Repairs | No repairs are present. | Existing repairs are in sound condition. | Repairs are recommended <u>or</u> existing repair is unsound. | Immediate repairs are required. | | |
| Corrosion and Section Loss (1000) | None. | Surface corrosion (freckled rust). Section loss less than 1% of the total cross-section. | Flaking rust, or pack rust is present. Section loss less between 1% and 10% of the total cross-section. | Section loss exceeds 10% of the member cross section. | | |
| Cracking (1010) | None. | Crack has self- arrested or has been arrested. | Un-arrested crack that is unlikely to propagate into a critical stress area. | Crack in a critical stress area (or may propagate into a critical stress area). | | |
| Connection (1020) | In-place & functioning as intended. | Loose fasteners, but the connection is functioning as intended. | Missing bolts or rivets; broken welds; or pack rust with distortion. | Connection has failed (or failure is eminent). | | |
| Distortion (1900) | None | Mitigated distortion (or mitigation is not required). | Distortion requires mitigation and has not been addressed. | Severely bent or bowed. | | |
| Settlement (4000) | None | Within tolerable limits or arrested (no structural distress). | Exceeds tolerable limits. | Stability of element has been reduced. | | |
| Scour (6000) | None | Within tolerable limits (or countermeasures installed). | Exceeds tolerable limits but is less than the critical scour limits. | Exceeds the critical scour limits. | | |
| Damage (7000) | None. | Minor to moderate impact damage. Impact captured in CS 2 under the appropriate defect. | Significant impact damage. Impact captured in CS 3 under the appropriate defect. | Severe impact damage. Impact captured in CS 4 under the appropriate defect. | | |

Steel Columns and Pilings Condition Rating Examples (Steel Columns and Pilings)



Condition State 2 Paint failure and surface corrosion on a CIP Pile



Condition State 2 Paint failure and surface corrosion on a steel column



Condition State 2 Impact damage (bent flange) on a steel H-pile



Condition State 2 Paint failure and surface corrosion on a pinned steel column



Condition State 4 Fracture in steel shell of a CIP Piling

Severe corrosion and section loss on a steel h-pile

3.9.4 Steel Protective Coatings (Elements #515, #815, #816, #817, and #818)

#515: Steel Protective Coating (SF) #815: Weathering Steel (SF) #816: Lead Based Paint (SF)

#817: Non-Lead Based Paint (SF) #818: Metallized/Galvanized Coating (SF)

If an NBE steel element (deck, railing, superstructure, substructure, or culvert) is present on a bridge, Element #515, 815, 816, 817, or 818 (Steel Protective Coating) should be rated as a subelement/protection system for that steel element. Element #515, 815, 816, 817, or 818 is entered in BrM directly below each steel element.

The total surface area (in square feet) of each steel element must be determined. Portions of a steel element that are encased in concrete (such as the top surface of the top flange of a beam), should not be included in this quantity. For steel box members, this quantity will include the exterior and interior surfaces. This SF quantity may initially be entered as a rough estimate, but a more accurate quantity should eventually be calculated.

| | Condition States | | | | | |
|--|---|---|--|---|--|--|
| Item or Defect | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | | |
| | Good | Fair | Poor | Severe | | |
| Painted Steel Surfaces | Little or no paint deterioration. | Minor paint deterioration. | Finish coat failure – prime coat remains mostly intact. | Paint system failure. Steel exposed. | | |
| Chalking (3410) | None. | Light chalking and fading of finish coat. | Heavy chalking or loss of pigment. | NA | | |
| Peeling, Bubbling, Cracking (3420) | None. | Finish coat has peeling, bubbling, and cracking. Prime coat no issues. | Finish coat failure – prime coat starting to show issues but remains mostly intact. | Exposure of base metal. | | |
| Coating Loss | 0.3% or less* | 0.3% to 3%* | 3% to 16%* | More than 16%* | | |
| Percentage (1 SF Coated Segment) | *Percentages are based upon The Society for Protective Coatings SSPC-VIS 2 (Standard Method of Evaluating Degree of Rusting on Painted Steel Surfaces) | | | | | |
| Galvanized Steel Surfaces (Use 3440) | Little or no deterioration of galvanized coating. | Minor coating deterioration. | Moderate coating deterioration (coating remains mostly intact). | Galvanized coating system failure. | | |
| Duplex Coated (Galvanized and Painted) Steel Surfaces | Little or no deterioration. | Minor coating deterioration. | Moderate coating deterioration – galv. coating remains mostly intact. | Extensive duplex coating system failure. | | |
| Unpainted Weathering Steel Surfaces (3430) | Protective oxide coating is uniform and tightly adhered (yellow, orange, or brown color) | Protective oxide coating is uneven or has minor deterioration. Dark brown color – the surface may be dusty or granular. | Protective oxide coating has moderate failure (small flakes, less than ½" diameter). Black color. | Protective oxide coating has failed. Large areas of the surface layer are flaking off. | | |
| Effectiveness (3440) | Fully effective. | Substantially effective. | Limited effectiveness. | Failed. Underlying metal exposed. | | |

#515: Steel Protective Coating – Paint (SF) #816: Lead Based Paint (SF) #817: Non-Lead Based Paint (SF) Condition Rating Examples (Protective Coatings – Paint)



Condition State 2 Chalking paint on steel arch bracing members.



Condition State 2 Minor paint failure (Isolated – less than 3%).



Condition State 3 Paint finish coat failure (primer coat remains intact).



Condition State 4 Paint system failure (exposed steel).



State of South Dakota | Bridge Inspection Field Manual



| Reinforced Concrete Superstructure Elements #105: Reinforced Concrete Closed/Web Box Girder (LF) #116: Reinforced Concrete Stringer (LF) #110: Reinforced Concrete Open Girder/Beam #15: Reinforced Concrete Floor Beam (LF) #110: Reinforced Concrete Open Girder/Beam #15: Reinforced Concrete Floor Beam (LF) These elements apply to structural members constructed of reinforced concrete. Condition States Item or Defect 1 2 3 4 Structural Review Structural review is not required. Structural review is not required. Structural review is not required or structural review is not required or structural review is not required. Condition warrants structural review is not required or structural review is not required or structural review is not required or structural review is not required or structural review is not required. Condition warrants structural review is not required or isstructural review is not required or structural review is not required. Condition warrants structural review is not required or isstructural review is not required or isstructural review is not required. Condition warrants structural review is not required or isstructural review is not required or structural review has determined that the structural review is not required. Condition warrants structural review is not required or isstructural review is not required. Condition warrants structural review is not required or structural review is not required or structural review is not required. Condition w | 3.9.5 Reinforced Concrete Superstructure Elements | | | | | |
|--|--|--|---|--|---|--|
| #105: Reinforced Concrete Closed/Web Box Girder (LF) #116: Reinforced Concrete Stringer (LF) #118: Reinforced Concrete Stringer (LF) #110: Reinforced Concrete Open Girder/Beam #15: Reinforced Concrete Stringer (LF) These elements apply to structural members constructed of reinforced concrete (cast-in-place or pre- cast). These elements should not be used for prestressed or post-tensioned concrete. A Item or Defect 1 2 3 4 Good Fair Poor Severe Condition States Structural Review Structural review is not required. Structural review is not required. Structural review is not required or structural review for determined that the strength of the element has not been impacted. Coadition warants strength of the element has not determined that the strength of the element has not diameter. Repairs unsound or distressed. Lose delamination (safety hazard). Spall 6" or less in diameter. Existing repair in sound condition. Lose delamination (safety hazard). Lose delamination (safety hazard). Exposed Reinforcement (1090) None Exposed without build-up). Exposed without build-up or light eaching (little or no build-up). Exposed rebar with usstatian indicating rebar corrosion. Severe (greater tha 0.05" to 1.25") cracks. Unsealed moderate width cracks (less than 0.012" 20 rm moderate width cracks (less than 0.012" 20 rm moderate width cracks that have been sealed. Superficial. Severe (greater tha 0.05" to 0.125") cracks. Coarse agg | Reinforced Concrete Superstructure Elements | | | | | |
| These elements apply to structural members constructed of reinforced concrete (cast-in-place or pre- cast). These elements should not be used for prestressed or post-tensioned concrete. Item or Defect 1 2 3 4 Good Fair Poor Severe Structural Review Structural review is not required. Structural review is not required. Structural review of structural review of structural review of the element has not been impacted. Condition strates Condition strates Delamination, Spall, or Patched Area (1080) None Delamination (not yet loose). Loose delamination structural review of not required. Loose delamination castly hazard). Loose delamination (safety hazard). Exposed Reinforcement (1090) None Delamination (not yet loose). Loose delamination condition. Loose delamination (safety hazard). Loose delamination (safety hazard). Ethorescence, Rust Staining or Leaching (1120) None Exposed without build-up or light eaching (little or no build-up). Exposed rebar with medarate density or cacks. Unsealed moderate with cracks fus that have been sealed. Surface white without build-up or light eaching (little or no build-up). Wide (greater than 0.05" to 0.125") cracks of the plausible. Severe (greater than 0.05" to 0.125") cracks or not reduced, cracking or greater than 'to 3' on center) with moderate with cracks fus that w | #105: Reinforced Concrete Closed/Web Box Girder (LF)#116: Reinforced Concrete Stringer (LF) #144: Reinforced Concrete Arch (LF)#110: Reinforced Concrete Open Girder/Beam (LF)#155: Reinforced Concrete Floor Beam (LF) | | | | | |
| Item or Defect1234GoodFairPoorSevereStructural reviewStructural review is not required.Structural review is not required.Structural review is not required.Structural review is structural review is not required.Structural review is structural review is not required.Condition warrants structural review is structural review is atternal review is the ent has not been impacted.Condition warrants structural review is structural review is atternal review is not required.Condition warrants structural review is atternal review is not required.Condition warrants structural review is atternal review is structural review is atternal review is the ent has been reduced.Condition warrants structural review is atternal | These elements ap cast). These elements | ply to structural m ents should not be | embers constructed of re used for prestressed or | einforced concrete (cas post-tensioned concre | st-in-place or pre- te. | |
| Item or Defect1234GoodFairPoorSevereStructural reviewStructural review is not required.Structural review is not required.Structural review is not required.Structural review is structural review has determined that the strength of the element has not been impactedCondition warrant structural review has determined that the strength of the element has not been impactedCondition warrant structural review has determined that the strength of the element has not been impactedLoose delamination. Spall more than 1" deep or more than 6" diameter. Existing repair in sound condition.Loose delamination. Spall more than 1" deep or more than 6" diameter. Existing repair in sound odistressed.Loose delamination. Spalling repair is or distressed.Loose delamination. (Spalling repair sa required.Loose delamination. (Spalling repair sa required.Loose delamination. (Spalling repair sa required.Loose delamination. (Spalling repair sa severe section loss.Exposed (11090)NoneExposed without tracks (less moderate width cracks. Unsealed moderate (0.012' to 0.05') width or cacks. Unsealed moderate width cracking or greater than 1'to or cacks. Unsealed moderate width cracking or greater than 1'to or less on center).Severe (greater tha 0.125') cracks or fu dept fractures. Fai | | | Condit | tion States | | |
| GoodFairPoorSevereStructural ReviewStructural review is not required.Structural review is not required.Structural review has determined that the strength of the element has not been impacted.Condition warrants structural review has determined that the strength of the element has not been impacted.Condition warrants structural review has determined that the structural review has | Item or Defect | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | |
| Structural ReviewStructural review is not required.Structural review is not required.Structural review is not required or structural review has determined that the strength of the element has not been impacted.Condition warrants structural review o structural review o structural review o structural review is not required.Condition warrants structural review is not required or structural review is not required or structural review is not required.Condition warrants structural review is not required or structural review is not required or structural review is not required or structural review is not required or structural review is not required that the strength of the element has not been impacted.Condition warrants structural review is not required or structural review is not required or structural review is not required or structural review is not required or structural review is not required that the strength of the element has not been impacted.Condition warrants structural review is or clease de- structural review is or clease de- performed or existing repair is unsound or distressed.Condition warrants structural review is or clease de- performed or existing repair is unsound or distressed.Condition warrants determination. Spall more than 1" deep or institute recommended or existing repair is unsound or distressed.Condition warrants determination. Spall more than 1" deep or class.Condition warrants structural review is determination. Spall more than 1" deep or class.Condition warrants structural review is determination. Spall more than 1" deep or class. </th <th></th> <td>Good</td> <td>Fair</td> <td>Poor</td> <td>Severe</td> | | Good | Fair | Poor | Severe | |
| Delamination, Spall, or Patched Area (1080)NoneDelamination (not yet loose). Spall 1" or less deep and 6" or less in diameter. Existing repair in sound condition.Loose delamination. Spall more than 1" deep or more than 6" diameter. Repairs are recommended or existing repair is unsound or distressed.Loose delamination. Spall more than 1" deep or more than 6" diameter. Repairs are recommended or existing repair is unsound or distressed.Loose delamination. Spall more than 1" deep or more than 6" diameter. Repairs are recommended or existing repair is unsound or distressed.Loose delamination. Spall more than 1" deep or more than 6" diameter. Repairs are recommended or existing repair is unsound or distressed.Loose delamination. (Spall more than 6" diameter. Repairs are recommended or existing repair is unsound or distressed.Loose delamination. (Spall more than 6" diameter. Repairs are recommended or existing repair is unsound or distressed.Loose delamination. (Spalling repair is unsound or distressed.Cracking (1120)NoneDelaminati | Structural Review | Structural review is not required. | Structural review is not required. | Structural review is not required <u>or</u> structural review has determined that the strength of the element has not been impacted. | Condition warrants structural review <u>or</u> structural review has determined that the strength of the element has been reduced. | |
| Exposed Reinforcement (1090)NoneExposed without measurable section loss.Exposed rebar with measurable section loss.Exposed rebar with measurable section loss.Exposed rebar has severe section lossEfflorescence, Rust Staining or (1120)NoneSurface white without build-up or light leaching (little or no build-up).Surface white without build-up or stalacties) or rust stains indicating rebar corrosion.Severe leaching (significant build-up or stalacties) or rust stains indicating rebar corrosion.Severe leaching (concrete unsound 0.05" to 0.125")Cracking and Pattern/Map (1130)Insignificant cracks (less than 0.012") or moderate width cracks that have been sealed.Unsealed moderate (0.012" to 0.05") width or cracks. Unsealed moderate density pattern/map (spacing of greater than 1' to 3' on center) with moderate width cracking.Wide (greater than 0.05" to 0.125") cracks. Heavy density pattern/map with unsealed moderate width cracking or greater (spacing of 1' | Delamination, Spall, or Patched Area (1080) | None | Delamination (not yet loose). Spall 1" or less deep <u>and</u> 6" or less in diameter. Existing repair in sound condition. | Loose delamination. Spall more than 1" deep <u>or</u> more than 6" diameter. Repairs are recommended <u>or</u> existing repair is unsound or distressed. | Loose delamination (safety hazard). Spalling greater than 3" deep. Full-depth failures present or imminent. Immediate repairs are required. | |
| Efflorescence, Rust Staining or Leaching (1120)NoneSurface white without build-up or light leaching (little or no build-up).Heavy leaching (significant build-up or stalactites) or rust stains indicating rebar corrosion.Severe leaching (concrete unsoundCracking and Pattern/Map (1130)Insignificant cracks (less than 0.012") or moderate width cracks that have been sealed.Unsealed moderate (0.012" to 0.05") width cracks. Unsealed moderate density pattern/map (spacing on center) with moderate width cracking.Wide (greater than 0.05" to 0.125") cracks. Heavy density pattern/map with unsealed moderate width cracking or greater (spacing of 1' or less on center).Severe (greater than 0.125") cracks or fu depth fractures. Failure may be plausible.Scale, Abrasion, (1190)Superficial.Superficial.Coarse aggregate is exposed (½" deep or less) but remains secure in the concrete matrix.Coarse aggregate is loose (greater than ½" to 3") or popped out of the concrete matrix.Severe voiding (greater than 3") or concrete is unsound | Exposed Reinforcement (1090) | None | Exposed without measurable section loss. | Exposed rebar with measurable section loss. | Exposed rebar has severe section loss. | |
| Cracking and Pattern/Map (1130)Insignificant cracks (less than 0.012") or moderate width cracks that have been sealed.Unsealed moderate (0.012" to 0.05") width cracks. Unsealed moderate density pattern/map (spacing of greater than 1' to 3' on center) with moderate width cracking.Wide (greater than 0.05" to 0.125") cracks. Heavy density | Efflorescence, Rust Staining or Leaching (1120) | None | Surface white without build-up or light leaching (little or no build-up). | Heavy leaching (significant build-up or stalactites) or rust stains indicating rebar corrosion. | Severe leaching (concrete unsound). | |
| matrix. matrix. concrete is unsound | Cracking and Pattern/Map Cracking (1130) Scale, Abrasion, or Wear | Insignificant cracks (less than 0.012") or moderate width cracks that have been sealed. Superficial. | Unsealed moderate (0.012" to 0.05") width cracks. Unsealed moderate density pattern/map (spacing of greater than 1' to 3' on center) with moderate width cracking. Coarse aggregate is exposed (1/2" deep or less) but remains | Wide (greater than 0.05" to 0.125") cracks. Heavy density pattern/map with unsealed moderate width cracking or greater (spacing of 1' or less on center). Coarse aggregate is loose (greater than 1/2" to 3") or popped | Severe (greater than 0.125") cracks or full depth fractures. Failure may be plausible. Severe voiding (greater than 3") or | |
| Damage (7000) Superficial scrapes, Minor to moderate impact damage, Significant impact damage, Severe impact damage, | Damage (7000) | Superficial scrapes. | Minor to moderate | Significant impact | Severe impact | |

• Cracks are typically documented as a linear feet (LF) quantity and are assumed to be 1' wide for ease of calculations and consistency.

• As a general rule, pattern or map cracked areas or areas with concentrated cracking should be documented and are rated as a LF area – use engineering judgement.



State of South Dakota | Bridge Inspection Field Manual

Reinforced Concrete Superstructure Elements Condition Rating Examples (Reinforced Concrete Superstructure Elements)



Condition State 3 Spalling on a precast concrete tee beam.



Condition State 3 Spalling on a reinforced concrete arch.



Condition State 4 Severe spalling (and fracture) on a concrete arch spandrel wall.



Condition State 4 Severe impact damage (exposed and bent reinforcement) on a cast-in-place concrete T-girder.

| Reinforced Concrete Substructure Elements | | | | | | |
|--|---|---|--|---|--|--|
| #210: Reinforced Concrete Pier Wall (LF) #220: Reinforced Concrete Footing (LF) | | | | | | |
| #215: Reinforced Concrete Abutment (LF) #234: Reinforced Concrete Pier/Bent Cap (LF) | | | | | | |
| These elements a | pply to substruc | | nted of cast-in-place or pre | e-cast concrete. | | |
| Itom or Defect | | | | | | |
| | Good | Fair | Poor | Sovere | | |
| | 0000 | | Structural review is not | Condition warrants | | |
| Structural Review | Structural review is not required. | Structural review is not required. | structural review has determined that the strength of the element has not been impacted. | structural review <u>or</u> structural review has determined that the strength of the element has been reduced. | | |
| Delamination, Spall, or Patched Area (1080) | None | Delamination (not yet loose). Spall 1" or less deep <u>and</u> 6" or less in diameter. Existing repair in sound condition. | Loose delamination. Spall more than 1" deep <u>or</u> more than 6" diameter. Repairs are recommended <u>or</u> existing repair is unsound or distressed. | Loose delamination (safety hazard). Spalling greater than 3" deep. Full-depth failures present or imminent. Immediate repairs are required. | | |
| Exposed Reinforcement (1090) | None | Exposed without measurable section loss. | Exposed rebar with measurable section loss. | Exposed rebar has severe section loss. | | |
| Efflorescence, Rust Staining, or Leaching (1120) | None | Surface white without build-up or light leaching (little or no build-up). | Heavy leaching (significant build-up or stalactites) or rust stains indicating rebar corrosion. | Severe leaching (concrete unsound). | | |
| Cracking and Pattern/Map Cracking (1130) | Insignificant cracks (less than 0.012") or moderate width cracks that have been sealed. | Unsealed moderate (0.012" to 0.05") width cracks. Unsealed moderate density pattern/map (spacing of greater than 1' to 3' on center) with moderate width cracking. | Wide (greater than 0.05" to 0.125") cracks. Heavy density pattern/map with unsealed moderate width cracking or greater (spacing of 1' or less on center). | Severe (greater than 0.125") cracks or full depth fractures. Deck failure may be imminent. | | |
| Scale, Abrasion, or Wear (1190) | Superficial. | Coarse aggregate is exposed (½" deep or less) but remains secure in the concrete matrix. | Coarse aggregate is loose (greater than ½" to 3") or popped out of the concrete matrix. | Severe voiding (greater than 3") or concrete is unsound. | | |
| Misalignment | None | Slightly misaligned. | Significantly misaligned. | Severely misaligned. | | |
| Decorative Veneers | Superficial deterioration | Delaminated or deteriorated. | Missing or severely deteriorated | Loose veneer poses a safety hazard. | | |
| Settlement (4000) | None | Within tolerable limits or arrested. | Exceeds tolerable limits. | Stability of element has been reduced. | | |
| Scour (6000) | None | Within tolerable limits or countermeasures installed. | Exceeds tolerable limits but less than critical scour limits. | Exceeds the critical scour limits. | | |
| Cracks are typically documented as a linear feet (LF) quantity and are assumed to be 1' wide for ease of calculations and consistency. | | | | | | |

3.9.6 Reinforced Concrete Substructure Elements

• As a general rule, pattern or map cracked areas or areas with concentrated cracking should be documented and are rated as a LF area – use engineering judgement.



| 3.9.7 | Reinforced | Concrete | Columns | and | Pilings |
|-------|------------|----------|---------|-----|---------|
|-------|------------|----------|---------|-----|---------|

| Reinforced Concrete Columns and Pilings | | | | | |
|---|--|---|--|---|--|
| #205: Reinforced Concrete Column (Each) #227: Reinforced Concrete Piling (Each) | | | | | |
| These elements apply to columns or pilings constructed of cast-in-place or pre-cast concrete. These are "Each" quantities, so an overall condition state rating must be determined for each column or piling present on the bridge. Element #227 (Concrete Piling) refers specifically to piling that are driven into the ground. Drilled shafts or caissons should be rated using Element 205 (Concrete Column) | | | | | |
| | | Con | dition States | | |
| Item or Defect | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | |
| | Good | Fair | Poor | Severe | |
| Structural Review | Structural review is not required. | Structural review is not required. | Structural review is not required <u>or</u> structural review has determined that the strength of the element has not been impacted. | Condition warrants structural review <u>or</u> structural review has determined that the strength of the element has been reduced. | |
| Loss of Cross- Section | None | Insignificant (less than 1%) | Moderate (from 1% to 10%) | Severe (more than 10%) | |
| Delamination, Spall, or Patched Area (1080) | None | Delamination (not yet loose). Spall 1" or less deep <u>and</u> 6" or less in diameter. Existing repair in sound condition. | Spall more than 1" deep <u>or</u> more than 6" diameter. Repairs are recommended <u>or</u> existing repair is unsound or distressed. | Loose delamination (safety hazard). Spalling greater than 3" deep. Full-depth failures present or imminent. Immediate repairs are required. | |
| Exposed Reinforcement (1090) | None | Exposed without measurable section loss. | Exposed rebar with measurable section loss. | Exposed rebar has severe section loss. | |
| Efflorescence, Rust Staining, or Leaching (1120) | None | Surface white without build-up or light leaching (little or no build-up). | Heavy leaching (significant build-up or stalactites) or rust stains indicating rebar corrosion. | Severe leaching (concrete unsound). | |
| Cracking and Pattern/Map Cracking (1130) | Insignifican t cracks (less than 0.012") or moderate width cracks that have been sealed. | Unsealed moderate (0.012" to 0.05") width cracks. Unsealed moderate density pattern/map (spacing of greater than 1' to 3' on center) with moderate width cracking. | Wide (greater than 0.05" to 0.125") cracks. Heavy density pattern/map with unsealed moderate width cracking or greater (spacing of 1' or less on center). | Severe (greater than 0.125") cracks or full depth fractures. Deck failure may be imminent. | |
| Scale, Abrasion, or Wear (1190) | Superficial. | Coarse aggregate is exposed (½" deep or less) but remains secure in the concrete matrix. | Coarse aggregate is loose (greater than ½" to 3") or popped out of the concrete matrix. | Severe voiding (greater than 3") or concrete is unsound. | |
| Misalignment | None | Slightly misaligned. | Significantly misaligned. | Severely misaligned. | |
| Decorative Veneers | Superficial deterioratio n | Delaminated or deteriorated. | Missing or severely deteriorated. | Loose veneer poses a safety hazard. | |
| Settlement (4000) | None | Within tolerable limits or arrested. | Exceeds tolerable limits. | Stability of element has been reduced. | |
| Scour (6000) | None | Within tolerable limits or countermeasures installed. | Exceeds tolerable limits but less than critical scour limits. | Exceeds the critical scour limits. | |





Condition State 3 Spalling (exposed and corroded reinforcement) near the top of a reinforced concrete column.

Significant spall on a precast concrete pile.

Reinforced Concrete Columns and Pilings Condition Rating Examples (Reinforced Concrete Columns and Pilings) **Condition State 3** Condition State 4 Extensive spalling (exposed and corroded reinforcement) on a reinforced concrete column. Significant scale/abrasion along the waterline on a reinforced concrete column. **Condition State 4** Condition State 4 Severe scale/spall on a reinforced concrete pile. Severe spall on a reinforced concrete column.

| 3.9.8 Prestressed Concrete Superstructure Elements | | | | | |
|---|---|---|--|---|--|
| Prestressed Concrete Superstructure Elements | | | | | |
| #104: Prestressed Concrete Closed Web/Box#115: Prestrestrestrestrestrestrestrestrestrest | | | | ncrete Stringer (LF) oncrete Arch (LF) rete Floor Beam (LF) | |
| These elements ap | ply to superstruct | ure members constructe | ed of either prestressed or | post-tensioned concrete. | |
| Element #104 (Prestressed Concrete Box Girder) includes the bottom flange and web walls of post-tensioned box girders. The top flange is rated separately using Element #15 (Prestressed Concrete Top Flange). Element #109 (Prestressed Concrete Girder or Beam) includes the vertical portions of prestressed Bulb Tees, Double Tees, or Quad Tees. The horizontal portions are rated separately using Element #15 | | | | | |
| | | Сог | ndition States | | |
| Item or Defect | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | |
| | Good | Fair | Poor | Severe | |
| Structural Review | Structural review is not required. | Structural review is not required. | Structural review is not required <u>or</u> structural review has determined that the strength of the element has not been impacted. | Condition warrants structural review <u>or</u> structural review has determined that the strength of the element has been reduced. | |
| Delamination, Spall, Patched Area (1080) | None | Delamination (not yet loose). Spalling 1" or less deep <u>and</u> 6" or less in diameter. Existing repair in sound condition | Spalling greater than 1" deep <u>or</u> greater than 6" diameter. Repairs are recommended <u>or</u> existing repair is unsound. | Loose delamination (safety hazard). Spalling deeper than 3" or rebar with severe section loss. Immediate repairs are required. | |
| Exposed Rebar (1090) | None. | Exposed rebar without measurable section loss. | Exposed rebar with measurable section loss. | Exposed rebar has severe section loss. | |
| Exposed Prestressing Strands (1100) | None | Exposed without section loss. | Exposed with corrosion or section loss (not severed). | Exposed with severe section loss (or severed). | |
| Cracking and Pattern/Map Cracking (1110) | Insignificant cracks (less than 0.004") or moderate width cracks that have been sealed. | Unsealed moderate (0.004" to 0.009") width cracks. Unsealed moderate density pattern/map (spacing of greater than 1' to 3' on center) with moderate width cracking. | Wide (greater than 0.009" to 0.02") cracks. Heavy density pattern/map with unsealed moderate width cracking or greater (spacing of 1' or less on center). | Severe (greater than 0.02") cracks or full depth fractures. Failure may be plausible. | |
| Efflorescence, Water/Salt Saturation, Rust Staining or Leaching (1120) | None | Light leaching (little or no build-up) or light water saturation. | Heavy leaching (significant build-up or stalactites). Significant water/salt saturation. Rust stains indicating rebar corrosion. | Severe leaching or severe salt/water saturation (failure imminent). | |
| Damage | Superficial | Minor to moderate | Significant impact | Severe impact damage. | |
| Cracks are | typically document | ed as a linear feet (LE) o | uantity and are assumed to | be 1' wide for ease of | |
| calculations and consistency. As a general rule, pattern or map cracked areas or areas with concentrated cracking should be documented and are rated as a LF area – use engineering judgement. | | | | | |


State of South Dakota | Bridge Inspection Field Manual



State of South Dakota | Bridge Inspection Field Manual

| Prestressed Concrete Substructure Elements #204: Prestressed Concrete Column (Each) | | | | | |
|---|--|--|---|--|--|
| #226: Prestressed Concrete Piling (Each) #233: Prestressed Concrete Pier/Bent Cap (LF) | | | | | |
| These elements a | pply to substrue | cture members comprise Co | ed of prestressed or post- indition States | tensioned concrete. | |
| Item or Defect | 1 2 | | 3 | 4 | |
| | Good | Fair | Poor | Severe | |
| Structural Review | Structural re | Structural review is not required or structural review is not required.Con- structural review is structural review has determined that the strength of the element has not been impacted.Con- structural structural structural | | | |
| Delamination, Spall, Patched Area (1080) | None. | Delamination (not yet loose). Spalling 1" or less deep <u>and</u> 6" or less in diameter. Existing repair in sound condition | Spalling greater than 1" deep <u>or</u> greater than 6" diameter. Repairs are recommended <u>or</u> existing repair is unsound. | Loose delamination (safety hazard). Spalling deeper than 3" or rebar with severe section loss. Immediate repairs are required. | |
| Exposed Rebar (1090) | None. | Exposed rebar without measurable section loss. | Exposed rebar with measurable section loss. | Exposed rebar has severe section loss. | |
| Exposed Prestressing Strands (1100) | None | Exposed without section loss. | Exposed with corrosion or section loss (not severed). | Exposed with severe section loss (or severed). | |
| Cracking and Map (Pattern) Cracking (1110) | Insignificant cracks (less than 0.004") or moderate width cracks that have been sealed. | icant (less 004") erate cacks ave n end d Unsealed moderate (0.004" to 0.009") width cracks or unsealed moderate pattern/map (spacing of 1-3' on center) cracking. d Unsealed moderate (0.004" to 0.009") width cracks or unsealed moderate pattern/map (spacing on center) cracking. | | Severe (greater than 0.02") cracks or full depth fractures. Deck failure may be imminent. | |
| Efflorescence, Leaching, or Rust Staining (1120) | None. | Light leaching (little or no build-up). Light water saturation. Minor rust stains. | Heavy build-up or stalactites. Significant water/salt saturation. Rust stains indicating rebar corrosion. | Severe leaching (failure imminent), Severe salt/water saturation. | |
| Misalignment | None. | Slightly misaligned. | Significantly misaligned. | Severely misaligned. | |
| Settlement (4000) | None. | Within tolerable limits or arrested. | Exceeds tolerable limits. | Stability of element has been reduced. | |
| Scour (6000) | None. | Within tolerable limits or countermeasures installed. | Exceeds tolerable limits but less than critical scour limits. | Exceeds the critical scour limits. | |
| Cracks are ease of ca As a gene document | e typically docu lculations and o ral rule, pattern ed and are rate | mented as a linear feet (consistency. or map cracked areas c d as a LF area – use en | LF) quantity and are assu or areas with concentrated gineering judgement. | umed to be 1' wide for d cracking should be | |

3.9.9 Prestressed Concrete Substructure Elements



| 3.9.10 Timber S | uperstructure E | lements | | |
|---|--|---|---|---|
| | | Timber Superstruc | cture Elements | |
| #111: Timbe #117: #135 | er Open Girder o Timber Stringe 5: Timber Truss | r Beam (LF) r (LF) (LF) | #146: Timber Arch (LF) #156: Timber Floor Beam (LF) | |
| These elements a glue-lam timber r nuts, washers, co | apply to timber su nembers. Conne onnection plates. | perstructure membe ctions on timber elen etc.). | rs of any type or shape. T nents will typically include s | his includes sawn or steel components (bolts, |
| | | с. С | ondition States | |
| Item or Defect | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| | Good | Fair | Poor | Severe |
| Structural Review | Structural review is not required. | | Structural review is not required <u>or</u> structural review has determined that the strength of the element has not been impacted. | Condition warrants structural review or structural review has determined that the strength of the element has been reduced. |
| Repairs | No repairs are present. | Existing repair in sound condition. | Repairs are recommended <u>or</u> existing repair is unsound. | Immediate repairs are required. |
| Connection (1020) | Connection in- place and functioning as intended. | Loose fasteners, but connection is functioning as intended. | Missing bolts, rivets, or fasteners, broken welds, or pack rust with distortion. | Connection has failed (or failure is eminent). |
| Decay, Abrasion, or Fire Damage (1140) | Minor deterioration (no section loss). | Less than 10% section loss. No crushing or sagging. | 10% to 40% section loss. Some crushing or sagging. | More than 40% section loss. Severe crushing or sagging. |
| Shakes, Checks, or Splits (1150 or 1170) | Penetrating less than 5% of the member thickness. | Penetrates 5% to 50% of the member thickness (not in a tension zone). | Penetrates more than 50% of the member thickness or more than 5% of the member thickness in a tension zone. | Penetrates through entire member or more than 25% of the member thickness in a tension zone. |
| Crack (1160) | None. | Crack that has been arrested through effective measures. | Identified crack that has not been arrested and does not require structural review. | Severe cracks or full depth fractures. Failure may be plausible. |
| Delamination - Glulam (1170) | None. | Minor. | Significant. | Severe. |
| Misalignment | None. | Slightly misaligned. | Significantly misaligned. | Severely misaligned. |
| Damage (7000) | Superficial scrapes. | Minor to moderate impact damage. | Significant impact damage. | Severe impact damage. |
| Shake: A separation along the grain (between the growth rings). Usually forms within a standing tree or during felling. Check: A separation perpendicular to the grain (across the growth rings). Usually results from stress due to drying shrinkage. Split (or Thru Check): A check extending further through the timber member due to tearing apart of wood cells. | | Knot Surface check End check | Split (thru check) Shake Check (heart) | |



Condition State 3 Significant horizontal splitting on a sawn timber beam. Condition State 3 Horizontal splitting with internal decay (plant growth) on a sawn timber beam.



Condition State 4 Severe crushing (failure) of a sawn timber beam.

Condition State 4

Severe internal decay on a sawn timber beam.

| Timber Substructure Elements | | | | | |
|---|---|--|---|---|--|
| #206: Timber Columns (Each) #208: Timber Trestle Tower (LF) #212: Timber Pier Wall (LF) | | | #216: Timber A #228: Timber #235: Timber Pier/ | butment (LF) Pile (Each) Bearing Cap (LF) | |
| These elemen | ts apply to timber s | ubstructure members of | any type or shape. | | |
| | | Cor | dition States | | |
| Item or Defec | xt <u>1</u> | 2 | 3 | 4 | |
| | Good | Fair | Poor | Severe | |
| Structural Review | Structural re | Structural review is not required. | | Condition warrants structural review <u>or</u> structural review has determined that the strength of the element has been reduced. | |
| Repairs | No repairs are present. | Existing repair in sound condition. | Repairs are recommended <u>or</u> existing repair is unsound. | Immediate repairs are required. | |
| Connection (1020) | In-place and functioning as intended. | Loose fasteners but functioning as intended. | Missing fasteners, connection is distressed. | Connection has failed (or failure is eminent). | |
| Decay, Abrasion, or Fire Damage (1140 or 1180 | Minor deterioration (no section) loss). | Less than 10% section loss. No crushing or sagging. | 10% to 40% section loss. Some crushing or sagging. | More than 40% section loss. Severe crushing or sagging. | |
| Shakes, Checks, or Splits (1150 or 1170 | Penetrates less than 5% of member thickness. | Penetrates 5% to 50% of member thickness and not in a tension zone. | Penetrates more than 50% of member thickness (or more than 5% in a tension zone). | Penetrates through entire member (or more than 25% in a tension zone). | |
| Crack (1160) | Crack (1160) None. Crack that arrested effective | | Identified crack that has not been arrested and does not require structural review. | Severe cracks or full depth fractures. Failure may be plausible. | |
| Misalignmen | t None | Slightly misaligned. | Significantly misaligned. | Severely misaligned. | |
| Settlement (4000) | None | Within tolerable limits or arrested. | Exceeds tolerable limits. | Stability of element has been reduced. | |
| Scour (6000) | our 00)NoneWithin tolerable limits or countermeasures installed. | | Exceeds tolerable limits but less than critical scour limits. | Exceeds the critical scour limits. | |
| Damage (7000) | Superficial scrapes. | Minor to moderate impact damage. | Significant impact damage. | Severe impact damage. | |
| Shake: A separation along the grain (between the growth rings). Usually forms within a standing tree or during felling. Check: A separation perpendicular to the grain (across the growth rings). Usually results from stress due to drying shrinkage. Split (or Thru Check): A check extending further through the timber member due to tearing apart of wood cells. | | | Knot Surface check End check | Split (thru check) Shake Check (heart) | |

3.9.11 Timber Substructure Elements

Timber Substructure Elements Condition Rating Examples (Timber Substructure Elements)



Condition State 2 Checking on the end of a timber pier cap.



Condition State 2 Timber piling with decay at water line (less than 10% section loss).



Condition State 3 Shell damage on a timber piling (section loss between 10% and 40%).



Condition State 3 Fire damage on a timber piling (section loss between 10% and 40%).

Timber Substructure Elements Condition Rating Examples (Timber Substructure Elements)



Condition State 3 Timber pile with splitting and decay at a bracing connection.



Condition State 3 Timber cap with significant misalignment (tipped) – not bearing fully on the steel piling.



Condition State 4 Failure of abutment backing planks.



Condition State 4 Timber pile with severe decay and crushing.

3.9.12 Masonry Superstructure and Substructure Elements

Masonry Superstructure and Substructure Elements

#145: Masonry Arch (LF) #213: Masonry Pier Wall (LF) #217: Masonry Abutment (LF)

These elements apply to structural bridge components comprised primarily of masonry units. Masonry structures that have reinforced concrete components (that cannot be conveniently broken into separate elements) may be rated using masonry elements – use the reinforced concrete defect language to rate those areas.

Note: These elements should not be used for masonry arch structures that are classified as "culverts" – use Element #244 (Masonry Culvert) instead.

| | | Conc | dition States | |
|--|------------------------------------|--|--|--|
| Defects | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| | Good | Fair | Poor | Severe |
| Structural Review | Structural review is not required. | | Structural review is not required <u>or</u> structural review has determined that the strength of the element has not been impacted. | Condition warrants structural review <u>or</u> structural review has determined that the strength of the element has been reduced. |
| Delamination or Spall (1080) | None | Delamination. Spalling less than 10% loss of block thickness. | Spalling with 10% to 25% loss of block thickness. | Spalling with more than 25% loss of block thickness. |
| Efflorescence or Rust Staining (1120) | None | Surface white without build-up or leaching, without rust staining. | Heavy build-up with rust staining. | Severe leaching (concrete unsound). |
| Mortar Breakdown (1610) | None | Cracks or voids in less than 10% of the joints. | Cracks or voids in 10% to 25% of the joints. | Cracks or voids in more than 25% of the joints. |
| Spilt or Fracture (1620) | None | Block split (no continuation into adjacent courses). | Fractured through adjacent courses or block split with significant offset. | Fracture or split reduces stability of structure. |
| Repairs or Patched Areas (1630) | No repairs are present. | Existing repairs are in sound condition. | Repairs are recommended <u>or</u> existing repair is unsound. | Immediate repairs are required. |
| Scaling or Abrasion | Minor surface deterioration. | Less than 10% loss of block thickness. | 10% to 25% loss of block thickness. | More than 25% loss of block thickness. |
| Masonry Displacement (1640) | None | Block or stone slightly misaligned. | Block or stone significantly misaligned. | Block or stone is severely misaligned (or detached). |
| Distortion (1900) | None. | Distortion not requiring mitigation or mitigated distortion. | Distortion that requires mitigation. | Condition warrants structural review. |
| Settlement (4000) | None | Within tolerable limits or arrested. | Exceeds tolerable limits. | Stability of element has been reduced. |
| Scour (6000) | None | Within tolerable limits or countermeasures installed. | Exceeds tolerable limits but less than critical scour limits. | Exceeds the critical scour limits. |



Masonry Superstructure and Substructure Elements

Condition Rating Examples (Masonry Superstructure and Substructure Elements)



Condition State 3

Leaching through joints on a masonry arch.



Condition State 4 Masonry pier wall severely deteriorated below a truss bearing.



Condition State 4 Masonry pier wall severely damaged by scour.

3.10 BEARINGS & SPECIAL FEATURE ELEMENTS

3.10.1 Bearing Components and Inspection Procedures

The primary function of a bearing is to transmit loads from the superstructure to the substructure. There are two basic types of bearings, expansion and fixed.

- Expansion bearings permit longitudinal movement of the superstructure due to thermal expansion and contraction. Most expansion bearings allow for rotation of the superstructure due to live load deflection. Some expansion bearings are designed to restrict lateral movement or to prevent uplift of the superstructure.
- Fixed bearings resist longitudinal movement of the superstructure due to thermal expansion and contraction. Most fixed bearings allow for rotation of the superstructure due to live load deflection, and to resist lateral movement of the superstructure.

A typical bearing assembly consists of the following components.

- **Sole Plate:** The sole plate protects the superstructure member, and transfers load from the superstructure to the bearing.
- **Bearing Device:** The bearing transfers load from the sole plate to the masonry plate. Bearings may incorporate sliding plates, rollers, rockers, pins, or elastomeric pads to allow for longitudinal or rotational movement of the superstructure.
- **Masonry Plate:** The masonry plate distributes load from the bearing to the supporting substructure unit (abutment, pier, or footing). Some bearings bear directly upon the bearing seat and others may bear on preformed cotton duck or other pads.
- **Anchorage:** Bearings that resist longitudinal or lateral movement (or uplift forces) require an anchorage system. This typically consists of threaded steel rods drilled (or cast) into the substructure unit.
- Lateral Guide System: Some expansion bearing assemblies include guides to prevent lateral movement while still allowing longitudinal expansion or contraction.



Inspection and Condition Rating of Bridge Bearings

Bearings should be examined for deterioration, function, alignment, as well as the soundness of the anchorage and substructure support. All of these factors should be taken into consideration when rating a bearing element. SDDOT uses seven bearing elements, the bridge design plans may need to be referenced to verify the type and quantity of bearing elements.

- #310 Elastomeric Bearing (Each)
- #311 Movable or Expansion Bearing (Each)
- #312 Enclosed/Concealed Bearing (Each)
- #313 Fixed Bearing (Each)
- #314 Pot Bearing (Each)
- #315 Disk Bearing (Each)
- #316 Other Bearing (Each)

The importance of inspecting and maintaining bridge bearings should not be underestimated. If ignored, seemingly minor bearing problems could result in serious structural issues.

- Bearing malfunction can damage adjacent structural elements.
- Severe bearing misalignment often indicates significant problems elsewhere on the bridge (such as substructure settlement, shifting, or tipping).
- Loss of bearing area could result in collapse of a bridge span.



The 2005 collapse of the Dunn Bridge in Albany, New York was attributed to the malfunction of the rocker bearings, combined with horizontal deflection of the supporting pier. The rocker bearings had been misaligned for several years prior to the collapse.



Bearing Malfunction: A common problem with expansion bearings is seizing due to corrosion or debris. Bearings are typically located below deck joints, a highly corrosive environment. Debris (such as sand, dirt, and flaking rust) can restrict expansion, accelerate corrosion, increase wear, and prevent adequate inspection. Sliding plate, roller, and rocker bearings provide numerous locations for debris and moisture to collect. Expansion bearings should be examined for obvious evidence of recent movement (such as scraped paint, wear, or fretting rust). The inspector should take bearing measurements, and examine adjacent components (such as deck joints, railings, or curb plates) for evidence of recent expansion or contraction. Bearing malfunction can also result from bearing components that are worn, misaligned, broken, loose, or missing. Contact surfaces (plates, rollers, rockers, and pins) should be examined for wear and freedom of movement. Loose bearing components may be identified by noise (or movement) when the bridge is subjected to live loads.



Severe malfunction of an elastomeric bearing



Fixed pin truss bearing with severe loss of bearing area



Corroded (possibly frozen) sliding plate bearing

Bearings – Thermal Expansion and Contraction: The magnitude of the longitudinal movement of a bridge is dependent upon three factors – the coefficient of thermal expansion (steel and concrete are similar), the temperature range, and the structure length. As temperatures in South Dakota range from -30° F up to 120° F, a bridge bearing must be able to accommodate about 1-1/8" of longitudinal movement for every 100 ft. of structure length. Expansion bearings are typically designed to be in the neutral (centered) position at around 40° F.

Expansion bearings should be periodically measured to ensure that they are functioning as intended. The horizontal (longitudinal) distance from the neutral alignment should be recorded. Bearing measurements should be taken to the nearest 1/8", and the substrate temperature at the time of the measurement should be recorded. Thermal expansion or contraction which exceeds the bearing design limits can result in bearing failure – sliding plates may tip and lock, or rocker bearings may bind. The adjacent deck, superstructure, and substructure should be examined for contacting surfaces that might be preventing proper expansion.

Bearings - Lateral Movement and Uplift: Expansion bearings are often restrained from lateral movement by guide tabs, keeper bars, pintles, pin caps, or other mechanisms. Lateral guides should be examined for binding, particularly on skewed or curved bridges. Keeper bars on roller bearings can seize due to corrosion or debris – keeper bar failure could result in misalignment of rollers. Pintles that are exposed or sheared off may indicate excessive longitudinal movement.

Lateral restraint is sometimes provided by shear keys, shear lugs, or other devices that are incorporated into end diaphragms or floor beams. Lateral restraint systems separate from the bridge bearings should be noted in the bridge report.

Some bearings are also designed to resist uplift of the bridge superstructure – uplift forces may be present on curved bridges, anchor spans, steel pier caps, steel arch bridges, or on short end spans of continuous bridges. An uplift restraint system may consist of tension members such as anchor bolts or eye bars or may incorporate a counterweight. Uplift restraints should be examined for section loss, cracking, binding, or connection failure. Anchor bolts may require periodic ultrasonic examination.



Sliding plate bearing near the design limits of expansion



Uplift (gap) on a curved plate

fixed bearing



Anchor bolt failure on a fixed bearing

Bearings - Seats and Anchor Bolts: The bearing seats and anchor bolts should be examined for any evidence of deterioration or distress. Cracking or spalling of the bearing seat may indicate bearing anchorage failure – deterioration of the bearing seat can eventually result in loss of bearing area. Anchor bolts that are bent (or contacting the ends of slotted plates) may indicate excessive expansion or substructure movement. The position of bearing masonry plates should be measured and compared to the original plans, as they are sometimes reset due to substructure movement. Look for any evidence that the anchor bolts were not properly installed, such as bolts extending up too high or nuts not properly tightened.

3.10.2 Elastomeric Expansion Bearing (Element #310)

| #310: Elastomeric Expansion Bearing (Each) | | | | | |
|--|---|--|--|--|--|
| This element applies to elastomeric bearing pade bearings may include steel plates above or below | that facilitate expansion by deformation. These v the elastomeric pads. | | | | |
| BOTTOM FLANGE OF BEAM | The pads are comprised of alternating layers of elastomer (100% virgin chloroprene) and 1/8" thick steel plates, which are bonded together and covered. | | | | |
| BEARING PLATE | Older elastomeric bearing pads may have fiberglass plates or may be solid neoprene (with no internal reinforcement). | | | | |
| | A curved steel pintle plate is usually placed on top of elastomeric pads to allow rotation due to deflection. The pintles fit into a sole plate attached to the bottom flange of the beam. | | | | |
| | The pintle plate at left has small weldments on the underside to keep the pad from "walking". Older elastomeric bearings may not have a pintle plate. Some elastomeric expansion bearings are restrained against lateral movement or uplift forces. | | | | |
| BEAM | Elastomeric bearings can accommodate longitudinal movement up to approximately 25% of the pad thickness – the longer the span, the thicker the pad required. | | | | |
| 25% T Max. | While the pad deformation and orientation should correspond with the current temperature, the actual "neutral" position is the temperature when the bearing was installed. Example, a pad installed on a very hot day may always appear to be tipped in contraction. | | | | |
| | Elastomeric bearings generally require less maintenance than mechanical expansion bearings, as they are less susceptible to debris and corrosion. | | | | |
| | Elastomeric pads should be examined for excessive bulging, as well as splitting or tearing that expose the internal reinforcement plates. | | | | |
| | Elastomeric pads have a tendency to "walk" out from beneath the upper plate. Any significant misalignment should be measured, noted, and monitored during future inspections. | | | | |
| | Newer elastomeric bearings incorporate welded guides on the underside of the sole plate to keep them in position. | | | | |

| #310: Elastomeric Expansion Bearing (Each) | | | | | | |
|---|---|--|--|---|--|--|
| | Structural Element Condition States | | | | | |
| Item or Defect | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | | |
| | Good | Fair | Poor | Severe | | |
| Bearing Movement & Structural Review | Free to move. | Minor restriction. | Restricted but not warranting structural review (no immediate structural concern). | severe restriction - structural review is warranted. <u>or</u> resetting, repair, or replacement required. | | |
| Corrosion (1000) | None. | Freckled rust (corrosion has initiated). | Section loss or pack rust is present. | Section loss severely impacts bearing function or capacity. | | |
| Alignment or Deformation (2220) | Alignment is appropriate for the current temperature. | Alignment is inconsistent for the current temperature. | Deformation is near design limits (25% of pad thickness). | Deformation is beyond design limits (25% of pad thickness). | | |
| Bearing Pad Position | Pad is properly positioned. | Pad has moved slightly (less than ½" beyond sole plate). | Pad has moved ½" to 2" beyond sole plate – resetting recommended. | Pad has moved more than 2" beyond sole plate – resetting required. | | |
| Bulging, Splitting or Tearing (2230) | None. | Bulging less than 15% of pad thickness. Minor rolling along pad edges. | Bulging more than 15% of pad thickness. Splitting or tearing (internal plates exposed). Significant rolling along pad edges. Pad surfaces are not parallel. | Splitting, bulging, de- bonding, or pad damage that severely impacts bearing function or capacity | | |
| Plates, Restraints, or Anchor Bolts | Plates, restraints, or anchor bolts are sound, properly positioned, and functioning. | Anchor nuts loose or missing (bolts remain intact).Anchor bolts loose, bent or at expansion limits.Plates slightly misaligned.Plates significantly misaligned.Restraint system is functioning.Welds broken. functioning. | | Anchorage or restraint failure has severely impacted bearing function or capacity. Plates severely misaligned. | | |
| Loss of Bearing Area (2240) | None. | Less than 10% | 10% to 25% | More than 25% | | |

#310: Elastomeric Expansion Bearing (Each) Condition Rating Examples (Elastomeric Expansion Bearings)



Condition State 2 Elastomeric pads tipped in opposite directions.



Condition State 2 Pad rolled up slightly on the bottom edge and moved from beneath sole plate (less than ½").



Condition State 3 Pad has moved out from beneath the sole plate (more than $\frac{1}{2}$ " but less than 2").



Condition State 4 Pad has moved from beneath the curved pintle plate (nearly fallen off).



Condition State 3 Pad covering torn, internal plates rusting.



Condition State 4 Pad has moved from beneath the curved pintle plate (nearly fallen off).

3.10.3 Movable or Expansion Bearing (Element #311)

#311: Movable or Expansion Bearing (Each)

This element applies to mechanical expansion bearings of any type – such as sliding plate bearings, roller bearings, or rocker bearings. Expansion bearings allow for longitudinal movement of the superstructure due to thermal expansion and contraction. Most expansion bearings allow rotation of the superstructure due to live load deflection – some may be designed to restrict lateral movement or uplift forces.



| #311: Movable or Expansion Bearing (Each) | | | | | |
|---|---|---|--|---|--|
| | Structural Element Condition States | | | | |
| Item or Defect | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | |
| | Good | Fair | Poor | Severe | |
| Movement & Structural Review | No restriction of movement – bearing is functioning as intended. | Minor restriction (cleaning and/or lubrication recommended). | Restricted but not warranting structural review (no immediate structural concern). Cleaning and/or lubricating are required. Resetting or repairs recommended. | Severe restriction – structural review is warranted. <u>Or</u> resetting, repairs, or bearing replacement are required. | |
| Primary Bearing Components | Primary bearing components are intact and properly positioned. | Primary bearing components are moderately worn or slightly misaligned. | Primary bearing components are significantly worn, damaged, or misaligned. | Primary bearing components are severely misaligned, jammed or detached. | |
| Corrosion (1000) | None. | Freckled rust (corrosion has initiated). | Section loss or pack rust is present. | Severe section loss impacts bearing function or capacity. | |
| Connections (1020) | In place and functioning as intended. | Loose fasteners, but connection still functioning. | Missing fasteners (bolts, rivets, etc.) or broken welds. | Connection failure impacts bearing function or capacity. | |
| Alignment (2220) | Alignment is appropriate for the current temperature. | Alignment is tolerable but is inconsistent for the current temperature | Alignment is near the design limits for expansion or contraction. | Alignment is beyond the design limits for expansion or contraction. | |
| Lateral Guide System, Uplift Restraints, or Anchor Bolts | Guides, restraints, or anchor bolts (if present) are sound, properly positioned, and functioning properly. | Guides, straints, or hor bolts (if esent) are nd, properly tioned, and inctioning properly. Guide or restraint system has minor deterioration but is functioning properly. Anchor bolt nuts loose or missing (bolts remain intact). Guide or restraint system has minor deterioration but is functioning properly. Anchor bolts loose, bent or at expansion limits. Lateral guide system moderately worn or misaligned. Uplift restraint has moderate deterioration but is functioning properly. | | Failure of anchor bolts or lateral guide system has severely impacted bearing function or capacity. Uplift restraint system has failed. | |
| Loss of Bearing Area (2240) | None. | Less than 10%. | 10% to 25%. | More than 25%. | |

Note: Bearings that are restrained from rotating due to bumpers or other methods are not a defect. The restraint system should be looked at to ensure that it is functioning as intended.

#311: Expansion Bearing (Each) Condition Rating Examples (Expansion Bearings)



Condition State 2 Debris and surface corrosion on sliding plate bearing.



Condition State 3 Sliding plate bearing near expansion limits (slide plate extends well beyond the masonry plate).



Condition State 4 Severe misalignment of rocker nest bearing due to substructure movement.



Condition State 2 Surface corrosion on a rocker expansion bearing.



Condition State 3 Flaking rust and debris below rocker bearing (restriction of movement).



Condition State 4 Rocker bearing locked and sliding on the masonry plate.

3.10.4 Fixed Bearing (Element #313)

#313: Fixed Bearing (Each)

This element applies to bearings that are fixed against longitudinal movement of the superstructure. Fixed bearings may incorporate a pin, curved steel plate, or thin elastomeric pad to allow rotational movement (from live load deflection of the superstructure). Fixed bearings are typically designed to resist transverse movement and may be designed to resist uplift forces.

The bearing nuts are designed to be approximately ¼" to ½" above the masonry or sole plate, depending on design, to allow for some rotational movement. Any larger gaps should be investigated.

| | Structural Element Condition States | | | | | |
|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Item or Defect | 1 | 2 | 3 4 | | | |
| | Good | Fair | Poor | Severe | | |
| Structural Review & Rotational Movement (If Allowed by Design) | Bearing is functioning as intended. | Minor rotational restriction (cleaning and/or lubrication recommended). | Rotational restriction not warranting structural review. Cleaning or lubricating required. | Severe rotational restriction. Structural review, repair, or replacement is required. | | |
| Primary Bearing Components | All components are intact and properly positioned. | Primary bearing components have moderate deterioration or slight misalignment. | Primary bearing components have significant deterioration or misalignment. | Primary bearing components have severe deterioration or misalignment (or have failed). | | |
| Elastomeric Pads or Lead Leveling Sheets | In place and functioning as intended. | Misaligned or extruded along the bearing plate. | Severely misaligned, deformed or extruded. | NA | | |
| Corrosion (1000) | None. | Freckled rust (corrosion has initiated). | Section loss or pack rust is present. | Section loss severely impacts bearing function or capacity. | | |
| Connections (1020) | In place and functioning as intended. | Loose fasteners, but connection still functioning as intended. | Missing fasteners (bolts, rivets, etc.) or broken welds. | Connection failure severely impacts bearing function or capacity. | | |
| Anchor Bolts, Anchor Rods and Uplift Restraints Loss of Bearing Area (2240) | Anchor bolts and uplift restraints (if present) are properly installed. None | Anchor bolts slightly misaligned. Anchor rod projects ½" to 1" above bearing or bearing nut. Less than 10% | Anchor bolts loose or bent. Anchor rod projects 1" to 3" above bearing or bearing nut. Uplift restraint is still functioning. 10% to 25% | Failure of anchor bolt (or uplift restraint). Anchor rod projects more than 3" above bearing or bearing nut. More than 25% | | |
| Note: Bearings th | at are restrained | l from uplift or other pr | eviously known issues are | not a defect. The | | |

#313: Fixed Bearing (Each) Condition Rating Examples (Fixed Bearings)



Condition State 2 Surface corrosion on a fixed pin bearing.



Condition State 2 Elastomeric pad is extruding and anchor bolt projects 4" above bearing.



Condition State 3 Improperly installed anchor bolt extends 8" above bearing.



Condition State 4 Masonry plate cracked (and supporting pier fractured) on a fixed pin bearing.



Condition State 3 Flaking rust (section loss) and debris on two fixed pin bearings.



Condition State 4 Anchor bolt failure on a fixed pin bearing (masonry plate has slid back to the parapet).

3.10.5 Pot and Disk Bearings (Elements #314 and #315)

#314: Pot Bearing (Each)

#315: Disk Bearing (Each)

Pot and Disk bearings allow for multi-dimensional rotational movement. These are specialized bearings used for high loads (long spans, steel pier caps, or railroad bridges). It is difficult to distinguish pot bearings from disc bearings without referencing plans or shop drawings.

- Pot bearings consist of a shallow steel piston resting within a steel cylinder, which contains a confined elastomer. Typically, only the perimeter edge of the elastomer is visible for inspection. Pot bearings are not recommended for use on railroad bridges.
- Disk bearings consist of a shallow steel piston resting within a steel cylinder, which contains a semi-spherical disc (hard plastic or steel). The "disc" is enclosed within the assembly and is typically not visible for inspection.



| #314 | 14: Pot Bearing (Each) #315: Disk Bearing (Each) | | | | |
|---|--|---|--|--|--|
| | | Structural I | Element Condition States | S | |
| Item or Defect | 1 | 2 Fair | 3 Poor | 4 Sovere | |
| Primary Bearing Components | Primary components are intact and properly positioned. | Primary bearing components are slightly worn or misaligned. | Primary bearing components are significantly worn or misaligned. | Primary bearing components are severely deteriorated, misaligned, jammed or detached. | |
| Corrosion (1000) | None. | Freckled rust (corrosion has initiated). | Section loss or pack rust is present. | Section loss severely impacts bearing function or capacity. | |
| Connections (1020) | In place and functioning as intended. | Loose fasteners, but connection still functioning as intended. | Missing fasteners (bolts, rivets, etc.) or broken welds. | Connection failure severely impacts bearing function or capacity. | |
| Lateral Guide System, Uplift Restraints, or Anchor Bolts | Guides, restraints, or anchor bolts (if present) are sound, and functioning properly. | Anchor bolt nuts loose or missing (bolts remain intact). Guide or restraint system has minor deterioration but is still functioning properly. | Anchor bolts loose or bent. Lateral guide system moderately worn or misaligned. Uplift restraint system has moderate deterioration but is still functioning. | Failure of anchor bolts, lateral guide system, or uplift restraint system has severely impacted bearing function or capacity. | |
| Movement and Structural Review (2210) | No restriction of movement – bearing is functioning as intended. | Minor restriction (cleaning and/or lubrication recommended). | Restricted but not warranting structural review (no immediate structural concern). Cleaning and/or lubricating required. Resetting or repairs recommended. | Severe restriction – structural review is warranted. <u>or</u> resetting, repairs, or bearing replacement are required. | |
| Alignment (2220) | Alignment is appropriate for the current temperature | Alignment is tolerable but is inconsistent for the current temperature. | Alignment is near the design limits for expansion or contraction. | Alignment is beyond the design limits for expansion or contraction. | |
| Bulging, Splitting or Tearing (2230) | None. | Bulging less than 15% of pad thickness. Minor rolling along pad edges. | Bulging more than 15% of pad thickness. Splitting or tearing (internal plates exposed). Significant rolling along pad edges. Pad surfaces are not parallel. | Splitting, bulging, de- bonding, or pad damage that severely impacts bearing function or capacity | |
| Loss of Bearing Area (2240) | None. | Less than 10% | 10% to 25% | More than 25% | |



Teflon shavings due to wear on the sliding surface of a free expansion pot bearing.

3.11 PIN AND HANGER ASSEMBLY/PINNED CONNECTION (ELEMENT #161)

This element applies to pin and hanger assemblies and fixed pin assemblies. This element should also be used for pin-connected trusses, arches, columns, or any pinned connection on a primary bridge structural element that is not rated under a bearing element.



Pin and Hanger Assembly on a Riveted Steel Girder Bridge



Fixed Pin Assembly on a Riveted Steel Girder Bridge



Ultrasonic Examination of a Pinned Truss Connection

On continuous steel bridges with cantilever or suspended spans (where the end of one span is supported by an adjacent span), the connection detail may consist of a pinned assembly. Pin and hanger (or fixed pin) assemblies are relatively rare in South Dakota. They are mostly present on steel multiple girder/beam bridges constructed from 1935 to 1975. A pin and hanger assembly typically consists of two vertical hanger plates with pinned connections at the top and bottom. This allows both rotation and longitudinal movement of the superstructure. Pin and hanger assemblies may incorporate a guide/restraint system to prevent lateral movement. A fixed pin assembly has only one pin. This allows rotation but restricts longitudinal movement of the superstructure.

Pinned assemblies on bridges that carry highway traffic require periodic ultrasonic examination. Pinned assemblies should be examined for deterioration, function, alignment, as well as the soundness of the adjacent superstructure support. All of these factors should be taken into consideration when rating a pinned assembly. All components of a pinned assembly (pins, plates, pin caps, nuts, washers, spacers, etc.) should be examined for wear, corrosion, defects, cracks, bending, loosening or misalignment. Note: Severe pack rust can deform hanger plates or result in failure of pinned connections.

Periodic measurements should be taken to verify the proper function of pin and hanger assemblies (be sure to record the temperature at the time of inspection). A frozen pin will transfer additional bending stresses to the hanger plates, any significant restriction of a pin and hanger assembly should be identified and analyzed immediately. Note: While the presence of fretting rust (a red-colored dust resulting from the wearing of steel surfaces) indicates that recent movement has occurred, it may also indicate inadequate lubrication.

#161: Pin and Hanger Assembly or Pinned Connection (Each)

This element applies to steel pin and hanger assemblies or fixed pin connections. This element should also be used for pin-connected trusses, arches, columns, or any pinned connection on a primary bridge structural element that is not rated under a bearing element.

- A pin and hanger assembly can be grouped as "1" when determining the element quantity.
- As this is an NBE steel element, the coating system must be rated as a separate protective system/sub-element using Element #515, #815, #816, #817, or #818 (Steel Protective Coating).

| | Condition States | | | | |
|---|---|---|--|--|--|
| Item or Defect | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | |
| | Good | Fair | Poor | Severe | |
| Movement and Structural Review | No restriction. Pinned connection is functioning as intended. | Minor restriction (cleaning and/or lubrication recommended). | Restricted but not warranting structural review. Cleaning or lubricating required. Repairs recommended. | Severe restriction – structural review is warranted. Repair or replacement is required. | |
| Longitudinal Alignment (Pin & Hangers) | Alignment is appropriate for the current temperature. | Alignment is tolerable but is inconsistent for the current temperature. | Expansion or contraction is near the design limits. | Expansion or contraction is beyond the design limits. | |
| Pinned Connection or Pinned Assembly Components | All components are intact and properly positioned. | Plates or pins have minor wear. Cotter pins missing. | Plates or pins are significantly worn. Cap nuts are loose. | Connection has failed (or failure is eminent). Pins or plates have severe wear. Cap nuts missing. | |
| Corrosion (1000) | None. | Surface corrosion (freckled rust). | Section loss, flaking rust, or pack rust is present. | Section loss exceeds 10% of cross section. | |
| Connection (1020) | Connection is in place and functioning as intended | Loose fasteners or minor surface rust is present, but the connection is in place and functioning as intended. | Missing bolts, rivets, or fasteners; broken welds; or pack rust with distortion but does not warrant a structural review. | The condition warrants a structural review. Repair or replacement is required. | |
| Misalignment | None. | Slightly out of position or alignment. | Significantly out of proper position or alignment. | Severely out of proper position or alignment. | |
| Distortion (1900) | None. | Mitigated distortion. | Significant distortion. | Severe distortion | |



hanger connection.

Severe pack rust and section loss on a pinned truss connection.

3.12 STEEL CABLES (ELEMENTS #147 AND #148)

| This cloment applies | n only to | the prim | #147: Steel Main C | Cable (LF) | or ool | ale staved bridges. The |
|--|-----------------|-----------|-------------------------|---------------------|----------------|------------------------------------|
| quantity is the total l | enath of | all main | cables on the bridge. | measured along | the ler | oth of each main cable |
| from anchorage to anchorage. Anchorages should be considered in the condition rating. | | | | | | |
| Steel main cables are typically galvanized, and often have an additional protective wrapping | | | | | | |
| and/or coatir | ng. The | steel pro | tective coating should | d be rated as a pro | otectiv | e system/sub-element |
| | nt #515, | #815, #8 | 316, #817, or #818. | | | |
| ZINC ZER | ð Æ | A cross | s-section of a main su | spension cable | | |
| FILLER | ND | IS | snown at the lett. Ea | Ch Cable Is | An | |
| | | bridae | e strands (3-3/8" or 2- | ·5/8" diameter) | | |
| QUIQ | GALV. | are con | nprised of helically wo | ound galvanized | | |
| | SHIM | wire | s. Except inside the | underground | 1000 | - DAI |
| POLY STYRENE 3=" | T BEIDGE | chami | pers (where the stran | ds splay out to | | |
| FILLERS STRA | ND | elastom | heric wrapping is visib | ble for inspection | and a | A RIVER |
| ELASTOMERIC | | | (photo on right | t). | 11 | |
| WICHFFING | | | Structural El | ement Condition | State | S |
| Item or Defect | | 1 | 2 | 3 | | 4 |
| | | bod | Fair | Poor | | Severe |
| | Structural revi | | | Structural revie | W IS | Condition warrants |
| | | | | structural revie | w of | structural review <u>or</u> |
| Structural | | | ew is not required | existing defects | has | determined that the |
| Review | | | ew io not required. | determined th | nat | defects impact |
| | | | | serviceability ba | s not | strength or |
| | | | | been impacte | ed. | serviceability. |
| Corrector | | | | Section loss or | pack | Section loss exceeds |
| Corrosion No | | one | Surface corrosion. | rust. | | 5% of the cross- |
| | | | | Active wear | or | |
| | | | Minor wear or | abrasion at cor | ntact | |
| | | | abrasion that has | points. | | Severe wear or |
| Frayed, worn, or Damaged Cables | No | one | been mitigated. | severing of indiv | g or vidual | Abrasion. Multiple wires fraved |
| Dunlaged Gubies | | | Minor strand or | wires. | ladai | severed or loose. |
| | | | wire separation. | Significant strar | nd or | |
| | Band | lina is | | wire separation | on. | |
| Cable Banding | int | act. | Banding is loose. | Banding has fa | iled. | NA |
| Vibration | Little | or no | Slight (or | Modorato vibro | tion | |
| VIDIATION | vibra | ation. | vibration. | | uon. | |
| | | | | Significant | | |
| | Мі | nor | Moderate | deterioration | 1. | Severe deterioration |
| Cable Anchorage | deterio | oration. | deterioration. | Evidence of sli | ght a or | or anchorage failure. |
| | | | | slippage. | y u | _ |
| | | | | 11 5 | | |

#148: Secondary Steel Cable (Each)

This element applies to steel cables that transfer loads from the bridge superstructure to the main cable (or arch). Examples include vertical hanger cables on suspension or tied arch bridges. The quantity may be the total number of secondary cables or the number of secondary cable "groups" (groups of cables at one location). The cable anchorages should be included in the condition rating. Secondary cables are typically steel structural strands or wire ropes comprised of galvanized wires.

• The steel protective coating should be rated as a sub-element using Element #515.

| ltom or | Structural Element Condition States | | | | | | |
|--|-------------------------------------|--|---|---|--|--|--|
| Defect | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | | | |
| Delect | Good | Fair | Poor | Severe | | | |
| Structural Review | Structural review is not required. | | Structural review is not required <u>or</u> structural review of existing defects has determined that strength or serviceability has not been impacted. | Condition warrants structural review <u>or</u> structural review has determined that the defects impact strength or serviceability. | | | |
| Corrosion | None | Surface corrosion. | Section loss or pack rust. | Section loss exceeds 5% of the cross- section. | | | |
| Frayed, Worn, or Broken Strands | None | Minor wear or abrasion that has been mitigated. Minor strand or wire separation. | Active abrasion or wear at contact points. Isolated fraying of individual wires. Significant strand or wire separation. | Severe abrasion or wear. Multiple wires frayed, severed or loose. | | | |
| Cable Banding | Banding is intact. | Banding is loose. | Banding has failed. | NA | | | |
| Vibration | Little or no vibration. | Slight (or mitigated) vibration. | Moderate vibration. | Significant vibration. | | | |
| Cable Anchorage | Minor deterioration | Moderate deterioration (no evidence of distress). | Significant deterioration. There may be evidence of loosening or slight slippage. | Severe deterioration or anchorage failure. There may be significant slippage. | | | |

#148: Secondary Steel Cable (Each) Condition Rating Examples (Secondary Steel Cables)



Condition State 2 Minor wear on steel strand hanger cable.



Condition State 3 Active abrasion at contact point (steel wire rope hanger cable wearing against a steel batten plate).



Condition State 3 Corrosion on steel strand hanger cable just above the anchorage socket.



Condition State 4 Cable failure due to fractured anchorage plate.

4. CULVERT ELEMENTS

4.1 INSPECTION PROCEDURES FOR CULVERTS

The FHWA requires inspection of any structure with a total length of 20 feet or greater as measured along center line of the road, regardless of the depth below grade. The measurement should be made between the inside faces of the exterior walls.



Multiple pipes may be considered a bridge if the distance between the pipes is less than half the smallest opening and the structure length is greater than or equal to 20 feet. In the illustration below, distance D and E must be less than half the distance C and distance F must be greater or equal than 20 feet for the pipes to be a bridge.



While culverts are typically designed to allow drainage below a roadway embankment, they may also serve as underpasses for vehicles, pedestrians, or livestock. Culverts are designed to support the dead load of the embankment material as well as live loads from traffic. If the embankment fill is more than 3 ft. deep, the fill is likely the primary load.

Culverts are constructed of a variety of materials, including concrete (cast-in-place or precast), corrugated steel plate, stone masonry, timber, or aluminum. The size and shape of a culvert is usually determined by the hydraulic requirements (the opening must be large enough to carry the design discharge). Culvert shapes include arch culverts, box culverts, round pipe culverts, pipe-arch culverts, or elliptical culverts. A culvert may consist of a single barrel or multiple barrels.

Culverts can be structurally classified as either "flexible" or "rigid". Steel culverts are typically considered to be flexible – a flexible culvert derives a significant amount of structural strength from the surrounding soil (the lateral soil pressure helps to resist vertical loads). Concrete culverts are typically considered to be rigid – a rigid culvert provides its own structural strength and does not necessarily require embankment fill.

A complete culvert inspection should include examining the culvert barrels, end treatments, waterway, embankment slopes, and the roadway. Ideally, a walk-through inspection of the entire culvert barrel should be conducted during low water conditions (high water or ice can prevent inspection of critical areas). If an adequate walk-through inspection cannot be performed, it should be noted in the inspection report, and a complete inspection should be performed when conditions allow. If necessary, an underwater inspection may need to be performed.

During culvert inspection, two main items need to be determined - the hydraulic performance and the structural condition.

Hydraulic Performance: Poor hydraulic performance can result in excessive ponding, flooding of adjacent properties, or washouts of the embankment and roadway. The inspector should note any conditions that might reduce the hydraulic performance of the culvert. A reduction of the hydraulic performance that is not related to the structural condition of the culvert (such as sediment) would only impact the NBI channel rating.

- Poor horizontal or vertical channel alignment can reduce hydraulic efficiency, increase sedimentation, or accelerate embankment erosion. Culverts on flat grades may have excessive sediment, culverts on steep grades may have outlet scour.
- Accumulation of debris at the inlet (or excessive sedimentation within the barrel) can reduce the culvert's hydraulic capacity, accelerate embankment erosion, or alter the channel alignment. While some sedimentation is inevitable, any excessive sedimentation should be noted.
- Changes in land use such as wetland drainage, deforestation, or increased development can significantly increase the runoff (and resultant discharge) that a culvert must carry. Channel changes upstream (or immediately downstream) of the culvert can result in overtopping of the roadway. The inspector should note the high-water elevation (or freeboard), as well as any evidence of overtopping.
- All culverts in South Dakota should have the water level measured at every routine bridge inspection and recorded in the bridge inspection report from an established reference point. An example reference point could be the distance from the top of the parapet at a specific corner to the top of the water.

Structural Condition: Although culverts generally deteriorate at a slower rate than bridges, poor structural condition can eventually result in load restrictions or failure. The inspector should note any evidence of structural deterioration or distress. This includes material deterioration, barrel shape, and joint misalignment/separation. Photographs are useful for comparison to previous (or future) inspections.

All culverts in South Dakota should have the water level measured at every routine bridge inspection and recorded in the bridge inspection report from an established reference point. An example reference point could be the distance from the top of the parapet at a specific corner to the top of the water. **Material Deterioration:** The inspector should inspect all visible surfaces of the culvert and note both the extent and severity of any significant material deterioration.

- Concrete culverts should be examined for scaling, cracking, leaching, rust stains, delaminations, or spalls. Severe cracking may indicate uneven settlement or structural overloading (from traffic or excessive earth pressure). Any significant spalling (with exposed reinforcing steel) should be documented. Connection bolts on pre-cast concrete culverts should be examined for corrosion.
- Steel culverts should be examined for corrosion (particularly along the waterline). Bolted seams should be examined for cusping, loose, or missing bolts, and cracking around bolt holes.
- Timber culverts should be examined for weathering, splitting, warping, decay, fire damage, insect damage, or loose connections. Defects or connections can provide openings for moisture (and eventually decay) any evidence of decay (such as fruiting bodies, staining, or surface depressions) should be noted.
- Masonry culverts should be examined for weathering, scaling, cracks, spalls, crushing, or misalignment of the masonry blocks. The mortar joints should be examined for any deterioration.
- Aluminum culverts are relatively resistant to corrosion but will corrode rapidly in highly alkaline environments.

Barrel Shape: As flexible culverts (steel, aluminum, or timber) rely upon the surrounding soil to provide lateral support, embankment stability is essential. Deflection or distortion of the barrel may indicate instability of the supporting soil and may reduce the load-carrying capacity of the culvert. Significant changes in the barrel shape should be noted (and verified with field measurements).

- Deflection is caused by long-term settlement over the length of the culvert (from embankment pressure). As the center of the embankment will settle more than the side slopes, culverts often end up with a low spot below the center of the roadway (steel culverts are often designed with a camber to compensate for this).
- Distortion is any deviation from the design cross-section of the culvert barrel, which should be symmetrical, with even curvature. Barrel distortion may be caused by uneven settlement, overloading, or from damage during the initial backfilling. Distortion is more common on culverts with less than 3 ft. of embankment fill.

Joint Misalignment and Separation: Joint misalignment or separation may be caused by improper installation, undermining, uneven settlement, or embankment failure. Leaking joints (exfiltration or infiltration) can eventually result in severe undermining or even culvert failure.

- **Exfiltration** is water leaking out of the culvert barrel this can lead to "piping" (water flowing along the outside of the culvert barrel), which can eventually erode the supporting soil. The inspector should look for separated or mis-aligned joints and observe the culvert ends for evidence of piping.
- **Infiltration** is water leaking into the culvert this can also erode the supporting soil. Infiltration can be difficult to detect, as the backfill deposits are often washed away. The inspector should look for staining at the joints on the sides and top of the culvert, or depressions above the culvert.
- Probing of the joint separation or misalignment should be considered to determine the size and depth of the void.

Like bridges, culverts must be rated using both the NBI and structural element condition ratings.
4.2NBI CONDITION AND APPRAISAL RATINGS

The overall structural condition of a culvert will be rated using the Culvert Rating (NBI Item 62). The deck, superstructure, and substructure condition ratings (NBI Items 58, 59, and 60) should all be listed as "N".

If the culvert is designed to carry water (even if the culvert barrel is normally dry) the channel should be rated using Channel and Channel Protection Condition Rating (NBI Item 61). This rating should reflect the channel alignment, as well as the presence of any sedimentation or debris. If NBI Item 61 is rated, the Waterway Adequacy Appraisal Rating (NBI Item 71) must also be rated. This item is rated primarily on the frequency of overtopping of the roadway during high water events.

Structural Element Condition Ratings: SDDOT uses the following elements specifically for culvert structures.

- #240 Steel Culvert (LF)
- #241 Concrete Culvert (LF)
- #242 Timber Culvert (LF)
- #243 Other Material Culvert (LF) Use for Aluminum or Plastic Culverts
- #244 Masonry Culvert (LF)
- #245 Prestressed Concrete Culvert (LF)
- #841 Precast Concrete Culvert (LF)
- #842 Culvert Wingwall (Each)
- #843 Culvert Apron (Each)
- #871 Roadway Over Culvert (Each)

The condition of the culvert barrel must be rated using one of the above elements (depending upon the material type). The quantity is expressed in linear feet, as measured along the length of the barrel (multiplied by the number of barrels). If the condition varies along the length of the culvert barrel, more than one condition state may be used.

- If an arch culvert has concrete footings that are visible for inspection, they may be rated separately from the arch barrel using Element #220 (Reinforced Concrete Footing).
- SDDOT added Elements #842 and #843 to rate the condition of the culvert wingwalls and aprons. The inspector should note any corrosion, section loss, cracking, rotation, spalling, delaminations, or other types of general deterioration and chose the worst condition state following the DOT's defect priority rankings and the material type condition states.
 - Multiple pipe that are structure length, should only use Element #843, as the end treatment is typically one precast or cast-in-place unit. Each apron should be treated as a quantity of "1" for each end of the pipe. The below example would have a total quantity of six for the structure.



SDDOT added Element #871 to rate the condition of the roadway above the culvert. The
inspector should note any settlement or cracking of the roadway, as this may indicate culvert
distortion (or voiding of backfill). On flexible (steel) culverts; look for settlement above the
center line of the culvert. On rigid (concrete) culverts, look for settlement along the edges of the
culvert.

4.2.1 Steel Culvert (Element #240)

#240: Steel Culvert (LF)

This element applies to steel culverts of any type or shape. Typically, there are four types of steel culverts – pipe-arch, round pipe, arch, or long span/elliptical. The LF quantity is measured along the length of the culvert barrel.



| | #240: Steel Culvert (LF) | | | | |
|---|---|--|---|--|--|
| As with all other steel elements, the protective coating (typically galvanized or bituminous) should be rated | | | | | |
| u | | Structural E | lement Condition States | Coauny. | |
| Item or Defect | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | |
| | Good | Fair | Poor | Severe | |
| Structural Review or Repairs | No structural repairs are present. | Structural review or repairs are not required. Existing structural repairs are in sound condition. | Repairs may be recommended (structural review is not required) <u>Or</u> structural review has determined that strength or serviceability has not been impacted. | Condition warrants structural review <u>or</u> structural review has determined that the defects impact strength or serviceability. | |
| Corrosion (1000) | None. | Surface corrosion. | Flaking rust or section loss. | Severe section loss (holes or significant loss of thickness). | |
| Cracking (1010) | None. | Crack has been arrested or reinforced. | Crack has not been arrested, reinforced, or mitigated. | Severe crack or fracture. | |
| Connection - Bolted Seams (1020) | Bolted seams are tight and functioning as intended. | Bolted seams have minor openings or distress. | Bolted seams or joints have significant distress (cusped or cocked) or significant openings. Bolts may be tipped, loose, or missing. | Bolted seams have failed or buckled. | |
| Joint Separation or Backfill Infiltration | None. | Minor joint separation backfill infiltration. | Moderate joint separation or backfill infiltration. | Severe joint separation or backfill infiltration. | |
| Barrel Distortion (1900) | None. | Slight distortion (less than 5% change from design dimensions). Barrel shape remains mostly symmetrical. | Significant distortion (5% to 10% change from design dimensions). Barrel may have notable asymmetry. | Severe distortion – more than 10% change from design dimensions. Severe buckling of corrugations. | |
| Settlement - Longitudinal Deflection (4000) | None or within design limits. | Slight longitudinal deflection (within tolerable limits). No structural distress. | Significant longitudinal deflection (exceeds tolerable limits). | Severe deflection – stability or function of culvert has been reduced. | |
| Scour (6000) | None | Within tolerable limits (or countermeasures installed). | Exceeds tolerable limits but less than critical scour limits. | Exceeds the critical scour limits. | |





4.2.2 Concrete Culvert (Elements #241, #245, and #841)

#241: Reinforced Concrete Culvert (LF) #245: Prestressed Concrete Culvert (LF)

#841: Precast Concrete Culvert (LF)

This element applies to concrete culverts of any type or shape. There are five common concrete culvert shapes (box, pipe-arch, round pipe, arch, or rigid frame/3-sided), and two common construction methods, precast and cast-in-place concrete culverts. The LF quantity is measured along the length of the culvert barrel.



| #241: Reinforced Concrete Culvert (LF) #245: Prestressed Concrete Culvert (LF) | #841: Precast Concrete Culvert (LF) |
|---|---|
| G 30 | Precast Concrete Round Pipe (RCP) Culverts Precast concrete round pipe (RCP) culverts came into use in the 1920's – these are the oldest precast concrete structures in South Dakota. While RCP culverts are still commonly used in South Dakota, most of them are too small to meet the legal bridge definition. The standard pipe diameters range from 2 ft. to 11 ft. (the segments are typically 6 ft. long). |
| | Precast Concrete Arch Culverts Precast concrete arch culverts were introduced in the 1980s and 1990s. The footings are typically cast-in- place, while the headwalls and wingwalls are typically precast. There are common spans ranging from 24 ft. to 44 ft. (the precast sections are 6-8 ft. wide). A variety of shapes and larger span lengths are also available from several manufacturers. Cast-in-Place Concrete Arch Culverts |
| | Cast-in-place concrete arch culverts require extensive formwork and are generally no longer being constructed in South Dakota. Typical spans range from 10 ft. to 30 ft. |
| <image/> | Concrete Rigid Frame (3-Sided) Culverts 3-sided culverts are supported by footings (and/or pilings) and have a natural streambed. They may be pre-cast or cast-in-place. They may have a flat or arched top. These are a relatively new structure type. Flat-top shapes are generally limited to spans up to 30 ft. Arch top designs are available in spans up to 48 ft. |

| #241: Reinforced Concrete Culvert (LF) #841: Precast Concrete Culvert (LF) #245: Prestressed Concrete Culvert (LF) | | | | |
|---|---|--|--|--|
| | Structural Element Condition States | | | |
| Item or Defect | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| | Good | Fair | Poor | Severe |
| Structural Review or Repairs | No structural repairs are present. | Structural review or repairs are not required. Existing structural repairs are in sound condition. | Repairs may be recommended (structural review is not required) <u>or</u> structural review has determined that strength or serviceability has not been impacted. | Condition warrants structural review <u>or</u> structural review has determined that the defects impact strength or serviceability. |
| Delamination, Spall, or Patched Area (1080) | None. | Delamination. Spall 1" or less deep <u>and</u> 6" or less in diameter. Existing repair in sound condition. | Spall more than 1" deep <u>or</u> more than 6" diameter. Repairs are recommended <u>or</u> existing repair is unsound or distressed. | Spalling greater than 3" deep. Full-depth failures present or imminent. Immediate repairs are required. |
| Exposed Reinforcement (1090) | None. | Exposed rebar without measurable section loss. | Exposed rebar with measurable section loss. | Exposed rebar has severe section loss. |
| Cracking (PC) and Pattern/Map Cracking (1110) | Insignificant cracks (less than 0.004") or moderate width cracks that have been sealed. | Unsealed moderate (0.004" to 0.009") width cracks or unsealed moderate density pattern/map (spacing of 1-3' on center) cracking. | Wide (0.009" to 0.02") cracks. Heavy density pattern/map (spacing of 1' or less on center) cracking. | Severe (greater than 0.02") cracks or full depth fractures. |
| Efflorescence, Water/Salt Saturation, Rust Staining or Leaching (1120) | None | Light leaching (little or no build-up) or light water saturation. | Heavy leaching (significant build-up or stalactites). Significant water/salt saturation. Rust stains indicating rebar corrosion. | Severe leaching or severe salt/water saturation. Concrete is unsound. |
| Cracking and Pattern/Map Cracking (1130) | Insignificant cracks (less than 0.012") or moderate width cracks that have been sealed. | Unsealed moderate (0.012" to 0.05") width cracks. Unsealed moderate pattern/map (spacing of greater than 1' to 3' on center) with moderate width cracking. | Wide (greater than 0.05" to 0.125") cracks. Heavy pattern/map with unsealed moderate width cracking or greater (spacing of 1' or less on center). | Severe (greater than 0.125") cracks or full depth fractures. Failure may be plausible |
| Scale, Abrasion, or Wear (1190) | Superficial. | Coarse aggregate is exposed (½" deep or less) but remains secure in the concrete matrix. | Coarse aggregate is loose (greater than ½" to 3") or popped out of the concrete matrix. | Severe voiding (greater than 3") or concrete is unsound. |

| #241: Reinforced Concrete Culvert (LF) #841: Precast Concrete Culvert (LF) #245: Prestressed Concrete Culvert (LF) | | | | |
|--|---|---|--|---|
| | | Structural Ele | ement Condition States | |
| Item or Defect | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| | Good | Fair | Poor | Severe |
| Settlement (4000) | None. | Exists within tolerable limits (less than 2" at greatest extent) or has been arrested with no observed structural distress. | Exceeds tolerable limits (2" up to 4"). | Exceeds critical limits (greater than 4"). |
| Scour (6000) | None. | Within tolerable limits or countermeasures installed. | Exceeds tolerable limits but less than critical scour limits. | Exceeds the critical scour limits. |
| Connections | Connections are in-place and functioning as intended. | Connection rods have minor distress. Bolts of connectors misaligned – nuts loose or missing. | Connection rods have significant distress (bolts or connectors have significant section loss). | Connection rods have failed or are missing. |
| Joint Misalignment, Leakage, or Backfill Infiltration | None. | Minor joint separation, leakage, offset, misalignment or backfill infiltration. | Moderate joint separation, leakage, offset, misalignment or backfill infiltration. | Severe joint separation, leakage, offset, misalignment or backfill infiltration. |
| Cracks are typically documented as a linear feet (LF) quantity and are assumed to be 1' wide for ease of calculations and consistency. | | | | |

• As a general rule, pattern or map cracked areas or areas with concentrated cracking should be documented and are rated as a LF area – use engineering judgement.

• Settlement is to be applied for the whole section length from Joint X to Joint Y. Other defects (equal or higher in rating) are to be rated first, and settlement takes over the remainder.



4.2.3 Timber Culvert (Element #242)

#242: Timber Culvert (LF)

This element applies to timber box culverts. The LF quantity is measured along the length of the culvert barrel (and multiplied by the number of barrels).

| | | Structural Elen | ent Condition States | | |
|---|---|---|--|--|--|
| Item or Defect | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | |
| | Good | Fair | Poor | Severe | |
| Structural Review or Repairs | No structural repairs are present. | Structural review or repairs are not required. Existing repairs are in sound condition. | Repairs recommended (structural review not required) <u>or</u> structural review has determined that strength/serviceability hasn't been impacted. | Condition warrants structural review <u>or</u> structural review has determined that the defects impact strength or serviceability. | |
| Connection (1020) | In-place and functioning as intended. | Loose fasteners but functioning as intended. | Missing fasteners; broken welds; or pack rust. | Connection has failed (or failure is eminent). | |
| Decay, Abrasion, or Fire Damage (1140) | Minor deterioration (no section loss). | Less than 10% section loss. No crushing or sagging. | 10% to 40% section loss. Some crushing or sagging. | More than 40% section loss. Severe crushing or sagging. | |
| Shakes, Checks, or Splits (1160 or 1170) | Less than 5% of the member thickness. | 5% to 50% of the member thickness (not in a tension zone). | More than 50% of member thickness (or more than 5% in a tension zone). | Through entire member (or more than 25% in a tension zone). | |
| Joint Misalignment, Leakage, or Backfill Infiltration | None. | Minor joint separation, leakage, offset, misalignment or backfill infiltration. | Moderate joint separation, leakage, offset, misalignment or backfill infiltration. | Severe joint separation, leakage, offset, misalignment or backfill infiltration. | |
| Settlement or Longitudinal Deflection (4000) | None | Slight deflection (within tolerable limits). No structural distress. | Significant longitudinal deflection (exceeds tolerable limits). | Severe deflection. Stability or function of culvert has been reduced. | |
| Scour (6000) | None | Within tolerable limits or countermeasures installed. | Exceeds tolerable limits but less than critical scour limits. | Exceeds the critical scour limits. | |
| Shake: A separation along the grain (between the growth rings). Usually forms within a standing tree or during felling. Check: A separation perpendicular to the grain (across the growth rings). Usually results from stress due to drying shrinkage. Split (or Thru Check): A check extending further through the timber member due to tearing apart of wood cells. | | | Knot Surface check End check | Split (thru check) Shake Check (heart) | |

#242: Timber Culvert (LF) Condition Rating Examples (Timber Culverts)



Condition State 2 Separation between timber members on a timber box culvert (no backfill infiltration).



Condition State 2 Evidence of decay along the water line of a timber box culvert.



Condition State 3 Wall section misaligned on a timber box culvert.



Condition State 4 Separation (connection failure) between wall and ceiling on a timber box culvert.

4.2.4 Other Material Culvert (Element #243)

#243: Other Material Culvert (LF)

This element applies to culverts constructed of materials other than steel, concrete, timber, or masonry. Examples include aluminum box culverts or plastic culverts. The LF quantity is measured along the length of the culvert barrel.

| | Structural Element Condition States | | | | |
|---|--|--|---|--|--|
| Item or Defect | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | |
| | Good | Fair | Poor | Severe | |
| Structural Review | Structural review is not required. | Structural review is not required. | Structural review is not required <u>or</u> Structural review has determined that strength or serviceability has not been impacted. | Condition warrants structural review <u>or</u> Structural review has determined that the defects impact strength or serviceability. | |
| Repairs (1080) | No repairs are present. | Existing repair in sound condition. | Repairs are recommended <u>or</u> existing repair is unsound. | Immediate repairs are required. | |
| Other Deterioration | None | Initiated breakdown or deterioration. | Significant deterioration. | Severe or critical deterioration. | |
| Corrosion (1000) | None | Surface corrosion. | Section loss. | Severe section loss (holes). | |
| Cracking (1010 or 1130) | None | Crack has been arrested or mitigated. | Crack has not been arrested or mitigated. | Crack has reduced the strength or stability. | |
| Connection – Bolted Seams (1020) | Connections functioning as intended. | Minor seam distress – some bolts may be loose. | Significant seam distress – bolts may be missing. | Seams have failed. | |
| Barrel Distortion (1900) | None | Slight distortion (less than 5% change from design dimensions). | Significant distortion (5% to 15% change from design dimensions). | Severe distortion – more than 15% change from design dimensions. | |
| Settlement – Longitudinal Deflection (4000) | None | Slight deflection. Within tolerable limits or arrested (no distress). | Significant deflection. Exceeds tolerable limits. | Severe deflection. Stability or function has been reduced. | |
| Joint Misalignment, Leakage, or Backfill Infiltration | None. | Minor joint separation, leakage, offset, misalignment or backfill infiltration. | Moderate joint separation, leakage, offset, misalignment or backfill infiltration. | Severe joint separation, leakage, offset, misalignment or backfill infiltration. | |
| Scour (6000) | None | Within tolerable limits (or countermeasures installed). | Exceeds tolerable limits but less than critical scour limits. | Exceeds critical scour limits. | |



Failed seam on an aluminum box culvert.

Torn edge on an aluminum box culvert.

4.2.5 Masonry Culvert (Element #244)

| | #244: Masonry Culvert (LF) | | | | |
|---|---|--|---|---|--|
| This element applies to arch culverts with arch barrels comprised primarily of masonry. Spans typically | | | | | |
| range from 10 IL to 22 IL. The LF quantity is measured along the length of the culvert barrel. | | | | | |
| Item or Defect | 1 | | | A | |
| | Good | Fair | Poor | Severe | |
| Structural Review | Structural review is not required. | Structural review is not required. | Structural review is not required <u>or</u> structural review has determined that strength or serviceability has not been impacted. | Condition warrants structural review <u>or</u> structural review has determined that the defects impact strength or serviceability. | |
| Repairs (1630) | No repairs are present. | Existing repair in sound condition. | Repairs are recommended <u>or</u> existing repair is unsound. | Immediate repairs are required. | |
| Mortar Breakdown (1610) | None | Cracking or voids in less than 10% of the joints. | Cracking or voids in 10% or more of the joints. | NA | |
| Delamination or Spall (1080) | None | Delamination. Spalling less than 10% loss of block thickness. | Spalling with 10% to 25% loss of block thickness. | Spalling with more than 25% loss of block thickness. | |
| Spilt or Fracture (1620) | None | Block split without continuation into adjacent courses. | Fractured through adjacent courses or block split with significant offset. | Fracture or split reduces stability of structure. | |
| Weathering, Scale or Abrasion | Minor surface deterioration (no section loss). | Less than 10% loss of block thickness. | 10% to 25% loss of block thickness. | More than 25% loss of block thickness. | |
| Masonry Displacement (1640) | None | Block or stone is slightly misaligned. | Block or stone is significantly misaligned. | Block or stone is severely misaligned (or detached from structure). | |
| Settlement (4000) | None | Within tolerable limits or arrested. | Exceeds tolerable limits. | Stability of element has been reduced. | |
| Joint Misalignment, Leakage, or Backfill Infiltration | None. | Minor joint separation, leakage, offset, misalignment or backfill infiltration. | Moderate joint separation, leakage, offset, misalignment or backfill infiltration. | Severe joint separation, leakage, offset, misalignment or backfill infiltration. | |
| Scour (6000) | None | Within tolerable limits (or countermeasures installed). | Exceeds tolerable limits but less than critical scour limits. | Exceeds critical scour limits. | |

#244: Masonry Culvert (LF) **Condition Rating Examples (Masonry Culverts)**



Condition State 2 Weathering (section loss less than 10%) of fascia blocks on a masonry arch culvert.



Condition State 4 Severe (and extensive) spalling on a masonry arch culvert.



Condition State 3 Scour (mortar missing) along the water line on a masonry arch culvert.



Condition State 4 Severe vertical fracture (with blocks misaligned and loose) on a masonry arch culvert.

4.2.6 Roadway over Culvert (Element #871)

#871: Roadway over Culvert (1 Each)

This element rates the condition of the roadway running above a culvert structure. It must be rated for all culvert structures that carry vehicular traffic. This includes paved or unpaved (gravel) roadways.

- The type of wearing surface and number of traffic lanes should be noted. If possible, the year of pavement installation (or overlay) should also be noted.
- If the roadway is divided with a median, then a quantity of 2 may be used.

Cracking or settlement of the roadway may be the result of culvert settlement, barrel distortion, or voiding of backfill. On flexible (steel) culverts; look for cracking and settlement above the center line of the culvert. On rigid (concrete) culverts, look for cracking and settlement along the edges of the culvert.

| | Structural Element Condition States | | | | |
|--|--|--|---|---|--|
| Item or Defect | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | |
| | Good | Fair | Poor | Severe | |
| Roadway Condition (General) | Little or no deterioration. No patches. | Minor to moderate deterioration. Permanent patches that remain sound. | Extensive deterioration (repairs recommended). Temporary patches or deteriorated repairs. | Severe deterioration (possible safety hazard – immediate repairs required). Repair patches that have failed. | |
| Concrete Paving | Minor cracking (no significant spalling). | Moderate cracking. Minor spalling. | Significant cracking or spalling. | Severe/extensive cracking or spalling. | |
| Bituminous Paving | Smooth and even (minor cracking – no potholes). | Moderate cracking or slight rutting (some potholes present). | Significant cracking, rutting, or uneven surface. Extensive potholes. | Severe rutting, fractures, or potholes. | |
| Gravel Roadway | Evenly graded. | Moderately rutted or eroded. | Extensive rutting or erosion. | Severe rutting or washouts. | |
| Roadway Settlement or Undermining | None. | Slight settlement or minor undermining. | Significant settlement or undermining. | Severe settlement or undermining. | |

#871: Roadway over Culvert (1 Each) Condition Rating Examples (Roadway over Culvert)



Condition State 1 Minor cracking in a bituminous roadway above a masonry arch culvert.



Condition State 3 Temporary patch due to settlement (loss of backfill above a severely corroded steel culvert).



Condition State 4 Severe settlement of a bituminous roadway above a collapsed steel culvert.



Condition State 2 Bituminous patches (due to settlement) along both sides of a concrete box culvert.



Condition State 3 Temporary patch due to settlement (loss of backfill above a severely corroded steel culvert).



Condition State 4 Roadway closed due to culvert failure.

4.2.7 Culvert End Treatments (Elements #842 and #843)

| #842: Culvert Wingwall (Each) |) |
|-------------------------------|---|
| #843: Culvert Apron (Each) | |

These elements apply to culvert end treatments of any type or material. This is an "each" item. • On single barrel culverts, the quantity will typically be four (two wingwalls for each end) for element 842 and two (one apron for each end) for element 843.

• For multiple barrel culverts with a center wingwall extension(s), the extension should also be counted for element 842.

• If no end treatments are present, these elements should not be used.

| | Structural Element Condition States | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Item or Defect | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | |
| | Good | Fair | Poor | Severe | |
| Structural Review | Structural review is not required. | Structural review is not required. | A structural review is not required, or a structural review has determined that capacity or function has not been impacted. | Condition warrants a structural review or structural review has determined that the capacity has been reduced. | |
| Repairs | No repairs are present. | Existing repair in sound condition. | Repairs are recommended or existing repair is unsound. | Immediate repairs are required. | |
| Steel | Minor surface corrosion. | Moderate surface corrosion (minor section loss). | Extensive corrosion (measurable section loss). | Advanced corrosion (severe section loss). | |
| Concrete | Superficial scaling. | Moderate scaling (minor spalling). | Significant spalling or extensive scaling. | Severe spalling or scale. | |
| Concrete | Minor cracking. | Moderate cracking or light leaching. | Extensive cracking or moderate leaching. | Severe cracking or deterioration. | |
| Timber | Minor splitting. | Moderate splitting or decay. | Extensive splitting or significant decay. | Severe splitting or advanced decay. | |
| Masonry | Minor weathering or mortar deterioration. | Moderate weathering, scaling, spalling, or mortar deterioration. | Extensive scaling, spalling, cracking, or mortar deterioration. | Severe scaling, cracking, or spalling. | |
| Aluminum or Plastic | Superficial deterioration. | Moderate deterioration. | Significant deterioration. | Severe deterioration. | |
| Connections | In-place and functioning as intended. | Connections are loose or missing (major components are secure). | Some connections have failed (major components may be loose). | Connection failure has significantly reduced structural integrity. | |
| Joint Misalignment or Backfill Infiltration | None. | Minor joint separation, misalignment, or back fill infiltration. | Moderate joint separation, misalignment, or backfill infiltration. | Severe joint separation, misalignment, or backfill infiltration. | |
| Settlement or Scour | None. | Within tolerable limits or arrested. | Exceeds tolerable limits. | Stability of element has been reduced. | |

State of South Dakota | Bridge Inspection Field Manual





#842: Culvert Wingwalls (Each) #843: Culvert Aprons (Each)

Condition State 2 Repair of a wingwall to parapet connection.





Condition State 2

Condition State 3 Extensive crack at the wingwall to barrel connection.



Condition State 3 Scour exceeds tolerable limits at the end of the apron.



Extensive cracking/significant spalling at the wingwall

to parapet connection.



4.2.8 Other Elements

The elements in this section are intended to rate bridge (or culvert) components not addressed by the AASHTO NBE or BME elements. These elements are "Each" items. These are SDDOT elements and are not reported to the FHWA.

• #880: Utilities – The quantity should equal as many utilities as are present at the structure.

5. INSPECTION DOCUMENTATION

Inspection Notes

Inspection notes are a key component of a bridge inspection report. The inspection notes should provide a clear narrative of the condition of the bridge and must appropriately justify the NBI and structure element condition ratings. Thorough inspection notes will allow the Engineer/Program Administrator reviewing the report to better understand the current condition of the bridge and determine if repairs or further structural analysis are required. If the bridge condition is accurately described, it is much easier to identify any change in condition in subsequent inspections. The quality of bridge inspection notes will generally reflect the quality of the bridge inspection, and the quality of the agency's bridge inspection program. Bridge inspection reports are legal, public documents – inspectors should keep that in mind when taking field notes and entering them in BrM.

Notes should be taken and entered in BrM for each bridge inspection. The extent of notes taken during an inspection will vary depending upon the size and the complexity of the bridge, the condition of the bridge, and the change in condition since the last inspection. When creating a new inspection in BrM, notes may be entered in several locations.

NBI Condition Rating Notes: When a new bridge is entered into the database, the NBI Condition Ratings (Deck, Superstructure, Substructure, Channel, and Culvert) will initially be entered as "9" or "N". After the initial inspection is completed, these ratings can be adjusted to fit what is seen in the field.

- Inspection notes should be entered whenever an NBI Condition Rating is changed (up or down). If no notes are present for an NBI condition rating, the inspection history should be reviewed to determine when and why the current condition rating was assigned.
- As the NBI condition ratings describe the general overall condition of a structure, the NBI notes do not need to be specific or lengthy. They should briefly explain why (and when) the NBI condition rating was changed. For example: "NBI deck rating lowered from 6 to 5 in 2016 due to delamination and spalling on underside of deck".
- Inspection notes are mandatory if an NBI condition rating (Deck, Superstructure, Substructure, Channel, Culvert, Approach Alignment, Waterway Adequacy) is "5" (fair condition) or lower.

NBI Appraisal Ratings and NBI Item 36 Notes: Notes may be entered in BrM for the Approach Roadway Alignment Rating, the Waterway Adequacy Rating, and for NBI Items 36A, B, C & D (Safety Features).

- If the coding for the Approach Roadway Alignment or Waterway Adequacy Appraisal Ratings is changed, the notes should explain when and why this was done.
- Notes should be added for NBI Items 36A-D if the safety features are updated. If any Safety Feature is coded as "substandard", the notes should briefly describe why.

Structure Element Notes: Every structure element has a dedicated section in BrM for entering inspection notes. It is recommended that the structure element notes include a brief description of the structure element being rated - this is particularly helpful on large or complex bridges.

- Inspection notes are mandatory for any structure element rated lower than condition state 1.
- The structure element notes should clearly describe the extent, severity, and location of any defects present on that element.
- When entering an inspection in BrM, notes are carried over from previous inspections. Thus, it is recommended that inspection notes are dated or completely overwritten with new notes from the current inspection. Dated inspection notes allow the reviewer to determine changes in condition, and to identify when structural modifications were performed (or when dead loads were added to the structure).
- While the exact manner of dating inspection notes will vary, it is recommended that the year the condition was observed precede the inspection note. Example, "[2012] South fascia girder has 10 LF of surface corrosion on the exterior bottom flange, extending out from the west abutment".
- When the condition changes during a subsequent inspection, the year the condition was first observed, as well as the year the condition last changed, should precede the inspection note. Example, "[2012/2014] South fascia girder has 15 LF of surface corrosion on the exterior bottom flange, extending out from the west abutment."
- Old structure element notes that are covered by a thin overlay (epoxy chip seal, etc.) should be kept in the event the thin overlay fails and the defect becomes visible again. The notes should contain the caveat they are from a condition prior to the overlay.
- Old structure element notes that no longer apply to the bridge should be deleted.
 - o Examples:
 - If a deck expansion joint is replaced, notes describing the previous expansion joint should be deleted.

General Inspection Notes: Notes that do not apply to a specific structure element (or NBI item) may be placed in a "General Notes" or "Region Repair Recommendations/Contract Repairs" area.

- On larger multi-span structures, the general layout of the bridge from the original construction plans should be described. Example, "Bridge runs from the south to the north, with piers and spans numbered from the south."
- It is also helpful to describe the beam numbering system used on the bridge framing plan. Example, "Beams are numbered 1-6 starting from the west."
- If a structure has had significant structural modifications (such as bridge widening, bridge redecking, or a culvert extension), a brief note should describe the modifications and when they were performed.
- If high water (or snow), prevents a full inspection, it should be noted here so that a follow-up inspection can be performed.
- If a bridge carries railroad traffic (or crosses over a railroad), emergency contact information for the railroad should be provided. If possible, the railroad mile point should be noted to assist in identifying the structure to the railroad.

Inspection Photographs

A digital camera is basic bridge inspection equipment. Photographs should be taken (and entered in BrM) during each routine bridge inspection. Photos can provide an excellent illustration of changes in the condition of a bridge (or culvert) over time. Note: Photographs should not be used as a replacement for inspection notes, but rather to complement the inspection notes.

Section 2.2.1 of the AASHTO Manual for Bridge Evaluation requires that these three general photographs <u>must</u> be included in the file for each bridge. Taking these three photographs during each routine inspection will ensure that each bridge file will have up-to-date photographs to meet this requirement.

- 1. Top view of the roadway across the bridge (or culvert)
- 2. A side elevation view of the bridge (or culvert)
- 3. An underside view of the main span (or a typical span)



1. Roadway across Bridge

2. Side Elevation View

3. Underside View

Section 2.2.1 of the AASHTO Manual for Bridge Evaluation also states that these additional photos should be included in the bridge file.

- Critical findings **<u>must</u>** always be documented with photographs.
- If a primary structural element is rated as condition state 4, at least one photograph of the element is required during each routine inspection.
- Load posting restrictions (if present)
- Other important features

Other <u>strongly recommended</u> photographs to take during a routine bridge inspection (or have in the bridge file) include the following.

- Significant damage or deterioration (primary elements rated as condition state 3).
- Serious safety hazards.
- General and/or close-up view of primary structural elements (even if there is little or no deterioration) to provide a baseline of the general structural condition.
- Structural repairs or modifications.
- Height restriction signing (if present).
- Significant or unusual bridge features.
- Upstream and downstream views of the channel or waterway below the bridge.
- Deck or approach expansion joint gaps.
- Bearing orientation.
- Safety features (railings and guardrail).
- Utilities or other ancillary items that have been added to the bridge.

Measuring & Documenting Section Loss on Steel Members

Corrosion is the most common defect found on steel bridges. Any measurable loss of the original steel member cross-section due to corrosion is referred to as "section loss". Accurately measuring and documenting the extent and location of section loss is one of the primary responsibilities of the bridge inspector and is essential in evaluating the load-carrying capacity of a steel bridge.

The bridge inspection report should accurately describe the location and extent of any significant section loss. Section loss is typically expressed as a percentage of the original cross-sectional area.

- On members subjected to axial loading (such as truss members), section loss is typically expressed as percentage of the entire member cross-section. *Example: "Truss bottom chord member L2-L3 has 15% section loss at the L2 connection."*
- On members subjected to bending moment (such as girders or beams), section loss is typically expressed as percentage of the bottom flange, top flange, or the web cross-section. *Example: "The bottom flange of the west girder has 10% section loss at the 1st deck drain east of Pier #2."*

When describing section loss in an inspection report, it is important that the extent of section loss not be misrepresented. For example, the bottom flange of a steel beam has a 1" diameter hole rusted through, which constitutes 15% of the total bottom flange cross-section at that location. This should not be described as "the bottom flange has 100% section loss", but rather as "the bottom flange has 15% section loss" (or "the bottom flange has a 1" diameter hole").

If the original cross-section has not yet been determined, it may be better to describe the location and dimensions of the area with section loss. For example: "Girder #3 has 4" wide by 2" high area of pitting (up to 1/8" deep) at the west abutment bearing".

When should section loss measurements be performed? As a general rule, section loss measurements should be taken if the approximate section loss on a primary structural steel member exceeds 5% of the total member cross-section (or 5% of the flange or web cross-section). As it is not generally practical to accurately measure and document every area of section loss on a bridge, some judgment must be used by the inspector in prioritizing the locations where section loss measurements are taken. Highly stressed portions of the structure (such as the bottom flange near the center of a span) should be prioritized for section loss measurements. If section loss is present at similar details throughout a bridge, measurements should be taken at locations that appear to have the most severe and/or extensive section loss.

Locations where section loss is likely on bridges: The locations where corrosion (and section loss) will occur on a bridge are typically predictable – steel members exposed to salt spray or covered by debris will typically have section loss. The exact locations will vary depending upon the structural configuration and features present on the bridge – locations where corrosion (and section loss) is likely to occur include the following.

- Structural members located below deck joints.
- Bearing areas.
- Areas below deck drains or adjacent to downspouts.
- Areas located directly above traffic (exposed to salt spray).
- Horizontal surfaces, field splices, or other details that tend to accumulate debris.
- Fascia girders, beams, or stringers will typically have more corrosion and section loss than interior members particularly along the exterior bottom flange.
- On bridges with concrete decks, corrosion will tend to be localized (below deck joints or leaching cracks) on bridges with timber decks, corrosion may be widespread.

- Through truss and pony truss bridges will typically have section loss along the bottom chord, particularly at the panel point connections section loss may be present on the truss members or gusset plates. Truss diagonal and vertical members will typically have corrosion at the railing connections, at the curb level, and at the bottom chord connections.
- Steel box girders (or other box sections) will develop internal corrosion if moisture accumulates within the box section.
- Steel piling will typically have corrosion at the water line and/or ground line.

Cleaning prior to inspection: In order to properly inspect a steel member (and to determine the extent of section loss) – the steel must first be cleaned of any dirt, debris, or excess flaking rust. A large buildup of debris on a steel member indicates not only inadequate maintenance, but also indicates inadequate inspection. A bridge inspector should have ready access to cleaning tools such as a shovel, spade, whisk broom, wire brush, pick hammer, or scraper. Inspection during (or immediately after) re-painting contracts will often allow for more precise section loss measurements.

Methods of measurement: During a bridge inspection, initial section loss is often estimated (often aided by a straight edge or ruler) – as section loss advances, more precise measurements may be necessary. Calipers are a simple and inexpensive method of measuring the thickness of the remaining steel, but they may not be able to reach some locations (such as a girder web). An ultrasonic thickness gauge is the most precise and effective method of obtaining thickness measurements – this can be used in confined areas or locations where only one side of the member is accessible.

Field notes and cross-section diagrams: Field notes should be thorough, concise, and readable – they should include not only the thickness measurements, but the exact location where those measurements were taken. To determine the extent of section loss on a structural member, the original cross section area must be known. If no plans are available, measurements and thickness readings should be taken in areas without section loss to establish a basis for the section loss calculations. Plan dimensions and thicknesses should be verified. Cross-section diagrams are helpful in documenting field measurements and performing section loss calculations. If possible, blank forms (with cross section diagrams) should be prepared prior to taking field measurements. To facilitate section loss calculations, the exact location of all thickness readings should be recorded – areas with section loss should be clearly indicated.



Example of cross section diagram with section loss field measurements

Section loss calculations: When performing section loss calculations, the level of accuracy will generally depend on how many thickness measurements are taken – the more measurements are taken, the greater the accuracy. One common method of calculating section loss is to simply take the average of several thickness measurements over a portion of the member cross-section. A slightly more accurate method is to divide the cross-section into trapezoidal sub-areas, based upon the exact locations of the thickness measurements – these areas are then calculated separately and added up. Whatever method is used, it should be done clearly and consistently, so the calculations can be easily checked and verified.





Cross-section showing location of thickness measurements

Trapezoidal sub-area

6. BRIDGE STRUCTURE TYPES AND COMPONENTS

Note: This section is incomplete – it will eventually include general inspection procedures and condition rating guidelines for common bridge deck, superstructure, and substructure types. This is intended to be a condensed version of the guidelines in the Bridge Inspector's Reference Manual (BIRM).

6.1 SUBSTRUCTURE COMPONENTS

This section includes general inspection procedures and condition rating guidelines for substructure components (abutments and piers). This includes general descriptions and terminology, as well as guidelines for the proper selection of structural elements (and determining element quantities).

6.1.1 Concrete Abutments

Most abutments are constructed of reinforced concrete, while the overall configuration will vary, most concrete abutments share the following typical components.



Cross-section of a Typical Sill-Type Concrete Abutment



Plan View of a Typical Sill-Type Concrete Abutment

- Abutment: The abutment is the primary component of the abutment it transmits the load of the bridge superstructure to the footing, and retains the abutment backfill. Only the front face is typically visible for inspection.
- Bearing Seat: The bearing seat provides a horizontal bearing area for the superstructure.
- **Backwall:** The back wall prevents backfill soil from sliding onto the bearing seat and provides support for the deck expansion joint (or approach slab).
- **Footing or Pile Cap:** The footing transmits the weight of the abutment, the soil loads, and the load of the bridge superstructure to the supporting soil. A footing may be supported by piling (pile cap) or may transfer these loads directly to the supporting soil or rock (spread footing).
- **Wingwall:** A wingwall is a short retaining wall extending from each end of the abutment that serves to retain the side slope. The wingwall configuration (height, length, and angle from the abutment face) will vary depending upon the abutment geometry and site conditions.

General inspection procedures for concrete abutments:

- Note any concrete deterioration (cracking, leaching, rust staining, delamination, or spalling).
- Note any evidence of deck joint leakage (such as staining on the abutment face or debris on the bearing seat).
- Weep holes (typically located near the base of the stem) should be examined for proper function.
- Note any distress on the backwall (cracking, spalling, or tipping) resulting from the superstructure contacting the backwall or from approach pavement thrust.
- Note any evidence of settlement, rotation, or other movement.
- Note any deterioration of the slope protection, slope erosion, undermining, or footing/piling exposure.
- If the abutment is submerged in water, probe along the front face for any evidence of scour (review the underwater inspection report, if applicable).

Condition ratings for concrete abutments: An abutment has two basic functions – to support the bridge superstructure, and to retain the abutment backfill. The condition ratings should reflect not only the condition of the visible concrete surfaces, but also the ability of the abutment to perform these two basic functions.

- Element #215 (Reinforced Concrete Abutment) should be used to rate the backwall, bearing seat, abutment, and integral wingwalls. This is a linear foot (LF) item the quantity is determined by measuring horizontally across the front face of the abutment and along the length of any integral wingwalls.
- As the footings (and pilings) supporting a concrete abutment are typically not visible for inspection, they are typically not rated. If the abutment footing or piling are visible for inspection, it can be rated using the following:
 - Element #220 Reinforced Concrete Pile Cap/Footing (LF)
 - Element #225 Steel Piling (Each)
 - Element #226 Prestressed Concrete Piling (Each)
 - Element #227 Reinforced Concrete Piling (Each)
 - Element #228 Timber Piling (Each)
- If settlement, rotation, or other movement of the abutment is evident, Defect #4000 (Settlement) should be used to denote.
- If scour is present, Defect #6000 (Scour) should be used to denote.

6.1.2 Hollow ("Vaulted") Concrete Abutments

Hollow or "Vaulted" reinforced concrete abutments are an enclosed approach span, typically a cast-inplace concrete slab or T-girder span. The side walls enclose the sides of the span, creating a "hollow" abutment that appears to be solid. Hollow abutments are intended to reduce the dead load (compared to a solid abutment) and subsequent settlement of the abutment. Note: Periodic internal inspections should be performed to assess the condition of the interior elements – confined space entry procedures are typically required.



Elevation View of a Hollow Concrete Abutment



Section View (Looking Down) of a Hollow Concrete Abutment

Element #215 (Reinforced Concrete Abutment) should be used to rate hollow "Vaulted" abutments. The LF quantity is measured around the exterior perimeter (front face and side walls, including any integral wingwall extensions). An element or elements must also be selected to rate the enclosed approach span – this may include beam, deck, or slab elements.

- **Front Abutment:** The front abutment (or breast wall) is the primary component of the abutment it transmits the load of the bridge superstructure to the footing or pile cap, and retains the abutment backfill. Only the front face is typically visible for inspection.
- **Bearing Seat:** The bearing seat provides a horizontal bearing area for the superstructure.
- **Parapet:** The parapet (or back wall) provides support for the deck expansion joint and approach span.
- **Footing:** The footing transmits the weight of the abutment and the load of the bridge superstructure to the supporting soil. A footing may be supported by piling or may transfer these loads directly to the supporting soil or rock (spread footing).
- Side Wall: Encloses the sides of the span, creating a "hollow" abutment that appears to be solid.
- **Wingwall:** A wingwall is a short retaining wall extending from each end of the abutment that serves to retain the side slope. The wingwall configuration (height, length, and angle from the abutment face) will vary depending upon the abutment geometry and site conditions.

General inspection procedures for concrete vaulted abutments:

- Note any concrete deterioration (cracking, leaching, rust staining, delamination or spalling).
- Note any evidence of deck joint leakage (such as staining on the abutment face or debris on the bearing seat).
- Weep holes (typically located near the base of the stem) should be examined for proper function.
- Note any distress on the parapet (cracking, spalling or tipping) resulting from the superstructure contacting the parapet or from approach pavement thrust.
- Note any evidence of settlement, rotation, or other movement.
- Note any deterioration of the slope protection, slope erosion, undermining, or footing/piling exposure.
- If the abutment is submerged in water, probe along the front face for any evidence of scour (review the underwater inspection report, if applicable).

Condition ratings for concrete abutments: An abutment has two basic functions – to support for the bridge superstructure, and to retain the abutment backfill. The condition ratings should reflect not only the condition of the visible concrete surfaces, but also the ability of the abutment to perform these two basic functions.

- Element #215 (Reinforced Concrete Abutment) should be used to rate the abutment, bearing seat, parapet, side walls, and integral wingwalls. This is a linear foot (LF) item the quantity is determined by measuring horizontally across the front face of the abutment and along the length of the side walls, and any integral wingwalls.
- As the footings (and pilings) supporting a concrete abutment are typically not visible for inspection, they are typically not rated. If the abutment footing is visible for inspection, it can be rated using Element #220 (Reinforced Concrete Footing). This is a LF item.
- If settlement, rotation, or other movement of the abutment is evident, Defect #4000 (Settlement) should be used to denote.
- If scour is present, Defect #6000 (Scour) should be used to denote.

6.1.3 Integral and Semi-Integral Concrete Abutments

Integral and semi-integral abutments are now the preferred design for new bridges in South Dakota, as they eliminate the need for a deck expansion joint. Sill type abutments are now only used when the design criteria for integral or semi-integral abutments cannot be met.

An integral abutment consists of a concrete abutment stem supported by a single line of piles. The beams, girders, or slabs bear upon the abutment stem. A concrete diaphragm, poured with the deck, encases the beam ends, making the superstructure, deck, and often the approach panel integral with the abutment.

A semi-integral abutment is similar to an integral abutment in that the superstructure, deck, and approach panel are integral and expand and contract as a single unit. The primary difference is that the superstructure is supported on bearings, allowing the superstructure to move independently form the abutment stem. Another difference is that the stem footing is typically supported by multiple rows of piles.

Use the criteria below when rating the condition of an integral or semi-integral abutment.

- The abutment stem should be rated using Element #215 (Concrete Abutment) and should be considered a part of the substructure.
- The concrete diaphragm defects should be noted in the concrete cap or pier wall notes and should be considered a part of the superstructure.
- Bearing elements will typically be used only if bearing assemblies are present on the abutment stem and are visible for inspection.
- If integral concrete approach panels are present, Elements #320 (Precast Concrete Approach Slab) or #321 (Concrete Approach Slab) will typically be used.



Integral Abutment (Cross Section)

Semi-Integral Abutment (Cross Section)

6.1.4 Timber Abutments

Timber abutments are typically comprised of three main components (backfill planks, bearing cap, and piling), which are rated using three separate structural elements. These components may be connected with bolts, straps, lag screws, nails, spikes, or drift pins. The inspector should determine the condition of each timber element, as well as the overall orientation and stability of the abutment. The presence of failed connections or misaligned members should be reflected in the element ratings. Note: If the abutment has tipped, rotated, or settled, Element #883 (Substructure Settlement and Movement) must be rated accordingly.



Front View of a Typical Timber Abutment

- **Backfill planks (abutment face and wingwalls**): The backfill planks retain the abutment backfill and transfer earth pressure forces to the piling. Element #216 (Timber Abutment) should primarily reflect the condition of the backfill planks but should also reflect the overall structural condition of the abutment. This is a linear feet (LF) item, measured across the front face of the abutment, including the length of any timber wingwalls. Backfill planks should be inspected for bulging, gaps, or voided backfill. There should be some backing planks below the ground line. If the bottom backing plank is exposed (due to erosion of stream degradation), the abutment backfill cannot be properly retained.
- **Bearing cap:** The bearing cap provides a bearing seat for the superstructure and transfers superstructure loads to the piling. Element #235 (Timber Pier Cap) should be used to rate the condition of the abutment bearing cap. This is a linear feet (LF) item, measured along the length of the cap. The total element quantity should include the abutment caps as well the pier caps (if there are any). Note: If the cap is comprised of another material (such as steel or concrete), the appropriate structural element should be selected. If the cap is not bearing properly on the pilings (twisted, offset, or gap), this should be reflected in the cap element rating.
- Pile: Pilings transmit superstructure loads from the bearing cap into the surrounding soil. Most timber abutments are supported by timber pile. A timber pile is a cylindrical shaft (typically 12" to 16" in diameter) driven into the ground using a pile hammer. Some timber abutment piling incorporates steel cable tie-back systems to resist the horizontal force resulting from earth pressure. Element #228 (Timber Pile) should be used to rate the condition of the abutment (and wingwall) piling. This is an "each" item the total element quantity includes all timber piling on the bridge (abutment, wingwall, and piers). Note: If the abutment piling is comprised of another material (such as steel or concrete), the appropriate piling element should be rated using a column element. Timber columns (Element #206) typically have a square or round cross-section.

6.1.5 Concrete Column Bents

The most common interior support configuration is a reinforced concrete column bent, which is comprised of two or more columns (bearing on footings), which support a bent cap. These bents are typically cast-in-place and are tied together with steel reinforcement to create a rigid frame.



Typical Concrete "Column Bent" Configuration

- Bent cap: The bent or pier cap is the upper horizontal portion of the pier that supports the superstructure. Bent caps are subjected to bending and shear forces, with bending forces often controlling the design. The bent cap (including the bearing seats) is rated using Element #234 (Reinforced Concrete Cap). This is a "linear foot" quantity, measured along the length of the cap.
- **Columns:** The vertical columns transfer the superstructure load from the pier cap to the pier footing they are primarily subjected to compression forces. Columns are rated using Element #205 (Reinforced Concrete Column). This is an "each" item, a condition rating must be determined for each specific column. Crash struts (or barriers) between the bent or pier columns should be noted.
- **Pile Cap:** As most column footings are designed to be located below grade (not visible for inspection), they are typically not rated. If footings are exposed by scour or streambed degradation, it should be brought to the attention of the agency Program Administrator (and bridge owner). Concrete footings that are visible for inspection should be rated using Element #220 (Reinforced Concrete Footing).

General inspection procedures for concrete bents/piers:

- Note concrete deterioration (cracking, leaching, rust staining, delamination, or spalling).
- Note evidence of deck joint leakage (staining on the cap or debris on the bearing seat).
- Note any evidence of settlement, tipping, rotation, or other movement.
- If the bent is submerged in water, the perimeter should be probed for evidence of scour, undermining, or footing/piling exposure (refer to the underwater inspection report, if applicable).
- Note the presence and condition of any protection components (such as dolphins, fenders, or crash struts).

6.1.6 Concrete Hammerhead Piers

A reinforced concrete hammerhead pier consists of a single column with a relatively wide cantilevered pier cap (the cap is typically tapered in depth).



Typical "Hammerhead" Pier Configuration

- **Pier Cap:** Element #234 (Reinforced Concrete Cap) should be used to rate the cap and bearing pedestals this is a linear foot (LF) quantity (measured along the length of the cap). The cantilever portion of the cap should be examined for any evidence of structural distress (such as shear cracking).
- Pier Column or Pier Walls: The vertical portion of a hammerhead pier could consist of a column or pier wall. Element #205 (Reinforced Concrete Column) will typically be used to rate columns this is an "each" item. If the vertical support is 10 ft. or greater in width, it should be rated using Element #210 (Reinforced Concrete Pier Wall) this is a LF item.
- **Pier Footing:** As the pier footing (and pilings) are typically located below grade and not visible for inspection, they are not rated as a structural element.

6.1.6 Concrete Pier Walls

A reinforced concrete pier wall is comprised of a solid shaft (as opposed to separate columns). The shaft may be straight (vertical) or tapered. There may or may not be a pier cap.



Concrete Pier Wall – Straight (Vertical) Shaft with Pier Cap



Concrete Pier Wall – Tapered Shaft without Pier Cap

- Element #210 (Reinforced Concrete Pier Wall) should be used to rate the pier wall shaft. This is a linear feet (LF) quantity (measured horizontally along the face of the pier wall (on tapered pier walls, use the widest dimension). As a general rule, pier shafts less than 10 ft. long should be rated using Element #205 (Concrete Column).
- If a pier cap is present, Element #234 (Reinforced Concrete Cap) should be used to rate the cap and bearing seats. If no cap is present, the bearing seats can be included with Element #210 (Reinforced Concrete Pier Wall). As pier footings are typically below grade and not visible for inspection, they are not rated.
6.1.7 Pile Bent Piers (Timber, Steel, or Concrete)

Piers comprised of two or more piling supporting a cap are known as pile bents. While typically comprised of timber, they may include steel or concrete members. The inspector should determine the condition of each element, as well as the overall orientation and stability of the pier. The presence of failed connections or misaligned members should be reflected in the element ratings.



Pile Bent Pier

- **Piling:** Pier piling transmit the superstructure load from the pier cap to the supporting soil (they are mainly subjected to compression forces). Piling should be examined for impact damage or deterioration, particularly along the waterline or ground line. SDDOT has four piling elements they are all "each" items, a single condition rating must be determined for each pile.
 - #225: Steel Piling (Includes H-pile and CIP Piling)
 - o #226: Prestressed Concrete Piling
 - o #227: Reinforced Concrete Piling
 - #228: Timber Piling
- **Pier Cap:** The pier cap provides a bearing seat for the superstructure, and transfers the superstructure loads to the piling. The connections between the cap and piling should be examined for any deterioration or distress. The cap material on pile bent piers may differ from the piling material. Pier cap elements are all "LF" items, measured along the length of the cap.
 - o #231: Steel Pier Cap
 - o #234: Reinforced Concrete Pier Cap
 - #235: Timber Pier Cap
- **Pier Bracing:** To prevent pile buckling, pile bent piers are often reinforced with diagonal bracing. The bracing members should be examined for deterioration, impact damage, or connection failure.

7. SMALL UNMANNED AIRCRAFT SYSTEMS (SUAS)

All sUAS usage performed in the State of South Dakota must adhere to the following:

- Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) Title 14, Chapter I, Subchapter F, Part 107
- South Dakota Codified Law (SDCL) Title 50, Chapter 15
- SDDOT UAS Procedures Document
- SDDOT UAS Guidelines Document

The sUAS remote pilot in command (RPIC) must follow all Federal, State, and Local regulations. It is important to note that regulations can differ, and to ensure all RPIC's are following the correct regulation, SDDOT requires the RPIC follow the most restrictive regulation.

The SDDOT recognizes that the use of sUAS is an emerging access inspection method. The use of sUAS is allowed to be the primary access method on every other non-NSTM structure inspection for 12-month or 24-month cycles. For 48-month cycles, sUAS is a secondary access method. If there is any part of the structure that is a NSTM, then sUAS will be the secondary access method and a "hands-on" method will be the primary. Some examples of this are:

- A girder bridge over water on a 24-month inspection frequency.
 - 2023 sUAS is the primary access method and in 2025 a UBIU is the primary access method.
- A girder bridge over a railroad on a 12-month inspection frequency.
 - 2023 sUAS is the primary access method and in 2024 a UBIU or aerial lift is the primary access method.

Unless otherwise stated, consulting, contracting, or engineering firms working on State, LGA, or city bridge projects with DOT oversight will have flight requirements waived by the contract hiring manager provided the following is available to the hiring manager for each RPIC if requested:

- Pilot's Part 107 license number
- Date of latest reissuance of Part 107 license number, if applicable
- Approximate number of flight hours
- A summary list of locations of the individual pilot's flights/projects

Appendix A has the latest SDDOT UAS Procedures and Guidelines documents.

8. SCOUR

All bridges in South Dakota should have soundings performed and a channel profile created across the entire length of the bridge crossing a waterway at every routine NBI inspection. The reference point should be clearly marked on the channel profile drawing or sketch. Over time, these profiles can be compiled and compared against different years. This will allow the inspector to determine different creek or river characteristics such as migration, degradation, aggradation, contraction scour, etc. The characteristics gained from the profiles and observations from the current inspection will give the inspector a better insight into the creek or river and allow them to give better recommendations for protection of the structure if necessary.

8.1 SCOUR CRITICAL STRUCTURES

All structures in South Dakota labeled as scour critical should be monitored during high-water events according to the established Plan of Action (POA) for that structure. The POA should have the monitoring height and the monitoring frequency recorded to give direction to the bridge owner when an event occurs. The POA should also have an established closure elevation or closure guidance, preferably a pre-established detour route, and guidelines for re-opening the structure.

Appendix D has some established directions, example monitoring log, an example filled out POA, and an example completed monitoring log for documenting conditions during a high-water event.

9. MEASURING VERTICAL AND HORIZONTAL MEASUREMENTS

Clearance measurements at highway and railroad structures are required by the NBIS and are used for permitting oversized loads on South Dakota highways. Measurements should be collected at regular intervals as required by FHWA, SDDOT, or local government entities. Interim inspections may also be necessary when any construction work at a structure causes a change in the horizontal or vertical clearances. These new clearances and measurements should be measured and reported, preferably, before the site is re-opened to traffic.

9.1 VERTICAL MEASUREMENTS

Vertical measurements must be collected at all routine NBI inspections. All vertical measurements are to be rounded down to the nearest 1 inch for reporting purposes (Example – Measured 16'-5 $\frac{3}{4}$ ", reported as 16'-5"). The following are recommended locations:

- Travel lane edges.
- Edge of the roadway, including the shoulder.
- Any objects hanging below the bottom of the slab or girder (signs, bolted field splices, bent caps, haunches, etc.).
- Front side of curbs.
- Front side of guardrail.
- Top of each individual rail for railroads.

There should be a minimum of three vertical clearance measurements. Additional recommended locations for vertical clearance measurements are shown in the figures later in this section. Measurements should be checked at the recommended locations and to two feet on either side of the outer clearance location or edge line to account for vehicle overhang.

9.2 HORIZONTAL MEASUREMENTS

Horizontal measurements are to be reported to the nearest 0.1 feet. Horizontal measurements should be collected at the following locations:

- Horizontal distance of individual lanes.
- Horizontal distance in-between lanes and the edge of the pavement (if in place).
- Horizontal distance from a recommended location to the point of a low hanging object.
- Horizontal distance from the outside lane edge to vehicle obstacles/obstructions (curbs, barriers, guardrail, columns, pier walls, pier caps, bent caps, and berms).
- Horizontal measurements from the lane edge to the center of the median column or pier/bent cap if the roadway is on a freeway or divided highway.

9.3 DOCUMENTATION

A clear and detailed drawing or sketch showing the vertical and horizontal measurements is required for the bridge file. The orientation of the measurements shall be clearly noted on the sketch. Preliminary results may be given verbally to the owner or owner's representative, but final documentation must be submitted to the owner of the structure in a timely manner.

Appendix B has several forms from the 1998 edition of the South Dakota Bridge System Code Manual for reference or use. Please be aware the forms have some outdated terms in them and will need to be accounted for, specifically relating to Pontis (South Dakota's previous bridge management software).

9.3.1 SDDOT Inspectors

Changes in vertical clearances and horizontal measurements shall be reported to the following individuals:

- Bridge Management Engineer Office of Bridge Design
- Operations Maintenance Engineer Operations Support Office
- Program Assistant Operations Support Office
- Region Traffic Engineer
 - Only necessary if the actual measurements are less than 15'-3", the Region Traffic Engineer will coordinate the low clearance signing installation or changes for the in-place signs.

Changes that occur to bridges over highways that are closed to traffic will be measured by the Senior Region Bridge Engineer or their designee. Communication with the Area Office or Maintenance Unit will be necessary for the SRBE to be made aware of work that affects clearances, so arrangements can be made for new clearances and measurements prior to the completion of the work (Follow DOT policy OS-OC-19.0 or its successor).

Changes in vertical clearances that occur to bridges over highways that are open to traffic during a construction or maintenance project will be estimated and provided to the above individuals by the Senior Region Bridge Engineer or their designee prior to construction or maintenance work occurring. Once the project is complete, the Senior Region Bridge Engineer, or their designee, will notify those individuals of the new actual vertical and horizontal clearances and measurements (Follow DOT policy OS-OC-19.0 or its successor).

Any requests for clearance data by outside entities are to be first routed through the SDDOT Legal Office and the Office of Bridge Design.

9.3.2 Consultants, Contracting, or Engineering Firms

Changes in vertical clearances and horizontal measurements that occur to bridges over roadways, railroads, or highways shall be promptly provided to the owner of the structure.

9.4 REPORTING & CODING

Vertical and horizontal measurements must be coded into the SDDOT BrM system. Below are the typical use cases.

The locations for entering the data are as follows:

- The various items noted for each use case below on the *Roadway tab* under *SD Pages*.
- Items 054B, 055B, and 056 on the *Appraisal tab* under *Inspection*.
- Item 005A needs to be selected for either the *Route On Structure* or *Route Under* (depending on the situation) and then Items 010 and 047 need to be entered on the *Roads sub-tab*, within the *Inventory tab*, under *Inspection*.

9.4.1 Roadways with Two Way Traffic

The following fields will be used:

- 54.01 Minimum vertical clearance within the driving lanes.
- 10.03 Maximum height for a 10-foot-wide vehicle that will clear the structure. The entire roadway width including the shoulders may be used.
- 55.01 Horizontal distance for the closest object right or left (berm embankment, column, pier, pier/bent cap, etc.) from the extreme lane edge.
- 47.03 Beneath horizontal clearance. Consists of the sum of the following:
 - Horizontal distance of the closest object right (including guardrail, curb, or barrier) from the edge or fog line.
 - Horizontal distance of the closest object left (including guardrail, curb, or guardrail) from the lane edge.
 - Sum of the horizontal distance of each individual lane width.

9.4.2 Divided Highways – North Bound or East Bound

The following fields will be used:

- 54.01 Minimum vertical clearance within the driving lanes.
- 10.03 Maximum height for a 10-foot-wide vehicle that will clear the structure. The entire roadway width including the shoulders may be used.
- 55.01 Horizontal distance for the closest object right (berm embankment, column, pier, pier/bent cap, etc.) from the edge line.
- 56.01 Horizontal distance for the closest object left (berm embankment, column, pier, pier/bent cap, etc.) from the edge line.
- 47.03 Beneath horizontal clearance. Consists of the sum of the following:
 - Horizontal distance of the closest object right (including guardrail, curb, or barrier) from the lane edge.
 - Horizontal distance of the closest object left (including guardrail, curb, or barrier) from the lane edge.
 - Sum of the horizontal distance of each individual lane width.

9.4.3 Divided Highways – South Bound or West Bound

The following fields will be used:

- 54.02 Minimum vertical clearance within the driving lanes.
- 10.04 Maximum height for a 10-foot-wide vehicle that will clear the structure. The entire roadway width including the shoulders may be used.
- 55.02 Horizontal distance for the closest object right (berm embankment, column, pier, pier/bent cap, etc.) from the edge line.
- 56.02 Horizontal distance for the closest object left (berm embankment, column, pier, pier/bent cap, etc.) from the edge line.
- 47.04 Beneath horizontal clearance. Consists of the sum of the following:
 - Horizontal distance of the closest object right (including guardrail, curb, or barrier) from the edge or fog line.
 - Horizontal distance of the closest object left (including guardrail, curb, or barrier) from the edge or fog line.
 - o Sum of the horizontal distance of each individual lane width.

9.4.4 Roadways with One Way Traffic

The following fields will be used:

- 54.01 Minimum vertical clearance within the driving lanes.
- 10.03 Maximum height for a 10-foot-wide vehicle that will clear the structure. The entire roadway width including the shoulders may be used.
- 55.01 Horizontal distance for the closest object right or left (berm embankment, column, pier, pier/bent cap, etc.) from the lane edge.
- 47.03 Beneath horizontal clearance. Consists of the sum of the following:
 - Horizontal distance of the closest object right (including guardrail, curb, or barrier) from the edge or fog line.
 - Horizontal distance of the closest object left (including guardrail, curb, or guardrail) from the lane edge.
 - Sum of the horizontal distance of each individual lane width.

9.4.5 Railroads

The following fields will be used:

- 54.01 Minimum vertical clearance of the individual rails.
- 55.01 Horizontal distance for the closest object right or left (berm embankment, column, pier, pier/bent cap, etc.) from the center line of the tracks.

9.4.6 Trusses

The following fields will be used:

- 53.01 Right or single roadway.
- 53.02 Left Roadway. Only used if the roadway is divided.
- 10.01 Maximum height for a 10-foot-wide vehicle that will clear the structure for the right or single roadway. The entire roadway width including the shoulders may be used.
- 10.02 Maximum height for a 10-foot-wide vehicle that will clear the structure for the left roadway. This will only be used if the roadway is divided. The entire roadway width including the shoulders may be used.



• Additional measurements may be required depending on the width of the roadway.



All portals and sway bracing should be checked.



- Recommended locations noted in red.
- Locations noted with * are a discontinuity (bolted field splice). •

APPENDIX A

SDDOT UAS Procedures

SDDOT UAS Guidelines



Department of Transportation

700 E Broadway Avenue Pierre, South Dakota 57501-2558 O: 605.773.5105 | F: 605.773.2804 dot.sd.gov

TO: South Dakota Department of Transportation

FROM: UAS Committee

DATE: October 23, 2020

SUBJECT: Unmanned Aircraft System (UAS) Procedures

Approval Process

Procurement

A. Refer to the Guidelines document concerning procuring a UAS.

Contractors

- A. Notification provided to project engineer.
- B. Refer to the Consultant Service Manual.

Purpose of Use

- A. Permitted UAS use includes but is not limited to; aerial photography, photogrammetry, bridge inspection, planning, geotechnical field investigations, Light Detection and Ranging (LiDAR) applications, public outreach, mapping construction sites, asset management, asset inspections, traffic monitoring, incident management, disaster response, and training exercise.
- B. The purpose of each flight will be documented in the pilot log.

Documentation and Data Retention

- A. All data derived from internal UAS use, contracted UAS service providers or for the Department use through projects will be maintained according to the Department policies.
- B. All raw data may be stored on the SDDOT state server including data, images, video, and metadata captured.
- C. All processed data may be stored on SDDOT servers.

Roles and Responsibilities

Division Director

- Provide approval or disapproval for all UAS purchase requests in their respective division after review by the UAS Committee.
- Provide approval of UAS Procedures.

UAS Committee

- Support all agency owned UAS.
- Annually review and update the UAS Procedures.
- Review submitted UAS Forms and provide a recommended action.

- Facilitate training as needed.
- Maintain a list of licensed and trained pilots.
- Maintain a list of UAS Examiners.
- Review flight plans for compliance with FAA regulations when requested.
- Maintain a list of department owned UAS equipment.
- Reviews COA requests and the need for waivers.
- Submit new COA request to the FAA for approval
- Annually review COA's which have been approved by the FAA.
- Review the UAS purchase proposals and provide a recommendation on whether to purchase to Division Director or designee

Area Engineer or Program Manager

• Designate an individual(s) to update and maintain UAS equipment

DOT Pilots

Remote Pilot in Command (RPIC) will adhere to the following requirements:

Federal Law

- A. The RPIC will use the UAS in accordance with Title 14 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) Part 107.
- B. The RPIC is responsible for obtaining a Certificate of Waiver when UAS operations will not be in accordance with Part 107 prior to flying the UAS.

STATE LAW

A. The RPIC will adhere to all state laws including those in Title 50, Chapter 15.

Protection of Privacy

- A. The RPIC will limit operations to the specific purpose of the project and employ reasonable precautions to avoid capturing images of the public except those that are incidental to the project.
- B. The RPIC will complete a thorough review of the flight plan prior to flight to determine if privacy is a concern.

Safety Requirements

- A. All UAS flights require a flight plan, see Steps for Use section.
- B. The UAS maintenance log must be reviewed and accepted by the RPIC prior to any UAS flight.
- C. The flight area will be reviewed using a preflight planning application to ensure flight is not prohibited in the area prior to any UAS flight.
- D. A preflight inspection of the UAS by the RPIC is required prior to takeoff to ensure the UAS is airworthy.
- E. A post flight review by the RPIC is required to document any problems or deviations from the original flight plan. Deviations will also be documented in the pilot's log.
- F. A post flight inspection of the UAS is required to be conducted by the RPIC to document any damage to the UAS or required maintenance needed subsequent to the flight. Any needs should be documented in the maintenance log and relayed to the person designated by the Area Engineer or Program Manager

Training Requirements

- A. UAS operations will be conducted by a trained RPIC as required by FAA and Part 107.
- B. The RPIC will maintain a Remote Pilot Certificate from the FAA.
- C. The RPIC will register with the UAS Committee.
- D. Prior to operating any UAS for Department business, the RPIC must conduct a minimum of two hours of flight time training in an UAS training area to develop UAS proficiency for each model of aircraft that will be flown.
 - a. A licensed and trained UAS pilot will identify UAS training areas where training and proficiency checks can be accomplished in a safe manner.
- E. The RPIC is required to complete a proficiency check with a UAS Examiner prior to their first flight outside a training area.
- F. The RPIC will undergo a pilot proficiency check consisting of aeronautical knowledge areas, areas of operations and tasks required for safe operation every 24 months for each UAS model to operated.

Accident Reporting

All accidents involving UAS that result in any injury or property damage shall be reported to the RPIC's supervisor.

All accidents involving UAS that result in serious injury, loss of consciousness, or property damage of at least \$500 must be reported by the RPIC to the FAA as required in FAA Part 107 regulations.

All accidents involving UAS that meet any of the following criteria must be reported by the RPIC to the NTSB as required in Title 49 Part 830.5:

- A. Accidents resulting in serious injury or death.
- B. The aircraft has a maximum gross takeoff weight of 300 pounds or greater and sustains substantial damage.
- C. Flight control system malfunction or failure: For an unmanned aircraft, a true "flyaway" would qualify. A lost link that behaves as expected does not qualify.
- D. Inability of any required flight crewmember to perform normal flight duties as a result of injury or illness. Examples of required flight crewmembers include the pilot, remote pilot; or visual observer if required by regulation. This does not include an optional payload operator.
- E. Inflight fire, which is expected to be generally associated with batteries.
- F. Aircraft collision in flight.
- G. More than \$25,000 in damage to objects other than the aircraft.
- H. Release of all or a portion of a propeller blade from an aircraft, excluding release caused solely by ground contact.

It is the RPIC's responsibility to understand and comply with all FAA and NTSB reporting requirements. All accidents reported to the FAA or NTSB shall also be reported to the UAS Committee and the Office of Aeronautics as soon as practical.

UAS Equipment

- A. All UA will be registered with the FAA and display the appropriate markings as required.
- B. All UAS equipment will require an identification number.
- C. Equipment malfunctions will be brought to the attention of the individual designated by the Area Engineer or Program Manager and documented in the maintenance log.

UAS Maintenance

- A. All UAS equipment will be properly maintained according to the manufacture's recommendations and will undergo a preflight and post flight inspection along with an annual inspection.
- B. All maintenance and annual inspections will be documented in the maintenance log for the each individual UAS equipment.
- C. The UAS maintenance log will document at a minimum the following information: UAS identification number, date, inspection performed, maintenance performed, damage or malfunctions incurred during operation, repairs made and additional notes or comments.

Steps for Use

The RPIC will:

- Establish a flight plan that includes at a minimum:
 - Airspace review
 - Conduct weather assessment
 - Area to be flown
 - Limitations
 - Obstacle clearance
 - Purpose of flight
 - Anticipated date and time of flight
 - Expected duration of flight
 - Communication plan
 - Emergency/contingency procedures
 - Anticipated UAS project crew members
- Check out UAS equipment from Area Engineer or Program Manager or their designee
- Complete preflight checklist
- Complete post flight checklist after flight.
- Transfer all data, images, video, and metadata captured on to a SDDOT state server and use SDDOT naming conventions as required
- Return UAS equipment to Area Engineer or Program Manager or designee and communicate any UAS malfunctions, damage incurred, and maintenance and/or repairs needed.

General Flight Requirements

UAS operations shall be in accordance with Part 107 which includes these and other requirements:

- A. Visual line-of-sight (VLOS): the UAS must remain in VLOS of the RPIC or visual observer.
- B. Location: UAS may not operate over any persons not directly participating in the operation, not under a covered structure, and not inside a covered stationary vehicle.
- C. Allowed flight times: flight can be accomplished during daylight or civil twilight (30 minutes before official sunrise to 30 minutes after official sunset, local time) with appropriate anti-collision lighting.

- D. Battery life: flight must be conducted with enough remaining battery to ensure safe landing at the home point or the alternative landing point identified in the flight plan and with enough reserve battery life to ensure safe landing at the alternative site if landing at the home site is not possible.
- E. Weather visibility: the minimum weather visibility distance is three miles from your control station.
- F. Flight altitude: the maximum flight altitude is 400 feet above the ground and higher if the UAS remains within 400 feet of a structure unless otherwise directed by LAANC (Low Altitude Authorization and Notification Capability system) authorization.
- G. Flight speed: the maximum flight speed is 100 mph (87 knots).

Consequences of Misuse

Unauthorized uses can result in legal action by third parties, loss of authorization to operate UAS for the department, and/or discipline up to and including termination.



Department of Transportation

700 E Broadway Avenue Pierre, South Dakota 57501-2558 O: 605.773.5105 | F: 605.773.2804 dot.sd.gov

Unmanned Aircraft System (UAS)

Effective Date: 10/23/2020

Purpose

To define the use of Unmanned Aircraft Systems (UAS) for the purpose of conducting South Dakota Department of Transportation (Department) business.

Guidelines

UAS Use

UAS may be used when it provides cost efficiency, improved data quality, or improved personnel safety over an existing method or process. UAS may be used to perform a wide range of Department functions.

Employees are prohibited from using privately owned UAS for Department business.

UAS service providers must use company owned UAS for Department business, unless under written agreement with the Department. Consultants, please refer to the Consultant Service Manual.

All UAS operations will comply with Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) Part 107 regulations involving operations, pilot certification, aircraft registration, waivers/authorizations, and airworthiness standards.

The UAS Remote Pilot in Command (RPIC) has the final authority and responsibility for the operation, safety, and FAA Part 107 regulatory compliance of any UAS operation. Employees or offices that require assistance complying with FAA regulations will consult with the Office of Aeronautics.

Aspects of these guidelines are not to be construed as to restrict the safe, rapid deployment of an agency owned or contracted UAS in response to an emergency or exigent situation to protect life and limb, critical transportation infrastructure or the environment. Emergency use shall be in accordance with FAA requirements for emergencies as noted in FAA requirements.

Operational and Training Requirements

SDDOT employees must register with the UAS Committee as Remote Pilot in Command (RPIC) prior to operating a UAS with the following information:

Name:

FAA Remote Pilot Certificate #: Proficiency Check Date:

Model(s) of UAS Approved to Fly:

Division and Office:

Prior to operating any UAS for Department business, the RPIC must conduct a minimum of two hours of flight time training in an UAS training area to develop UAS proficiency for each model of aircraft that will be flown. The RPIC must then satisfactorily complete a Proficiency Check with the UAS examiner to demonstrate operational knowledge and proficiency.

Employees must subsequently complete a satisfactory Proficiency Check with the UAS examiner no less than once every 24 calendar months.

Department UAS flights will be tracked following the UAS Procedures.

UAS Procurement

The procurement of a Department owned UAS requires the approval of the appropriate Division Director.

The requesting Division Director or designee will submit to the UAS Committee a detailed explanation and justification for an aircraft, the intended purpose, time, manner and location of use by using the request UAS Form.

Procurement will be in accordance with applicable statutes, rules and Department procurement policies and procedures.

Safety Procedures

Employees operating a UAS will comply with the Department safety manual and FAA safety regulations. Refer to the UAS Procedures.

Protection of Individual Privacy and Personal Information

UAS RPIC will limit operations to the specific purpose of the project and employ reasonable precautions to avoid capturing images of the public except those that are incidental to the project.

Accident Reporting

All accidents involving UAS that result in any injury or property damage shall be reported to the RPIC's supervisor. Accidents meeting the reporting requirements of the FAA and NTSB shall be reported by the RPIC to the respective organization as required in FAA Part 107 and Title 49 Part 830.5. It is the RPIC's responsibility to understand and comply with all FAA and NTSB reporting requirements. All accidents reported to the FAA or NTSB shall also be reported to the UAS Committee and the Office of Aeronautics as soon as practical.

In the case of UAS service providers, notification of any reportable incident should also be made in writing, as soon as practical to their specified contact at the South Dakota DOT.

Definitions:

Certificate of Waiver or Authorization (COA)

An authorization issued by the FAA to grant NAS (National Air Space) access for a specific UAS activity. COAs contain requirements the holder must follow. The FAA issues COAs for both public UAS operations and civil UAS operations.

Flight

Each flight is required to have a flight plan. Refer to the UAS Procedures.

Remote Pilot in Command (RPIC)

A person who holds a pilot certificate with an UAS rating and has the final authority and responsibility for the operation and safety of an UAS operation conducted under part 107.

Project

A project normally has a specific purpose, timeframe and defined location. A project may require multiple flights.

Unmanned Aircraft (UA)

The flying portion of the system, flown by a pilot via a ground control system or autonomously through use of an on-board computer, communication links and any additional equipment that is necessary for the UA to operate safely.

Unmanned Aircraft System (UAS)

The UA and all the associated support items such as equipment, control station, data links, telemetry, communications and navigation equipment necessary to operate the unmanned aircraft.

Visual Observer (VO)

A person who assists the RPIC to see and avoid obstacles.

APPENDIX B

SDDOT Bridge System Code Manual Appendix H – Sample Clearance Forms

APPENDIX H

Vertical and Horizontal Clearance Forms

The following unnumbered pages of Appendix H consist of all the forms needed to code vertical and horizontal clearances. These forms may be copied to make usable forms. There are a total of five different forms. The forms consist of the following:

- 1) Vertical Clearances Above Deck
- 2) Underclearances (Railroad)
- 3) Underclearances (One Way Traffic)
- 4) Underclearances (Two Way Traffic)
- 5) Underclearances (Divided Highway)

Note that all of the item numbers reference the old South Dakota Code Manual.

Conversion table from item numbers found on clearance forms to new Pontis database. Also must convert the feet - inches measurement to meters (nearest thousandth of a meter).

| 10.01 | Max V Clr Rt - on record (XX.XXX meters) |
|-------|--|
| 10.02 | Max V Clr Lt - on record (XX.XXX meters) |
| 10.03 | Max V Clr Rt - under record (XX.XXX meters) |
| 10.04 | Max V Clr Lt - under record (XX.XXX meters) |
| 47.01 | Horiz Clr Rt - on record (XX.XXX meters) |
| 47.02 | Horiz Clr Lt - on record (XX.XXX meters) |
| 47.03 | Horiz Clr Rt - under record (XX.XXX meters) |
| 47.04 | Horiz Clr Lt - under record (XX.XXX meters) |
| 53.01 | Min V Clr Rt - on record (XX.XXX meters) |
| 53.02 | Min V Clr Lt - on record (XX.XXX meters) |
| 54.01 | Min V Clr Rt - under record (XX.XXX meters) |
| 54.02 | Min V Clr Lt - under record (XX.XXX meters) |
| 55.01 | Out Lat Undclr Rt - under record (XX.XXX meters) |
| 55.02 | Out Lat Undclr Lt - under record (XX.XXX meters) |
| 56.01 | Med Lat Undclr Rt - under record (XX.XXX meters) |
| 56.02 | Med Lat Undclr Lt - under record (XX.XXX meters) |
| | |

| Structure Number: VERTICAL C | Date: EARANCES ABOVE DECK | | | | | | |
|--|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| | | | | | | | |
| ABSOLUTE MINIMUM VERTICAL C | LEARANCE ABOVE DECK - ITEN | AS 53.01 AND 53.02 | | | | | |
| Item 53.01 Right or Single Roadway 1 | '' 2'" | 3 | | | | | |
| Item 53.02 Left Roadway 1 | · · · · 2 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | 3 , , , , | | | | | |
| roadway and consider the west or south bound roadway as the left roadway. Compare the minimum recorded distances for each set of values with the values of Items 53.01 and 53.02 on the "Structure Inventory and Appraisal Sheet". If the values differ, code the new values on the "Bridge System Code Form - Clearance Data - Screen 05". MINIMUM VERTICAL CLEARANCE IN 10' LANE WHERE CLEARANCE IS THE GREATEST ITEMS 10.01 AND 10.02 | | | | | | | |
| Item 10.01 Right or Single Roadway | Item 10.02 Left Roadway | ,u | | | | | |
| Measure and record the minimum vertical clearance consider the east or north bound roadway as the right Compare the recorded distances with the values of Item differ, code the new values on the "Bridge System Code I | in a 10' lane where the clearance is the g roadway and consider the west or south b 10.01 and 10.02 on the "Structure Inventory orm - Clearance Data - Screen 05". | greatest . If there are two roadways, ound roadway as the left roadway. and Appraisal Sheet". If the values | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |

C



1 _____' ' ____

Measure and record the minimum vertical underclearance from the top of the rails to the bottom of the bridge superstructure. Compare the recorded distance with the value of Item 54.01 on the "Structure Inventory and Appraisal Sheet". If the values differ, code the new value on the "Bridge System Code Form - Clearance Data - Screen 05".

11

Item 54.01

MINIMUM OUTSIDE LATERAL UNDERCLEARANCE - ITEM 55.01

Measure and record the minimum distance of 8, 9 or 10 and 28, 29 and 30. Indicate what the distance represents by circling the respective number.



Compare the minimum value with the value of Item 55.01 on the "Structure Inventory and Appraisal Sheet". If the values differ, code the new value on the "Bridge System Code Form - Clearance Data - Screen 05".

| | Structure Number: Date:/ / UNDERCLEARANCES | | | | | | | |
|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | $\frac{28}{29}$ $\frac{27}{37}$ $\frac{7}{32}$ $\frac{37}{32}$ $\frac{35}{32}$ $\frac{15}{12}$ $\frac{17}{8}$ $\frac{1}{10}$ $\frac{1}{10}$ $\frac{1}{10}$ | | | | | | | |
| | ABSOLUTE MINIMUM VERTICAL UNDERCLEARANCE - ITEM 54.01 | | | | | | | |
| | 112131415111 | | | | | | | |
| | MINIMUM VERTICAL CLEARANCE IN 10' LANE WHERE CLEARANCE IS THE GREATEST | | | | | | | |
| | ITEM 10.03 | | | | | | | |
| | Item 10.03 Item 10' Item 10' Item Item Item Item Item Item Item Item | | | | | | | |
| | MINIMUM OUTSIDE LATERAL UNDERCLEARANCE - ITEM 55.01 | | | | | | | |
| | Measure and record distance 17. Measure and record the minimum distance of 8, 9 or 10. Indicate what the distance represents by circling the respective number. If delineation exists between driving lanes and shoulders, measure and record distances 12 and 15. If no delineation exists, measure and record distance 7 and enter zero for distance 12. 8, 9 or 10 , , + 12 , , , = , , , , , Solve the above equation and compare this value with the value of Item 55.01 on the "Structure Inventory and Appraisal Sheet". If the values differ, code the new value on the "Bridge System Code Form - Clearance Data - Screen 05". 7 , 15 , 17 , 17 , 17 , 7 | | | | | | | |
| | MINIMUM MEDIAN LATERAL UNDERCLEARANCE - ITEM 56.01 | | | | | | | |
| | Measure and record distance 37. Measure and record the minimum distance of 28, 29 or 30. Indicate what the distance represents by circling the respective number. If delineation exists between driving lanes and shoulders, measure and record distances 32 and 35. If no delineation exists, measure and record distance 27 and enter zero for distance 32. 28, 29 or 30 $+ 32$ | | | | | | | |
| ~ | BENEATH HORIZONTAL CLEARANCE - ITEM 47.03 | | | | | | | |
| | Record the minimum distance of 8, 9, 10 or 17 and 28,29, 30 or 37. Indicate what the distances represent by circling the respective numbers. | | | | | | | |
| | 8, 9, 10 or 17 | | | | | | | |

differ, code the new value on the "Bridge System Code Form - Clearance Data - Screen 05".



Measure and record the minimum vertical underclearance in a 10' lane where the clearance is the greatest for the roadway (including shoulders). Indicate on the above sketch where the 10' lane is located relative to the roadway. Compare the recorded distance with the value of Item 10.03 on the "Structure Inventory and Appraisal Sheet". If the values differ, code the new value on the "Bridge System Code Form - Clearance Data - Screen 05".

MINIMUM OUTSIDE LATERAL UNDERCLEARANCE - ITEM 55.01

Measure and record distances 17 and 37. Measure and record the minimum distance of 8, 9 or 10 and 28, 29 and 30. Indicate what the distance represents by circling the respective number. If delineation exists between driving lanes and shoulders, measure and record distances 12, 15, 32, and 35. If no delineation exists, measure and record distances 7 and 27 and enter zeroes for distances 12 and 32.



Solve the above equations and compare the minimum value with the value of Item 55.01 on the "Structure Inventory and Appraisal Sheet". If the values differ, code the new value on the "Bridge System Code Form + Clearance Data - Screen 05".



differ, code the new value on the "Bridge System Code Form - Clearance Data - Screen 05".

B-6

| | Structure Number: Date: / / UNDERCLEARANCES - RIGHT ROADWAY | | | | | | | |
|--------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| C | $\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | | | | | | | |
| l | (For Underclearances - Left Roadway: see other side) | | | | | | | |
| | ABSOLUTE MINIMUM VERTICAL UNDERCLEARANCE - ITEM 54.01 | | | | | | | |
| | 1 1 2 2 3 3 4 1 1 1 1 1 2 1 3 1 | | | | | | | |
| ſ | MINIMUM VERTICAL CLEARANCE IN 10' LANE WHERE CLEARANCE IS THE GREATEST | | | | | | | |
| L | ITEM 10.03 | | | | | | | |
| s v | Item 10.03 "" Measure and record the minimum vertical underclearance in a 10' lane where the clearance is the greatest for the roadway (including shoulders). Indicate on the above sketch where the 10' lane is located relative to the roadway. Compare the recorded distance with the value of Item 10.03 on the "Structure Inventory and Appraisal Sheet". If the values differ, code the new value on the "Bridge System Code Form - Clearance Data - Screen 05". | | | | | | | |
| [| MINIMUM OUTSIDE LATERAL UNDERCLEARANCE - ITEM 55.01 | | | | | | | |
| c d | Measure and record distance 17. Measure and record the minimum distance of 8, 9 or 10. Indicate what the distance represents by circling the respective number. If delineation exists between driving lanes and shoulders, measure and record distances 12 and 15. If no delineation exists, measure and record distance 7 and enter zero for distance 12. 8, 9 or 10 , , + 12 , , = , = , = , = , = , = , = , = , = | | | | | | | |
| [| MINIMUM MEDIAN LATERAL UNDERCLEARANCE - ITEM 56.01 | | | | | | | |
| | Measure and record distances 11, 16 and 38. If delineation exists between driving lanes and shoulders, measure and record distances 13 and 14. If no delineation exists, measure and record distance 6 and enter zero for distance 13. 11 $1 + 1 + 13 + 13 + 13 + 13 + 13 + 13$ Solve the above equation and compare this value with the value of Item 56.01 on the "Structure Inventory and Appraisal Sheet". If the values differ, code the new value on the "Bridge System Code Form - Clearance Data - Screen 05". 6 $1 + 14 + 14 + 14 + 16 + 16 + 16 + 16 + 1$ | | | | | | | |
| | BENEATH HORIZONTAL CLEARANCE - ITEM 47.03 | | | | | | | |
| - | Record the minimum distance of 8, 9, 10 or 17 and 11 or 16. Indicate what the distances represent by circling the respective numbers. | | | | | | | |
| | 8, 9, 10 or 17 | | | | | | | |
| | Solve the above equation and compare it with the value of Item 47.03 on the Structure Inventory and Appraisal Sheet". If the values differ, code the new value on the "Bridge System Code Form - Clearance Data - Screen 05". B-7 | | | | | | | |

| | 30146 116 400 | ve equation and | a compare it wi | In the value o | 1 IIEm 47.05 Ou II | e Siluciule Invento |
|----|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|----------------|--------------------|---------------------|
| di | fer, code the n | iew value on the | "Bridge System | Code Form - | Clearance Data - S | Screen 05". |



differ, code the new value on the "Bridge System Code Form - Clearance Data - Screen 05".

APPENDIX C

Procedure for Notification of Damage to Bridges Due to Vehicle Impacts Memorandum

> SDDOT Bridge System Code Manual Appendix I – Critical Findings & Forms



Department of Transportation

Division of Operations

700 East Broadway Avenue Pierre, SD 57501-2586 605/773-3571 FAX: 605/773-2893

MEMORANDUM

To: All DOT Staff

From: Greg Fuller, Director of Operations

anthe C

Date: December 29, 2016

RE: Procedure for notification of damage to bridges due to vehicle impacts

- 1. Any staff member who receives notification of or observes an over-height vehicle impacting a bridge superstructure, a vehicle impacting a bridge substructure, or other significant event that has the potential to affect the structural integrity of a bridge should immediately notify (in the following order) one of the Appropriate Supervisory Personnel as follows:
 - 1. Highway Maintenance Supervisor
 - 2. Lead Highway Maintenance Worker
 - 3. Area Engineer
 - 4. Engineering Supervisor

Do not rely on email. Positive notification must be verified.

Initial notification of vehicle damage to a bridge can come from many different sources:

- a. General Public
- b. Highway Patrol
- c. Local Law Enforcement
- d. Staff

Notification must be made regardless of the extent of damage.

- 2. The Highway Maintenance Supervisor, Lead Highway Maintenance Worker, or other Appropriate Supervisory Personnel will as soon as possible:
 - a. Document the party responsible for the damage and/or report to 911.

- b. Coordinate with law enforcement regarding traffic control, debris cleanup, etc.
- c. Update IRIS regarding any impacts to traffic.
- 3. The Highway Maintenance Supervisor, Lead Highway Maintenance Worker, or other Appropriate Supervisory Personnel shall make positive notification as soon as possible to:
 - Area Engineer or Engineering Supervisor (if not previously contacted in Step 1).
 - Senior Region Bridge Engineer or Region Bridge Engineer

If known, the notification should include information regarding;

- Location of the Bridge
- Extent of the damage and the location of the damage on the bridge (please include pictures if at all possible.)
- o Type of Bridge: Steel Girder, Prestressed Concrete Girder, Continuous Concrete Slab
- Is bridge temporarily closed or otherwise restricted to travel
- How is traffic being handled
- 4. The Highway Maintenance Supervisor, Lead Highway Maintenance Worker, or other Appropriate Supervisory Personnel (or their designee) should make prompt email contact to:
 - Region Engineer
 - Area Engineer
 - Office of Bridge Design
 - Chief Bridge Engineer Steve Johnson
 - Bridge Maintenance Engineer Todd Thompson
 - Bridge Management Engineer Dave Coley
 - Director of Operations Greg Fuller
 - Director of Planning and Engineering Mike Behm
 - Kristi Sandal PIO (The Area Office will make any initial contact with local media as required and coordinate with Kristi on any further communication as necessary.)
 - Joanie Blair, Finance and Management
 - Area Office Secretary
- 5. The Office of Bridge Design will make notification to:
 - FHWA
 - Tom Newell, Operations Support (for any clearance or weight restrictions)
 - Mark King, Operations Support (will set up PCN for maintenance repair project if necessary).
- 6. The **Senior Region Bridge Engineer or Region Bridge Engineer** will provide an update via email to those contacts identified in Step 4 once they have had a chance to inspect the structure.

APPENDIX I

Critical Findings

Definitions

A critical finding is a bridge or portion thereof, discovered either by bridge inspection or notification by the public, which critically threatens the structural stability and/or public safety of a bridge. The critical finding is of such severity that an immediate follow-up inspection or action may warrant temporary shoring, speed reduction, post or restrict, partial or full closure or other, until short-term and/or long-term resolutions are completed to resolve the structural and/or safety related deficiency(s).

Manual for Bridge Evaluation, Section 2: Bridge Files and Documentation

- 2.2.3—Critical Findings and Actions Taken
- Provide a detailed description and photographs of the specific critical finding(s) sufficient to document safety or structural concerns. Identify appropriate immediate actions or follow-up inspections. Include a record of the actions

taken to resolve or monitor the critical finding(s).

23 CFR 650.305 Definitions

Critical finding. A structural or safety related deficiency that requires immediate followup inspection or action.

23 CFR 650.313 Inspection procedures

(h) Follow-up on critical findings

Establish a statewide or Federal agency wide procedure to assure that critical findings are addressed in a timely manner. Periodically notify the FHWA of the actions taken to resolve or monitor critical findings.

Critical Finding Procedures

Critical Findings – State owned Bridges

Bridges that have a structural stability and/or safety related deficiency requiring immediate follow-up inspection or action. Potential events/incidents which may warrant a critical finding designation are as follows:

- Bridges that are recommended to be load posted (EV posting excluded) or closed.
- Bridges that have a NBI Condition rating of 2 or less for Deck (item 58), Superstructure (item 59), Substructure (item 60), Channel & Channel Protection (item 61), or Culvert (item 62).
- Fracture Critical Bridges that have a fracture critical member identified as requiring immediate remedial work.

- Scour Critical Bridges with extensive scour (item 113 is 2 or less)
- Bridges with unknown foundations experiencing severe scour (item 113 = U and scour defect in condition state 4).
- Other Safety deficiencies (movement, natural disaster, bridge hits, etc.)

Critical Findings – Local Government Agency owned Bridges

Bridges that have a structural stability and/or safety related deficiency that requires immediate follow-up inspection or action. Potential events/incidents which may warrant a critical finding designation are as follows:

- Bridges that are recommended to be closed or load posted at less than 3 tons.
- Bridges that have a NBI Condition rating of 2 or less for Deck (item 58), Superstructure (item 59), Substructure (item 60), Channel & Channel Protection (item 61), or Culvert (item 62).
- Fracture Critical Bridges that have a fracture critical member identified as requiring immediate remedial work.
- Scour Critical Bridges with extensive scour (item 113 is 2 or less)
- Bridges with unknown foundations experiencing severe scour (item 113 = U and scour defect in condition state 4).
- Other Safety deficiencies (movement, natural disaster, bridge hits, etc.)

Some viable options available for bridges with critical findings are permanent repair, temporary repair and monitoring, restricting loads, monitoring, or bridge closure.

Notification – State owned Bridges

- 1. The Inspection Team Leader discovering the critical finding shall promptly report the finding to the Senior Region Bridge Engineer who will promptly inform the Inspection Program Manager and Area Engineer. Don't rely on email. Positive notification must be verified. A determination should be made if the bridge needs to be closed immediately.
- 2. The Senior Region Bridge Engineer shall complete Part 1 of the Critical Finding Report and submit a copy to the Program Manager within 48 hours of the critical finding.
- 3. The Program Manager shall complete Parts II and III of the critical finding report.

Notification – Local Government Agency owned Bridges

- 1. The Inspection Team Leader discovering the critical finding shall immediately report the finding to the responsible local official and the Local Government Bridge Inspection Engineer. Don't rely on email. Positive notification must be verified. A determination will be made if the bridge needs to be closed immediately.
- 2. The Inspection Team Leader shall complete Part 1 of the Critical Finding Report and submit a copy to the responsible local official and the Local Government Bridge Inspection Engineer within 48 hours of the finding.
- 3. The responsible local official shall complete Part II of the Critical Finding Report and submit a copy to the Local Government Bridge Inspection Engineer within 3 months of the finding.
- 4. The responsible local official shall complete Part III when final action is taken, or update status every twelve (12) months until final action is taken and submit a copy to the Local Government Bridge Inspection Engineer.

BrM Documentation and FHWA Notification and Reporting Procedures

BrM Documentation

There are five different data fields on the Inspection page of the SD PAGES tab that are used to help track critical findings in the BrM bridge database.

There is a checkbox that a critical finding has been identified and a drop-down list to choose why it is a critical finding. There is an additional check box for marking that the critical finding has been fixed, along with the date this was accomplished. Document details about the problem or status of the repairs in the notes field. It will be assumed that the date the critical finding was identified is the date of the inspection record or notification by the public.

Critical Findings Notification and Reporting to FHWA

- 1. Notify FHWA of critical findings as they are identified for State and Local Government agency bridges.
- 2. Provide FWHA a quarterly critical finding report. The report will list each bridge, the custodian, county, posting status, the date of the critical finding, description of the critical finding, what action(s) is to be taken to resolve or monitor the critical finding, when the critical finding was resolved or monitoring status.

Critical finding report categories with the documented status:

- Bridges that have critical findings in the process of being addressed.
- Bridges with work scheduled but not started yet.
- Bridges that have no plan in the works, monitoring.
- Critical Finding is scour related.

Example Critical Findings

The critical findings listed below are organized by material type and application. These deficiencies represent excerpts obtained from several agencies' critical finding documentation and are from the Bridge Inspection Reference Manual (BIRM). This list is provided for reference only. Do not assume this list includes all possible critical findings.

The following deficiencies represent examples of critical findings for timber:

- Through-loss in deck planks and broken planks in danger of breaking through.
- Primary structural members with collision damage that compromises the structural capacity (including severe section loss, full length horizontal cracking, and section loss to truss compression members producing member buckling or severe flexural cracking).
- Primary structural members with multiple open cracks in high stress regions or crushing/decay that may lead to superstructure settlement.
- Crushed or broken nailer boards or broken joists.
- Piles and pier caps that have loss of bearing capacity or soil retention through crushing, decay, or insect damage.
- Substructure units with severe scour and undermining of the substructure foundation causing instability.

The following deficiencies represent examples of critical findings for concrete:

- Section loss (thru-hole) subject to enlargement by traffic or deep spalls with exposed rebar in danger of holing through, creating a safety hazard to passing traffic.
- Prestressed girder with spalling and broken strands or 100% deterioration at critical high stress areas.
- Non-composite prestressed adjacent box beams with serious deterioration and existing strand loss, loss of camber or torsional cracking.
- Reinforced concrete girder or pier cap with spalling and broken main rebar or 100% deterioration, with more than one bar affected at the same location in the girder.
- Reinforced or prestressed concrete girder bearing area resulting in loss of bearing area and making girder subject to settlement.
- Reinforced concrete columns with spalling and rebar section loss causing the column to be subject to failure.
- Primary structural members with collision damage that compromises the structural capacity (including severed prestressing tendons, reinforcing steel that results in flexural cracking and negative beam camber, pier shafts, and columns).
- Concrete pier column or cap with significant structural cracking that is supporting a fracture critical bridge or fracture critical component.
- Falling concrete or concrete that is delaminated or partially detached and anticipated to fall, presenting a safety hazard to under-passing motorists and/or pedestrians.
- Bearing seats that are severely deteriorated or undermined.

- Sidewalk structural supports or walking surface with damage or deterioration presenting a hazardous condition to pedestrians.
- Substructure units with severe scour and undermining of the substructure foundation causing instability.

The following deficiencies represent examples of critical findings for steel:

- Steel members with deteriorated areas that have failed in buckling, crippling, more than 10% of the connectors in a connection are missing, etc., or which makes failure likely in the near future.
- Secondary structural members (diaphragms, bracing, etc.) with extensive section loss.
- Fracture critical members subjected to impact damage including gouging or tearing, perpendicular stress cracks in either the base metal or weld metal, parallel stress cracks resulting from out-of-plane distortions or poor weld details, and severe corrosion in girder flanges, webs, in truss members, or in gusset plates.
- Primary structural members with collision damage that compromises the structural capacity (including fractures, large gouges, significant twisting/kinking of beams, and section loss to truss compression members producing member buckling or severe flexural cracking).
- Primary structural member (non-FCM member) with a completely fractured tension member due to fatigue or vehicular collision.
- Pin and hanger systems in fracture critical members with severe deterioration or severe accumulation of debris or rust packing.
- Bottom flange cover plates with cracked welds at the end of a partial length welded cover plate for a steel multi-girder or steel floorbeam.
- Substructure units with severe scour and undermining of the substructure foundation causing instability.

The following deficiencies represent examples of critical findings for traffic safety features:

- Bridge railing (bridge parapets, median barriers, or structure-mounted guardrail) with damage or deterioration that may prevent containment and/or redirection of errant vehicles traveling at the posted speed limit.
- Pedestrian railing that is missing or detached, allowing a pedestrian to fall off the structure.
- Guardrail connections to bridge railing, concrete barrier rebar, or guardrail that is detached and in close proximity or projecting into traffic with potential for impact.

The following deficiencies represent examples of critical findings for signs and lighting:

• Load posting or vertical clearance signs that are missing, damaged, improperly located, or visually obstructed including relevant advance warning signs.

- Signs, traffic signals, or strain poles presenting a safety hazard to passing motorists and/or pedestrians due to extensively damaged, split or buckled sections, or with cracked welds at either pole/base connections or member/member connections.
- Sign, traffic signal, or strain pole 4-bolt base plate connections with one or more loose nuts presenting a safety hazard to passing motorists and/or pedestrians.
- Signs with deteriorated or missing panel connectors, allowing sign to "flop" under wind loading that present a safety hazard to passing motorists and/or pedestrians.
- Lighting fixtures with split sections, buckled sections, significant section loss, and/or cracked welds at the pole/base connection that present a safety hazard to passing motorists and/or pedestrians.

The following deficiencies represent other examples of critical findings:

- Expansion joints that are deteriorated, damaged, or loose which may present a safety hazard to passing traffic.
- Rocker bearings that are critically tilted either exceeding the acceptable amount of tilt or bearing on the outer one-quarter width of the rocker.
- Excessive debris and/or sediment buildup at the hydraulic opening for scour critical bridges or other bridges with unknown foundations.



South Dakota Department of Transportation
Critical Finding Report

State Owned Bridges

Part I (To be completed by Senior Region Bridge Engineer within 48 hours)

| NBI Structure No. | County: | Structure Type: | Roadway Carried: | | Feature Intersected: | | |
|--|--|-----------------|--------------------------------|--|-------------------------|--|--|
| Date Finding Discovered: | d: Finding Inspected By: (Print Name) | | Inspector's Employer: SDDOT | | | | |
| Finding Discovered During: Scheduled Inspection Load Rating | | | | | | | |
| Description of Critical Find | ing: (Attach Photos) | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| Immediate Action F | Immediate Action Recommended: Close Bridge Close Lane(s) Other | | | | | | |
| Copy of Part I sent to: 🗌 Bridge 🔲 Area Engineer 🔲 Other | | | | | | | |
| Part II (Initial Report to be completed by NBIS Program Manager within 3 months) | | | | | | | |
| Part II Submitted By: (Prin | it Name) | Job Title: | : | | Date Part II Submitted: | | |
| Immediate Action Taken: Close Bridge Close Lane(s) Other | | | | | | | |
| SDDOT's Anticipated Plan for the Bridge: (Repair, Replace, Remove, Permanently Close, Load Post, etc.) | | | | | | | |

Copy of Part II sent to: Diridge Area Engineer Other

Part III (To be completed by NBIS Program Manager - Final action taken, or status update every 12 months)

| Part III Submitted By: (Print Name) | | Job Title: | | | Date Part III Submitted: | | |
|--|---|--|--|-------|--------------------------|--|--|
| Description of Final Action Taken: (Attach P | Description of Final Action Taken: (Attach Photos, Plans, etc.) | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| Date Repairs Completed: | | | | | | | |
| Note: Before a closed bridge may be reopened to traffic, if the repairs are NOT in-kind, an engineer must approve any structural repairs, the bridge must be load rated and the bridge must be re-inspected. | | | | | | | |
| In-Kind repair: | | | | | | | |
| Yes. Skip Items A, B & C below. | | | | | | | |
| □ No. Complete Items A, B & C below. | | | | | | | |
| Item A. Structural Repairs | | | Item B. Load Rating | | | | |
| Repair Plans Approved By: (Print Engineer's | | Load Rated By: (Print Engineer's Name and Company) | | | | | |
| | | SDDOT | | SDDOT | | | |
| Item C. Follow-up Inspection | | | | | | | |
| C1. Follow-up Inspection Date: | C2. Follow-up Inspection By: (Print Nan | | ne) C3. Inspector's Employer: SDDOT | | ^{yer:} SDDOT | | |
| Copy of Part III sent to: 🗌 Bridge 🗋 Area Engineer 🗍 Other | | | | | | | |

.....


South Dakota Department of Transportation

Critical Finding Report

Local Government Owned Bridges

Part I (To be completed by inspector within 48 hours)

| NBI Structure No. | County: | Structure Type: | Roadway Carrie | d: | Feature Intersected: |
|-------------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------|-------------------------|------------|-------------------------|
| Date Finding Discovered: | Finding Inspected By: (Prin | nt Name) | Inspector's Employer: | | |
| Finding Discovered [| During: 🗌 Scheduled | Inspection | oad Rating | Other | |
| Description of Critical Findi | ng: (Attach Photos) | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| Immediate Action R | ecommended: | Close Bridge | Close Lane(s) | Other | |
| Copy of Part I sent to | o: 🗌 LGA 🗌 Hwy | . Supt. 🗌 Othe | er | | |
| Part II (Initial Re | eport to be completed | l by Bridge Ow | ner within 3 months. |) | |
| Part II Submitted By: (Print | t Name) | Job Title: | | | Date Part II Submitted: |
| Immediate Action T | aken: 🗌 Close Brid | ge 🔲 Close La | ne(s) 🗌 Other | | |
| Owner's Anticipated Plan f | or the Bridge: (Repair, Rep | olace, Remove, Per | manently Close, Load Po | st, etc.) | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| Copy of Part II sent t | o: 🗌 LGA 🗌 Hwy. | Supt. 🗌 Other | | | |
| Part III (Final a | ction taken, or statu | s update every | 12 months by Brid | ge Owner.) | |

| Part III Submitted By: (Print Name) | | Job Title: | | Date Part III Submitted: |
|---|---|--|---|--------------------------|
| Description of Final Action Taken: (Attach Pa | hotos, Plans, etc.) | | | |
| | | | | |
| Date Repairs Completed: | | | | |
| Note: Before a closed bridge may be structural repairs, the bridge may | reopened to traffic, i ust be load rated and | if the repairs are NOT in d the bridge must be re-i | -kind, a licensed engineer nspected. | must approve any |
| In-Kind repair: | | | | |
| Yes. Skip Items A, B & C below. | | | | |
| No. Complete Items A, B & C below | Ι. | | | |
| Item A. Structural Repairs | | Item B. I | oad Rating | |
| Repair Plans Approved By: (Print Licensed I | ngineer's Name and C | Company) Load Rat | ted By: (Print Licensed Engin | eer's Name and Company) |
| Item C. Follow-up Inspection | | | | |
| C1. Follow-up Inspection Date: | C2. Follow-up Inspecti | ion By: <i>(Print Name)</i> | C3. Inspector's Emplo | yer: |
| | | | | |
| Copy of Part III sent to: □ LGA | Hwy. Supt. | Other | | |

APPENDIX D

Scour Monitoring Directions Blank Scour Monitoring Log Example Scour Monitoring Log Example Plan of Action (POA) Example Channel Profile

1. Bridge Closure Plan

Scour Monitoring criteria for consideration of bridge closure:

- Water levels reach the closure height elevation on the attached monitoring device.
- Pressure flow at the bridge (the bottom of superstructure mostly or fully submerged in water).
- Water overtopping the bridge deck or approach roadway.
- Any noticeable vertical tilt, settlement or horizontal movement of the superstructure or substructure.
- Excessive horizontal or vertical separation at bridge deck joints.
- Visible damage to the bridge deck, superstructure, or substructure caused by flood waters or floating debris.
- Sinkholes, settlement or erosion in the approach roadway or loss of roadway embankment.
- Heavy debris accumulation or ice jams at or on the bridge severely restricting water flow through the bridge.

- Washout of rock protection near the bridge substruture that indicates severe scour of the bridge.

2. Monitoring Directions/Instructions

SAFETY FIRST! - DO NOT ENDANGER YOURELF OR OTHERS WHILE MONITORING BRIDGES. DO NOT ENTER EITHER FLOWING OR STANDING FLOOD WATERS WHILE MONITORING SCOUR CRITICAL BRIDGES. GENERAL INSTRUCTIONS:

Visually examine the bridge and approach roadway each time the bridge is visited. Also look at the upstream and downstream sides of the bridge and waterway channel. Circle the appropriate response for items inspected. Leave blank if not inspected. Circle "No" for no, none, or no change in condition since beginning of flood monitoring. Circle "Yes", when appropriate, based on the descriptions below. Provide a written explanation for a "Yes" response in the "Remarks" as to what was observed or changed.

*** Should you believe that the bridge is becoming unsafe for any reason, immediately close the bridge. Immediately contact the Region Engineer, Area Engineer, or Senior Region Bridge Engineer to confirm the need to close the bridge. If it is deemed necessary to keep a bridge open for emergency vehicle passage or for emergency evacuations, then the bridge must be monitored at all times (24/7).

*** Closed bridges may be reopened only after a post-flood bridge safety inspection has been completed by the Senior Region Bridge Engineer or their designee and only after approval by the Region Engineer.

MONITORING PERSONNEL and TIME:

Record monitoring personnel name(s) and time of monitoring.

BRIDGE:

- Pressure Flow: Has the water level reached the bottom of the bridge beams or the bottom of the bridge deck?

- Alignment: Sight along bridge beams, railing, curb, etc., for horizontal misalignment. Check specifically at the joints in the bridge over the piers or at the abutments. If the joint is wider at one side of the bridge than at the other (difference of 1/2" or more), then movement of the bridge due to scour should be suspected.

- Settlement: Sight along bridge beams, railing, curb, paint striping, etc., for vertical misalignment. Any noticeable dip at a pier or drop at an abutment indicates settlement is occurring.

- Tilt: Check the abutments and piers for plumb. If there is any noticeable tilt side-to-side, forward, or back then mark "Y".

- Water Level: Measure from the reference to the top of the water level and channel depth with a weighted tape measure. Record measurements in the remarks section.

- Overtopping: If the bridge is overtopped indicate the bridge is overtopped and approximate depth if known in the remarks.

APPROACH ROADWAY:

- Settlement: Check approach pavement for settlement. Water piping through the approach fill can cause erosion under the approach pavement causing the pavement to settle. Does it appear that there is new settlement or are there holes in the pavement?

- Embankment Erosion: Check roadway embankment slopes, shoulders, and edge of pavement for erosion. Extend limits of inspection to cover sections of the roadway that are parallel to the stream. Does a washout exist on the roadway embankments or shoulders?

- Overtopping: If the roadway is overtopped indicate the approximate depth if known in the remarks and also note it is for the roadway section.

WATERWAY CHANNEL:

- Debris Build-up: Check the bridge waterway opening for accumulation of trees, branches, ice jams, or other debris that severely restricts the flow of water and creates strong pressure on the bridge.

PICTURES:

Take plenty of pictures that can be sent to the RE, AE, or SRBE. This will help with decisions to close the bridge and allow others to see and understand what you are seeing.

SCOUR CRITICAL BRIDGE - Monitoring Log

<u>SAFETY FIRST!</u> - DO NOT ENDANGER YOURELF OR OTHERS WHILE MONITORING BRIDGES.

| Structure Number | | Monitoring Frequency | hrs | Area Engineer |
|--------------------|------------|-----------------------------------|-----|----------------------------|
| Maintenance Unit | County | Monitoring Device Location | | |
| Shop Number | Year Built | Monitoring Height | ft | |
| Feature Carried | MRM | Closure Height | ft | Region Engineer |
| Feature Crossed | | | | |
| Location | | | | |
| Bridge Description | | | | Sr. Region Bridge Engineer |
| Reference Location | | | | |
| | | | | |

| | | | | | Bri | dge | | | | Road | dway | | | Cha | nnel | |
|----------|----------------------|------|--------|---------|------------|--------------|--------|-------|--------------|----------------|--------|-------|------------------|-----------------|-------------|--------------|
| Date | Monitoring Personnel | Time | Pressu | re Flow | Align T | ment/ ilt | Settle | ement | Embar Ero | nkment sion | Settle | ement | Debris or Ice | Buildup Jams | Rip Move | Rap ement |
| | | | Yes | No | Yes | No | Yes | No | Yes | No | Yes | No | Yes | No | Yes | No |
| Remarks: | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

| | | Yes | No |
|----------|---|-----|----|-----|----|-----|----|-----|----|-----|----|-----|----|-----|----|
| Remarks: | • | | | | | | | | | | | | | | • |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

| | Yes | No |
|--|-----|----|-----|----|-----|----|-----|----|-----|----|-----|----|-----|----|

Remarks:

* Send Scour Critical Bridge Monitoring Logs to Senior Region Bridge Engineer to be filed.

SCOUR CRITICAL BRIDGE - Monitoring Log

SAFETY FIRST! - DO NOT ENDANGER YOURELF OR OTHERS WHILE MONITORING BRIDGES.

| Structure Number 03-253-180 | | | Monitoring Frequency 6 hrs | Area Engineer |
|---|------------|--------|-----------------------------------|----------------------------|
| Maintenance Unit 191 | County | Beadle | Monitoring Device Location Bent 2 | Brad Letcher |
| Shop Number 194 | Year Built | 1960 | Monitoring Height -7.8 ft | 605-350-0427 |
| Feature Carried US 14 | MRM | 346.97 | Closure Height -6.2 ft | Region Engineer |
| Feature Crossed James River | | | | Jeff Senst |
| Location 1.3 E of Jct US 14 & SD 37 | | | | 605-380-8996 |
| Bridge Description 4 span steel girder bridge | | | | Sr. Region Bridge Engineer |
| Reference Location Top of Curb at Bent 2 | | | | Josh Olson |
| | | | | 605-380-9038 |

| | | | | Bridge | | | Road | dway | | Cha | nnel | inel | | |
|--------------------------------|--|----------|---------------|--------------------|-----------------|----------------|-----------------|-----------|----------|-------------------|-------------|-------------|--|--|
| Date | Monitoring Personnel | Time | Pressure Flow | Alignment/ Tilt | Settlemer | nt Emba Erc | nkment osion | Settlemen | t Debris | Buildup e Jams | Rip Move | Rap ment | | |
| 4/24/19 | J. Olson | 1130 A | Yes No | Yes No | Yes N | Yes | No | Yes No | yes | No | Yes | No | | |
| Remarks: B2 B3 - B4 | WL Depth 6-6 21-3' 7-6' 30.1' 8.5' 22.2' | De Hu | ron Gauge | iop of -James | B2 ca River→ | ρ. 17-37 | ŗ | | | | | | | |
| 4/1/19 Remarks: B2 B4 | 19 J-Olson 1220 P Yes No Yes N | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | tures 14 | 54-1464 | 1-7 201 | 9 71000 | ing to | lder | | | | | | | |
| | | | Yes No | Yes No | Yes N | o Yes | No | Yes No | o Yes | No | Yes | No | | |
| Remarks: | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

* Send Scour Critical Bridge Monitoring Logs to Senior Region Bridge Engineer to be filed.



 $\begin{array}{c} P_{\text{lan}}O_{\text{f}}A_{\text{ction}}\\ \text{SCOUR CRITICAL BRIDGE} \end{array}$

BRIDGE DATA:

| Structure #: 03-253-180 | Waterway: James River Own | ner: State of SD | | | | | | |
|---|-------------------------------|--------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Bridge Type & Length: X031 326.5 ft | | | | | | | | |
| Location Description: 1.3 E Jct SD 37 | | | | | | | | |
| MRM: 346.97 | Highway: SD 14 | County: Beadle | | | | | | |
| Project # (Original constru | ction): F-030-4(03) | Year Built: 1960 | | | | | | |
| Project # (Re-construction): Year re-constructed: | | | | | | | | |
| Year Scheduled for replace | ement (if known): | | | | | | | |
| Foundation: Abutments► | Footing on Timber Pile Bent(s | ▶ Footing on Timber Pile | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| Bridge Traffic: $ADT = 40$ | 17 Year/ADT: 2020 | 7 % Trucks | | | | | | |
| Subsurface Soil Type(s): Black mucky sand over silty sand and gravel over clay-sand | | | | | | | | |
| over sandy gravel over dense gravelly glacial clay. | | | | | | | | |

NBI CODING INFORMATION:

| | CURRENT | NEXT |
|---|------------|------------|
| SDDOT Maintenance Inspection Date: | 05/19/2020 | 05/19/2022 |
| Underwater Inspection Date (if applicable): | 09/25/2018 | 09/25/2023 |

| Item 113 Scour Critical | 3: SC, Calc scour within or below foundations |
|------------------------------|--|
| Item 60 Substructure | 5: Fair Cond, sound str. w/minor deterioration |
| Item 61 Channel & Protection | 5: Bank protetn eroded, major embankmnt damage |
| Item 71 Waterway Adequacy | 7: Slight chance of overtopping BR deck/approach |

Prepared by: _____ Reviewed by: _____

| Nicholas Palecek | Todd Thompson | | | | |
|--|---------------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| ► Transportation Project Engineer, P.E. | Bridge Management Engineer | | | | |
| ► Bridge Maintenance Section | ► Bridge Maintenance Section | | | | |
| Office of Bridge Design | Office of Bridge Design | | | | |
| SD Department of Transportation | SD Department of Transportation | | | | |
| DATE: 02/10/2011 | DATE: 05/16/2011 | | | | |
| Revised 1/27/2022 by Josh Olson (Aberdeen Region) and Dave Coley (Bridge Offic | | | | | |

SCOUR SUSCEPTIBILITY:

Scour Evaluation Summary: There are scour countermeasures at the abutments and at bent no. 2.

| Historical Observations: | Date (if known): |
|--|------------------|
| Adverse stream angle with the bridge berms &/or substructure | 05/05/1998 |
| Structure Settlement/Movement | |
| Shallow foundation with respect to channel bed | |
| Channel Bed Degradation | |
| Highly erodible soil | |
| Movement of existing riprap | |
| Extensive berm erosion exposing the piling or footing | |
| Loss of Road Embankment | |
| Large debris accumulating on the pier columns | |
| Ice Jams | |
| Water overtopping the bridge or road | |

Scour History / Description of Observations: The 2018 underwater inspection report indicates there is exposure of the Bent 3 footing.

Inspected by: \boxtimes SDDOT \boxtimes Other Collins Engineers, Inc.

RECOMMENDED ACTIONS:

IMPLEMENTATION DATE

| Increased Inspection Frequency (routine NBIS) |
|--|
| Increased Inspection Frequency (underwater inspection) |
| Flood Monitoring Device(s) |
| Fixed Monitoring Program |
| Hydraulic/Structural Countermeasures |

MONITORING PROGRAM:

| Monitoring Plan Summary: Should monitor the bridge during flood events and close the bridgewhen flows exceed Q100 or when water reaches the top of the bent caps. | | | |
|--|--|--|--|
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| Regular Inspection Program Structure w/surveyed cross sections | | | |
| Increased Inspection Frequency of months w/surveyed cross sections | | | |
| Underwater Inspection Required | | | |
| Items to watch: Scour around bents 3 and 4 | | | |
| Items to watch: | | | |
| | | | |
| Flood Monitoring Program | | | |
| Type:► Visual Inspection | | | |
| Flood monitoring required: 🛛 Yes 🗌 No | | | |
| Flood monitoring event defined by (check all that apply): | | | |
| \square Discharge 18,100 cfs \pm \square Stage 1240.5' \pm (middle of bent cap | | | |
| at bent no. 2) or -7.8' below the top of the north curb at Bent 2. | | | |
| Elev. measured from Rainfall: in / hr | | | |
| Flood forecasting information: | | | |
| Flood warning system: | | | |
| Frequency of flood monitoring: \blacktriangleright 6 hr. | | | |
| Criteria for termination of flood monitoring: Water drops below the top of the | | | |
| bent cap and no scour is noted. | | | |
| Scour critical elevation(s) for each pier/abutment: 5 to 6 feet of exposed pile. | | | |

Action(s) required if scour critical elevation detected (include notification and closure procedures):Close bridge, set up barriers and traffic control for detour route, and send out public notification.

| ∑ Fixed Monitoring Device(s) |
|---|
| Type of Instrument: Galvanized chains attached to the backside of the north |
| barrier. |
| Installation location(s): Bent 2 |
| Sample Interval: ► N/A |
| Frequency of data downloads and reviews: ► N/A |
| Scour alert elevation(s) for each pier/abutment: |
| Scour critical elevation(s) for each pier/abutment: Abutment 1 @ -13.9' below top |
| of north curb. Bent 2 @ -31.0' below top of north curb. Bent 3 @ -35.2' below |
| top of north curb. Bent 4 @ -32.7' below top of north curb. Abutment 5 @ - |
| 13.9' below top of north curb. |
| Survey ties: |
| Criteria of termination for fixed monitoring: |

Agency and Department responsible for monitoring: Huron Area Office of SDDOT

Contact Person: Huron Area Engineer, 605-353-7140

COUNTERMEASURE RECOMMENDATIONS:

Prioritize alternatives below. Include information on any hydraulic, structural or monitoring countermeasures.

2015 scour countermeasure project was canceled. Structure will be replaced with PCN 07DF when condition dictates.

Countermeasures implementation project type: ► Bridge Maintenance Project

| Target design completion date: | N/A |
|---------------------------------------|--|
| Target construction completion date: | |
| Countermeasures already completed: 2. | Riprap on the berm at abutment 1 and around bent |

BRIDGE CLOSURE PLAN:

Scour monitoring criteria for consideration of bridge closure: \boxtimes Water surface elevation reaches 1242.10 (6.2' below the top of the north curb at Bent 2) at bridge. Datum used: ► NGVD29 Relative Measure (e.g. paint mark on bridge column) Overtopping road or structure Scour measurement results / Monitoring device (See Section). Observed structure movement / Settlement Discharge: cfs Flood forecast: Debris accumulation Movement of erosion protection Loss of road embankment Criteria for re-opening the bridge: Water drops below elevation 1240.5', no scour is noted, and erosion protection is still in place. The ► Aberdeen Region Engineer of SDDOT or his/her designee is responsible for closing and re-opening this bridge due to scour critical conditions. Consult with the SDDOT Bridge Office when the bridge is closed. Contact Person: Aberdeen Region Engineer, 605-626-2244

DETOUR ROUTE:

The \blacktriangleright Aberdeen Region Engineer of SDDOT or his/her designee is responsible for determining the location and equipment used for the detour route(s).

Detour route description (route number, from/to, distance from bridge, etc.), including detour map. See attachmentB.

Bridges on Detour Route:

| Hwy. | MRM | Bridge Structure No. | Waterway | Sufficiency Rating/ Load Limitations | Item 113 Code: |
|------|-----|-------------------------|----------|---|-------------------|
| | | | | | ► |
| | | | | | ► |
| | | | | | ► |
| | | | | | |

Traffic control equipment (detour signing and barriers) and location(s):

Additional considerations or critical issues (susceptibility to overtopping, limited waterway adequacy, lane restrictions, etc.):

Public Notification from Region Office

ATTACHMENTS:

Attachment A: Bridge elevation showing existing streambed, foundation depth(s) and observed and/or calculated scour depths

Attachment B: Map showing detour route(s)

| Reference: top of north | curb, upstream side (front of NE wing) | Point | Horz | Vert |
|-------------------------|--|-------------|-------|-------|
| | | Abut 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| | Channel Profile | 1-0 Channel | 0.0 | -6.8 |
| 0 | | Abut 1 SCE | 0.0 | -13.9 |
| -5 0 50 | 100 150 200 250 300 350 | 1-1 Channel | 12.0 | -7.8 |
| ₽ -10 | • • • | Shore 1 | 22.0 | -10.6 |
| Ψ 10 ϑ -15 | • • | 1-2 Channel | 24.0 | -12.5 |
| | • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • | 1-3 Channel | 36.0 | -17.0 |
| | | 1-4 Channel | 48.0 | -20.4 |
| 51-23 | | 1-5 Channel | 60.0 | -21.2 |
| ≥ -30 25 | • • • | Bent 2 | 71.8 | 0.0 |
| -35 | | 2-0 Channel | 71.8 | -21.2 |
| -40 | Horizontal Distance (ft) | Bent 2 SCE | 71.8 | -31.0 |
| | | 2-1 Channel | 87.0 | -22.2 |
| | | 2-2 Channel | 102.0 | -22.3 |
| | | 2-3 Channel | 117.0 | -24.1 |
| | | 2-4 Channel | 132.0 | -26.0 |
| | | 2-5 Channel | 147.0 | -27.1 |
| Data Brofila Takan: | E/10/2020 | Bent 3 | 161.8 | 0.0 |
| Date Frome Taken. | 5/19/2020 | 3-0 Channel | 161.8 | -28.5 |
| Profile Taken By: | Q. Berger and J. Heidenreich | Bent 3 SCE | 161.8 | -35.2 |
| Reason: | NBI Inspection | 3-1 Channel | 177.0 | -30.3 |
| | | Deep | 179.0 | -30.4 |
| | | 3-2 Channel | 192.0 | -29.2 |
| | | 3-3 Channel | 207.0 | -24.2 |
| | | 3-4 Channel | 222.0 | -24.2 |
| | | 3-5 Channel | 237.0 | -24.0 |
| | | Bent 4 | 251.8 | 0.0 |
| | | 4-0 Channel | 251.8 | -23.4 |
| | | Bent 4 SCE | 251.8 | -32.7 |
| | | 4-1 Channel | 264.0 | -18.6 |
| | | 4-2 Channel | 276.0 | -15.3 |
| | | Shore 2 | 276.5 | -12.7 |
| | | 4-3 Channel | 288.0 | -8.8 |
| | | 4-4 Channel | 300.0 | -6.7 |
| | | 4-5 Channel | 312.0 | -5.8 |
| | | Abut 5 | 323.7 | 0.0 |
| | | 5-0 Channel | 323.7 | -5.7 |
| | | Abut 5 SCE | 323.7 | -13.9 |